S1035-1

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COLORADO JUDICIARY

July 1, 1981
TO
June 30, 1982

Office of the State Court Administrator
Two East Fourteenth Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203

Paul V. Hodges
Chief Justice
Colorado Supreme Court

James D. Thomas
State Court Administrator

James G. Benway
Editor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Letter of Transmittal 1
Message from the Chief Justice 2
The Colorado Courts
- The Supreme Court 4
- The Court of Appeals 10
- The District Courts 16
- The Water Courts 28
- The County Courts 32
- The Municipal Courts 40
- Probation 42
- The State Court Administrator's Office 46
- The Judicial Planning Council 58

Statistical Data
- List of Tables 62
- The Supreme Court 68
- The Court of Appeals 76
- The District Courts 82
- The Water Courts 132
- The County Courts 136
- Probation 160
- Community Corrections 166

Office Of The State Court Administrator

Colorado Judicial Department

JAMES D. THOMAS
STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

TWO EAST FOURTEENTH AVENUE
DENVER, COLORADO 80203
(303) 861-1111

E. KEITH STOTT, JR.
DEPUTY STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

September 19, 1982

To the Honorable Members of the Supreme Court:

It is my pleasure to transmit the Annual Report of the Colorado Judiciary for the 1981-1982 fiscal year.

The report is divided into two sections. The first section deals with the funding, functions, workload, and accomplishments of the court system. The second section is concerned with specific court data, and provides statistics for a more detailed review of court activity.

Although the Planning and Analysis Division of this office deserves special commendation for the content and spirit of this report, many individuals have contributed to and participated in its preparation. These include the district administrators, court clerks, and probation officers who supply the original data, as well as those members of my staff who assisted in writing and assembling the narrative, lists, and pictures.

I would like to thank all those who assisted in the creation of this report. I hope that it will provide enhanced understanding of the operations and role of the Colorado Judicial Department.

Sincerely,

James D. Thomas

James D. Thomas
State Court Administrator

JDT:sd



CHIEF JUSTICE PAUL V. HODGES

COLORADO SUPREME COURT

In one of his noteworthy opinions, the late United States Supreme Court Justice Hugo Black stated: "Under our constitutional system, courts stand against any winds that blow, as havens of refuge for those who might otherwise suffer because they are helpless, weak, outnumbered, or because they are non-conforming victims of prejudice and public excitment."

In submitting the 1982 Annual Report of the Colorado Judiciary, I want to pay high praise to judges and court personnel statewide, who without exception have labored far beyond the call of duty to do the best job possible of administering justice, with resources that never seem quite to catch up with the burgeoning demands upon our system.

We cannot say enough about the loyalty and dedication of the people in the judicial system, who daily enable us to hold our heads high in describing the work of our courts.

Innovations and streamlining in many areas, with more efficient methods constantly being employed, have helped tremendously, but it is the human equation that counts very highly in making these achievements possible.

I want to express deep gratitude, personally, and on behalf of the Supreme Court, for the diligence and zeal you have maintained in the conduct of your duties. Our judicial system is rated very high in the nation--an accomplishment which is a tribute to your loyalty and devotion to duty. Colorado continues to be at the head of the line in furthering progressive endeavors to improve the courts of our nation. Please continue to reassess your responsibilities regularly, to keep our courts strong and effective, ever guarding jealously the liberties of the people.

Organizational Chart of the Colorado Judicial System



This is a composite representation of 22 judicial districts. The Second Judicial District, which includes the Denver District, Juvenile, Superior, County and Probate Courts, varies substantially from this composite.

THE SUPREME COURT

THE SUPREME COURT

CHIEF JUSTICE

Paul V. Hodges

JUSTICES

Robert B. Lee

William H. Erickson

Luis D. Rovira

Jean E. Dubofsky

George E. Lohr

Joseph R. Quinn

THE SUPREME COURT

Justice Joseph R. Quinn

Justice Robert B. Lee

Justice George E. Lohr

Chief Justice
Paul V. Hodges

Justice William H. Erickson

Justice Jean E. Dubofsky



Justice Luis D. Rovira

INTRODUCTION

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. A special probate court and juvenile court exist in the City and County of Denver, along with a separate superior court. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.

The state judicial system was reorganized in 1965 as a result of a constitutional amendment adopted in 1962. The county court as it existed prior to the amendment was eliminated, and juvenile, probate, and mental health jurisdiction was transferred to district court, except in the City and County of Denver, where separate juvenile and probate courts were created. The amendment also clarified the appellate jurisdiction, supervisory and administrative authority and rule-making powers of the Supreme Court.

Another constitutional amendment approved in 1966 established a system in which candidates for judgeships are screened by local nominating commissions and vacancies are filled by appointment. Justices and judges then run for retention in office on noncompetitive ballots. This amendment also created a Judicial Qualifications Commission with authority to recommend to the Supreme Court the removal or retirement of a justice or judge of a court of record for willful misconduct and other specific reasons in the amendment.

In 1970, the State of Colorado assumed the full responsibility for funding all courts of record, including juvenile and adult probation, other than the Denver County Court and municipal courts. A statewide public defender system was initiated and became funded by the state at the same time.

THE SUPREME COURT

The Colorado Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve ten-year terms. The number of justices may be increased to nine upon request of the Court and concurrence of two-thirds of the members of each house of the General Assembly. Justices of the Supreme Court must be qualified electors of the state and licensed to practice law in this state for at least five years prior to their appointment. The Court selects a chief justice from among its members, who serves at the pleasure of the Court.

Generally, the Supreme Court's original jurisdiction is restricted to special writs. Appellate review by the Supreme Court of final judgments of the district courts, the Denver Probate Court, the Denver Superior Court, and the Denver Juvenile Court is a matter of right; however, the Constitution does not prescribe the method of appellate review. The Supreme Court has initial appellate jurisdiction over: cases in which the constitutionality of a statute, a municipal charter provision, or an ordinance is in question; cases concerned with decisions or actions of the Public Utilities Commission; writs of habeas corpus; water cases involving priorities or adjudications; and summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code. The Supreme Court also has certiorari review over appeals which are initiated in the Court of Appeals or in a district court or in the Denver Superior Court.

County court appeals are first initiated in the district court (or the Denver Superior Court in appeals from the Denver County Court). Further review by the Supreme Court may be had only upon a writ of certiorari issued at the discretion of the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court may sit en banc or in departments, but the Court must sit en banc to hear any case involving the United States or Colorado Constitutions. When the Court sits in departments, each department has the full power and authority of the Court in the determination of causes, issuance of writs, and the exercise of all powers, subject to the general control of the Court en banc. Any decisions by a department of the Supreme Court must be concurred in by three members.

In addition, the Supreme Court promulgates rules governing practice procedure in civil and criminal cases and governing the administration of all courts. The only exception to this authority is that the General Assembly may provide, by statute, simplified procedures in county courts for claims not exceeding $500 and in the trials of misdemeanors.

PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES

The period July 1, 1981 through June 30, 1982, was a time of continued development and initial implementation. New systems aimed at streamlining processes and increasing productivity were reviewed or tested.

During FY 81-82 an on-line computer system to handle the annual registration of all Colorado attorneys was implemented. Testing was completed prior to the 1982 registration which began January 1, and the system performed quite well during the high-volume registration period. The Supreme Court Grievance Committee and the Board of Continuing Legal and Judicial Education will also be using this system to track discipline and C.L.E. credits respectively.

The Court has expanded its use of word processing equipment. Five of the seven justices' offices now rely on word processing to assist in the editing and preparation of written opinions. Further expansion and consolidation of word processing systems is now under consideration.

In an effort to reduce time spent on legal research, the Court is experimenting using the Westlaw Legal Retrieval System. This computerized research system was installed in October, 1981, and is being used by the staffs of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals. An ongoing study of the value of this research tool is being conducted.

Negotiations to transfer software for an on-line appellate court case processing system from the State of Washington to Colorado are nearly completed. Installation and initial testing are anticipated during the first quarter of FY 82-83.

Finally, pursuant to the statutory authorization allowing the Supreme Court to designate a person, firm, or corporation as the official reporter of the decisions of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, the Court intends to designate West Publishing Company as the official reporter. Negotiations are

now in progress and should be concluded during July, 1982. The impact of the elimination of the Colorado Reports and the Colorado Court of Appeals Reports will be analyzed and reviewed during the next fiscal year.

SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY

The Colorado Supreme Court again experienced an increase in the number of cases filed. One-thousand fifty-two cases were filed during FY 1981-82 resulting in a 90 percent increase over FY 1974-75, the first year of the expansion of the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeals and a 9 percent increase in filings over the previous fiscal year. This was caused by increases in the number of civil and criminal appeals and petitions for certiorari filed.

The Court increased its case disposition rate again this year. Terminations rose to 1,001, which represents an average of 143 dispositions per Justice. Despite this record number of terminations, pending cases increased by 11 percent during FY 1981-82, which can be directly attributed to the large jump in the number of filings.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

THE COURT OF APPEALS

CHIEF JUDGE

David W. Enoch

JUDGES

Ralph H. Coyte

Charles D. Pierce

Donald P. Smith, Jr.

Norman E. Berman

Edwin P. Van Cise

Aurel M. Kelly

Alan L. Sternberg

Howard M. Kirshbaum

Dale P. Tursi

THE COURT OF APPEALS



Judge Ralph H. Coyte

Judge Dale P. Tursi

Judge Charles D. Pierce

Judge Howard M. Kirshbaum

Chief Judge David W. Enoch

Judge Donald P. Smith, Jr.



Judge Alan L. Sternberg



Judge Norman E. Berman

Judge Aurel M. Kelly

Judge Edwin P. VanCise

THE COURT OF APPEALS

The Court of Appeals is composed of ten judges who serve eight-year terms and have the same qualifications as Supreme Court justices. The Court of Appeals sits in divisions of three judges to hear and determine all matters before the Court. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court appoints one judge of the Court of Appeals to be Chief Judge of that Court. The Chief Judge assigns the judges to the three divisions and rotates these assignments from time to time. Besides handling administrative duties, the Chief Judge provides backup coverage for all of the divisions by substituting during vacations, illnesses, and disqualifications. The Court of Appeals is located in Denver, but a division may sit in any county seat to hear oral argument.

The Court of Appeals has initial appellate jurisdiction over appeals from final judgments of the district courts and the Denver Probate, Juvenile, and Superior Courts, except for those matters which are the initial jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. The Court of Appeals does not have any original jurisdiction as such, but has the authority to issue any writs, directives, orders, and mandates necessary to the determination of cases within its jurisdiction.

The Court of Appeals also has initial jurisdiction over appeals from awards or actions of the Industrial Commission in workmen's compensation and unemployment compensation cases and appeals regarding charters for new state banks granted or denied by the Banking Board. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction to review actions of the state boards of medical examiners and dental examiners in refusing to grant, or in revoking or suspending a license, or in placing a holder of a license on probation. The Court also has initial jurisdiction to review actions of the Civil Rights Commission, Insurance Commissioner, and actions of school boards under the Teacher Tenure Act.

Appeals of the decisions of the Court of Appeals are directed to the Supreme Court by writ of certiorari. Under certain circumstances, the Court of Appeals may certify a case to the Supreme Court prior to final determination which the Supreme Court may refuse to accept. The Supreme Court may also order the Court of Appeals to present any case before the Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court for final determination.

PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES

The number of new cases filed with the Court of Appeals continued to increase in Fiscal Year 1982. This has resulted in a higher volume of cases pending before the court. The Court addressed this problem by conducting a thorough review and evaluation of its case management and disposition systems. The evaluation concluded with several recommendations which were accepted and approved by the legislature's Interim Judiciary Committee in 1981. One recommendation called for three judges to be added to the Court. Despite the concerted efforts of many, the additional judges were not authorized by the General Assembly.

An attendant concern to the burgeoning caseload is providing adequate space for the Court's staff attorneys, case files, records and exhibits. Recommendations concerning additional facilities requirements will be developed by the Court with the assistance of the State Court Administrator's Office and submitted to the Supreme Court.

This past year the Court traveled to most areas of the State to hold either pre-argument conferences or hear oral arguments in pending appeals.

Finally, in conjunction with the Supreme Court and the ADP division of the State Court Administrator's Office, an automated case management system is scheduled for testing and implementation the first quarter of FY 82-83.

SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY

Record increases continue to occur in the caseload of the Court of Appeals. There were 1,512 new cases in FY 1981-1982 compared with 1,285 filings in FY 1980-81. This one year comparison represents an 18% increase in cases filed. Further, the 1,512 cases filed during FY 1981-1982 represents a 76% increase over the number of filings in FY 1974-1975, the first year of the expansion of the Court of Appeals' jurisdiction.

The Court of Appeals disposed of more cases in FY 1981-1982 than in any previous year of the Court's existence. The 1,320 cases terminated reflects an average of 132 per judge. Of these terminations, 908, or 69%, were disposed of by written opinions. This represents an increase of 94% in the number of written opinions since FY 1974-1975, the year the Court expanded to ten judges.

Despite substantial increases in per-judge productivity, the caseload of the Court of Appeals continues to outpace the Court's capacity to dispose of that caseload. The 1,403 cases pending represents a 137% increase since FY 1974-1975.

As a result of the burgeoning caseload, the delay time in months from "at issue" status to oral argument for non-accelerated civil cases has increased to 19 months. The average time now from filing to disposition is 23 months for these non-accelerated civil cases. The time from "at issue" status to oral argument for criminal cases is 3 months. The average time from filing to disposition for criminal cases is now 17 months.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

Judicial Districts of Colorado



THE DISTRICT COURTS

DISTRICT	JUDGE
One	Daniel J. Shannon, Chief Judge Ronald J. Hardesty Joseph P. Lewis Gaspar Franz Perricone Michael C. Villano Anthony F. Vollack Winston W. Wolvington James D. Zimmerman[1]
Two	Clifton A. Flowers, Chief Judge Gilbert A. Alexander John Brooks, Jr. Edward E. Carelli Roger Cisneros James C. Flanigan Robert P. Fullerton Lynne M. Hufnagel[2] Raymond D. Jones Robert T. Kingsley Alvin D. Lichtenstein Paul A. Markson, Jr. Warren O. Martin Karen S. Metzger Leonard P. Plank Harold D. Reed Sandra I. Rothenberg John F. Sanchez Henry E. Santo Daniel B. Sparr
Denver Juvenile	Orrelle R. Weeks, Presiding Judge Morris E. Cole Dana U. Wakefield
Denver Superior	Charles E. Bennett
Denver Probate	Field C. Benton[3]

[1]Replaced Robert K. Willison 4/1/82

[2]Replaced Susan Graham Barnes 10/14/81

[3]Replaced James R. Wade 5/17/82

DISTRICT	JUDGE
Three	Harry R. Sayre, Chief Judge Albert J. Tomsic
Four	Donald E. Campbell, Chief Judge Bernard R. Baker Joe A. Cannon Robert M. Elliott John F. Gallagher Richard V. Hall Hunter D. Hardeman David D. Parrish Matt M. Railey William E. Rhodes
Five	William L. Jones, Chief Judge Richard H. Hart
Six	William S. Eakes, Chief Judge Al H. Haas
Seven	Jerry D. Lincoln, Chief Judge Robert A. Brown
Eight	J. Robert Miller, Chief Judge William F. Dressel John A. Price John-David Sullivan
Nine	Gavin D. Litwiller, Chief Judge Judson E. DeVilbiss Thomas W. Ossola
Ten	Matt J. Kikel, Chief Judge Philip J. Cabibi Patti F. O'Rourke[1] Thomas F. Phelps Richard D. Robb Jack F. Seavy
Eleven	Paul J. Keohane, Chief Judge John E. Anderson, III O. Edward Schlatter[2]

[1]Replaced Donald F. Abram 9/11/81

[2]Replaced Howard E. Purdy 7/1/81

DISTRICT	JUDGE
Twelve	Robert W. Ogburn, Chief Judge O. John Kuenhold
Thirteen	Dean Johnson, Chief Judge Carl J. Absmeier Waino Johnson James R. Leh
Fourteen	Claus J. Hume, Chief Judge Richard P. Doucette
Fifteen	Robert F. Sanderson, Chief Judge John C. Statler
Sixteen	Lewis T. Babcock, Chief Judge Fred E. Sisk
Seventeen	Philip F. Roan, Chief Judge Dorothy E. Binder Harlan R. Bockman Abraham Bowling Oyer G. Leary Michael A. Obermeyer
Eighteen	Robert F. Kelley, Chief Judge Charles A. Friedman John P. Gately Richard L. Kaylor George B. Lee, Jr. Thomas C. Levi[1] Joyce S. Steinhardt Kenneth K. Stuart Richard D. Turelli[2]

[1]Replaced Marcus O. Shivers, Jr. 1/11/82

[2]Replaced Richard D. Greene 7/6/81

DISTRICT	JUDGE
Nineteen	Robert A. Behrman, Chief Judge John J. Althoff Hugh H. Arnold Jonathan W. Hays
Twenty	William D. Neighbors, Chief Judge Richard W. Dana Richard C. McLean Murray Richtel Rex H. Scott
Twenty-one	James J. Carter, Chief Judge Charles A. Buss William M. Ela
Twenty-two	Willard W. Rusk, Jr., Chief Judge

CHIEF JUDGES

Fiscal Year 1981-82

Daniel J. Shannon
1st District

Clifton A. Flowers
2nd District

Harry R. Sayre
3rd District

Donald E. Campbell
4th District

William L. Jones
5th District

William S. Eakes
6th District

Jerry D. Lincoln
7th District

J. Robert Miller
8th District

Gavin D. Litwiller
9th District



Matt J. Kikel
10th District

Paul J. Keohane
11th District

Robert W. Ogburn
12th District



Dean Johnson
13th District

Claus J. Hume
14th District



Robert F. Sanderson
15th District

Lewis T. Babcock
16th District

CHIEF JUDGES

Fiscal Year 1981-82



Philip F. Roan
17th District

Robert F. Kelley
18th District

Robert A. Behrman
19th District

William D. Neighbors
20th District

James J. Carter
21st District

Willard W. Rusk, Jr.
22nd District

Charles E. Bennett
Denver Superior Court

Orrelle R. Weeks
Denver Juvenile Court

Field C. Benton
Judge, Denver Probate Court

THE DISTRICT COURTS

Judges appointed to a district bench serve in any or all of the counties within that district, as assigned by the chief judge of that district. There are 107 judges serving in 22 judicial districts and the special courts in Denver. Some counties, depending upon the size and geography of a particular district, do not have a resident district judge.

District court judges must be qualified electors of the district in which they are appointed and must have been licensed to practice law in Colorado for five years prior to their appointment. District judges serve six-year terms.

The district courts are Colorado's trial courts of general jurisdiction. District courts have trial jurisdiction in domestic relations, civil, juvenile, probate, mental health, and criminal cases, except in the City and County of Denver, where probate and mental health matters are heard by the Probate Court and juvenile matters by the Juvenile Court.

District courts have appellate jurisdiction over final judgments of county courts except in Denver where county court appeals are heard in the Superior Court.

The court reviews such cases on the record, except that, in its discretion, it may remand the case for a new trial with such instructions as it may deem necessary, or it may hear the case de novo itself. If a municipal court is a court of record, its appeals lie to the district court in its county in the same manner as county court appeals.

The jurisdiction of the Denver District Court is different from other district courts because of three special courts. The Denver Probate Court has exclusive jurisdiction within the city and county over all matters of probate and the adjudication of the mentally ill. The Denver Juvenile Court has exclusive jurisdiction over juvenile matters arising in the city and county, including delinquency, children in need of oversight, dependency and neglect, relinquishment, adoption, parentage, and support. The Denver Superior Court, created by statute, has original jurisdiction concurrent with the district court in civil actions where the amount involved is not less than $1,000 nor more than $5,000. In addition, the Denver Superior Court has concurrent jurisdiction with Denver District and County Courts. The court also has appellate jurisdiction over cases appealed from the Denver County Court. The judges of these three special courts must have the same qualifications, serve the same length of time, and are subject to the same requirements for appointment and retention in office as are district judges.

SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY

During Fiscal Year 1982, the number of open cases in the district courts decreased by 2.3 percent. This reduction in pending cases was a result of a 6.8 percent decrease in case filings accompanied by a 4.8 percent increase in terminations.

The jurisdictional increase to $5,000 for civil cases filed in county court which was effective July 1, 1981 was the primary reason for the decline in district court filings in FY 1981-82. Statewide, civil cases fell 17.3 percent. Domestic relations cases also declined by 2.6 percent, while criminal filings grew 2.3 percent and criminal terminations increased 10.8 percent.

District court activity for FY 81-82 is shown below:

CASE TYPE	FILINGS	TERMINATIONS
Civil	-17.3	-2.8
Domestic Relations	-2.6	5.8
Probate	13.2	8.1
Criminal	2.3	10.8
Juvenile	-6.4	16.9
Mental Health	-7.1	-2.7
TOTAL	-6.8	4.8

Civil and domestic relations cases accounted for 62 percent of all cases filed in the district courts. Civil cases declined as a percentage of total case filings from 34.7 percent in FY 1980-81 to 30.8 percent of total filings in FY 1981-82. Domestic relations cases make up 30.7 percent of total filings.

Caseload growth was not equally distributed in the state. Urban district court filings, which constitute 83 percent of the cases in the state, declined 8 percent while the filings in rural areas remained constant with only a .3 percent drop. Every urban court experienced a decrease in their district filings. The 2nd Judicial District's 9.9 percent decrease led the urban courts, while the 9th and 14th judicial districts led the rural district courts with increases of 11.8 percent and 8.4 percent, respectively.

The need to match resources with constantly changing workloads in the courts requires the ability to accurately project the number of cases that will be filed in the courts. The State Court Administrator's Office uses a caseload projection model for budgeting and resource allocation. Through statistical analysis of historical trends, the model identifies the existence of relationships between forces and events in society and trial court filings. Those relationships that are the most significant for each case type are then used to project workload.

CASE TYPE	FACTORS
Civil	Property Valuations Population
Domestic Relations	Liquor Sales Marriages Time
Criminal	Unemployment Liquor Sales Time
Probate	Time
Juvenile	Births Marriages Divorces Welfare
Mental Health	Liquor Sales Marriages Unemployment

While there is no reason to believe that these factors cause court filings, the relationships have proven strong enough to enable consistently accurate predictions of court workload.

CIVIL

Because of the change in jurisdiction, a decline in civil cases was expected this year; however, the magnitude of the 17.3 percent drop in cases from FY 1980-81 was surprising. In FY 1981-82 there were approximately 7,980 fewer cases filed in the district courts for cases with prayer amounts between $1,000 and $5,000. At the same time there were approximately 13,340 new civil cases filed in country court in the same prayer amount. However, the number of district court civil cases filed with prayer amounts in ranges other than the concurrent jurisdictional range showed slight increases.

The decrease in case filings occurred in nearly all districts. The urban courts, which decreased by 19.1 percent, were led by Denver Superior Court which experienced a phenomenal 67.8 percent reduction in its caseload over FY 1980-81. Denver District Court had a 4.7 percent decrease over this period, which was the lowest drop in civil cases for any urban court. Rural courts overall experienced a 6.6 percent reduction in civil cases; however, the 12th and 14th judicial districts experienced 6.8 percent and 22.3 percent increases, respectively, over FY 1980-81 despite the jurisdictional change.

Civil filings, such as contract disputes and personal injury cases, continue to dominate the total number of district court filings, with 74.8 percent of the total filings.

CASE FILINGS	PRECENTAGE CHANGE
Personal Injury	5.5
Property Damage	-52.1
Wrongful Death	-3.4
Real and Personal Property	9.4
Money Demands	-32.8
Administrative Review of Government Actions	-8.0
County Court Appeals	74.8
Miscellaneous	-3.7
TOTAL	-17.3

Despite a 2.8 percent decline in the number of terminations, the courts terminated 1,447 more civil cases than were filed this year. This resulted in a 7.9 percent reduction in the number of open civil cases over FY 1980-81.

CRIMINAL

The 2.3 percent increase in criminal filings represents a slowing of the rate of growth for criminal filings after two successive years of 12.0 percent growth. Overall, criminal filings have increased 28.5 percent since FY 1974-75.

CASE TYPE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
Offenses against persons	-5.1
Offenses against property	-3.8
Offenses involving fraud	9.1
Offenses involving government operations	24.8
Drug and narcotic offenses	9.7
Miscellaneous offenses	31.1
TOTAL	2.3

Rural districts experienced the largest increase in filings. Rural filings grew 10.0 percent with the largest increases of 72.4 percent in the 6th Judicial District and 47.7 percent in the 22nd Judicial District. The 1st and 17th judicial districts experienced the largest criminal filings increase of the urban courts with 14.1 and 29.3 percent increases. Criminal filings in Denver District Court decreased 10.4 percent this year after a

15.3 percent increase in FY 1980-81. Despite the efforts of the district court judges who terminated 10.8 percent more cases this year, criminal filings outnumbered dispositions by 1,594 cases.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS

Domestic relations case filings decreased 2.6 percent this year. Domestic Relations cases comprise 30.8 percent of total district court case filings.

Seven urban districts experienced decreases while the 18th, 10th and 20th judicial districts had increases of 8.0, 8.1, and 7.8 percent, respectivley. Case filings in rural areas were stable with a .4 percent increase. The 14th and 9th judicial districts led rural areas with 16.8 and 28.1 percent increases, respectively.

Terminations outnumbered filings by 213 cases. The drop in filings and increase in terminations resulted in an 11.5 percent reduction in the number of open cases.

MENTAL HEALTH

Mental Health filings decreased 7.1 percent this year reversing the rising trend of the last few years. The caseload declined by 192 cases. Terminations declined by 2.6 percent resulting in 83 more terminations than filings.

PROBATE

Although probate cases make up only 7.6 percent of the district court caseload, these cases continued their pattern of growth with a 13.2 percent increase in filings.

The 2nd Judicial District realized a 57.1 percent increase in probate filings to lead the urban districts. The 5th Judicial District led the rural districts with a 32.5 percent increase. Despite an 8.1 percent increase in terminations this year, filings outpaced terminations.

ELIGIBILITY INVESTIGATION PROGRAM

In 1980, the Judicial Department initiated a program to investigate claims of indigency by criminal and juvenile defendants who request the appointment of the Public Defender or private counsel. Working with the Public Defender's Office, the Eligibility Investigators conduct a follow-up investigation of the applicant's financial status, and determine whether a recommendation of indigent, partially-indigent, or non-indigent will be made to the court. The General Assembly appropriated funds to expand this experimental program and in FY 1981-82, 8 employees conducted these investigations in the 4th, 17th, 2nd, 10th, 18th and 20th judicial districts. The Colorado General Assembly has approved an additional 8 positions for FY 83.

From July 1, 1981, to June 30, 1982, 5,002 applications for court-appointed counsel were investigated with the following results:

a. Applications withdrawn after initial interview	398
b. Declared non-indigent	980
c. Declared partially indigent	713
d. Declared indigent	2,911

The state incurred no costs for court-appointed counsel in 27.5 percent of all cases (a + b). Costs thereby avoided are estimated at $544,310. Partial payments to the state were recommended to the court in 14.25 percent of all cases (c). Reported recoveries for the fiscal year amounted to $96,846.

THE WATER COURTS

THE WATER COURTS

WATER DIVISION	JUDGE
One	Robert A. Behrman
Two	John C. Statler
Three	Robert W. Ogburn
Four	Robert A. Brown
Five	Gavin D. Litwiller
Six	Claus J. Hume
Seven	William S. Eakes



WATER DISTRICTS of COLORADO

WATER JURISDICTION

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 set up seven water divisions according to drainage patterns of the rivers in the state. Each division was assigned a water engineer and the location of the court was designated. Water judges are district judges appointed by the Supreme Court to hear these matters. Water records of the seven divisions are located in the following cities and counties:

Division 1	Greeley	Weld County
Division 2	Pueblo	Pueblo County
Division 3	Alamosa	Alamosa County
Division 4	Montrose	Montrose County
Division 5	Glenwood Springs	Garfield County
Division 6	Steamboat Springs	Routt County
Division 7	Durango	La Plata County

The water judges have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, uses and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction.

WATER COURT COST MODEL

In the past, some water courts have had fewer resources to handle their caseload than others due to a lack of staffing standards. After a thorough review of rules, statutes, and procedures, the Supreme Court approved a standard case definition for water courts in May, 1981. This has resulted in consistent reporting of water division filings since July 1, 1981, and made possible the establishment of water court standards.

Temporary standards have been submitted to the Supreme Court for approval. It is hoped that these standards will provide an equitable system for distributing resources while the water court cost model is further refined.

SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY

Water court filings and terminations decreased during Fiscal Year 1982 while the number of claims increased. Filings dropped from 2,321 to 2,238, or 3.6%. The number of claims (structures) increased from 7,799 to 10,766, or 38.0%. This claim increase is due to Federal filings throughout the state, where applicants are being encouraged to include as many related claims as possible under single case numbers.

Terminations decreased by 25.0%, from 2,606 to 1959 cases. This decrease is partially attributed to the staying of non-tributary cases pending a Supreme Court decision in the controlling case.

THE COUNTY COURTS

THE COUNTY COURTS

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
One	Gilpin	Andrew J. Krodshen*
	Jefferson	James C. Demlow[1] Kim H. Goldberger Francis C. Jackson Henry E. Nieto Linda Palmieri[2]
Two	Denver	Larry L. Bohning** Brian Campbell** Theodore H. Chrysler Robert H. Close** John Coughlin** Robert B. Crew, Jr. Irving Ettenberg Anthony F. Greco Samuel M. Kirbens** Larry Lopez-Alexander George A. Manerbino Gregory A. Mueller Edward A. Simons** Theodore Soja** James D. Urso L. Paul Weadick
Three	Huerfano Las Animas	Floyd K. Murr George A. Newnam
Four	El Paso	Peter W. Booth Marilyn C. Martin Jerry C. Nelson Jack L. Roeser D. Richard Toth Richard C. Webster
	Teller	Margaret T. Tekavee*

[1]Replaced James D. Zimmerman 4/27/82

[2]Replaced Joseph E. Maker 3/1/82

* Nonattorney

** These seven judges in Denver County Court handle municipal ordinances only and thus their caseloads are not reflected in our statistics.

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Five	Clear Creek	George R. Gaubatz
	Eagle	Roland L. Gerard*
		James B. O'Toole+*
	Lake	Joseph A. Fattor
	Summit	Jewell Kaye Smith
Six	Archuleta	Bert E. Hyde*
	La Plata	James D. Childress
	San Juan	Cynthia K. S. Francisco*
Seven	Delta	Frederick L. French
	Gunnison	John M. Levin[1]
	Hinsdale	Larry Everett Vickers*
	Montrose	Richard J. Brown
		John C. Davidson#*
	Ouray	Paul David Smith
	San Miguel	Thomas A. Goldsmith
Eight	Jackson	John A. Lustig
	Larimer	Don Leland Nelson
		Ronald L. Schultz
		William E. Smoke
Nine	Garfield	Stephen L. Carter#
		Victor M. Zerbi, Jr.
	Pitkin	Fitzhugh Scott III
	Rio Blanco	Keith F. Dunbar*
		Charles P. Siner#
Ten	Pueblo	Gordon R. Cooper
		Eugene T. Halaas, Jr.
		John R. Tracey

[1]Retired June 3, 1982

* Nonattorney
\+ Assistant County Judge
\# Associate County Judge

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Eleven	Chaffee	Mack Witty
	Custer	Whitney B. Sullivan*
	Fremont	Wallace Lundquist
	Park	Stanley J. Mayhew
Twelve	Alamosa	Jean Paul Jones
	Conejos	Gordon J. Bosa
	Costilla	William A. Martinez
	Mineral	Robert M. Wardell*
	Rio Grande	Gordon H. Rowe, Jr.
	Saguache	Michael H. Trujillo
Thirteen	Kit Carson	J. Curt Penny, Jr
	Logan	Baxter W. Arnold
	Morgan	Edgar H. Brandenburg
	Phillips	David Colver
	Sedgwick	Max Eldon Carlson
	Washington	Royal C. Donnen
	Yuma	Kent J. Fennie
Fourteen	Grand	Larry L. Petersen*
	Moffat	Harry A. Tucker, Jr.
		Joann K. Neal+*
	Routt	Roger D. Borland
Fifteen	Baca	Warren E. Schmidt
	Cheyenne	Norman L. Arends
	Kiowa	Keith E. Wissel*
	Prowers	John J. Lefferdink
Sixteen	Bent	Thomas F. Marmon
	Crowley	William T. Jones*
	Otero	George L. Strain
Seventeen	Adams	Ovid R. Beldock
		Jennifer Kay Brown
		Thomas R. Ensor
		John F. Horan
		Howard J. Otis

* Nonattorney
\+ Assistant County Judge

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Eighteen	Arapahoe	Alan R. Beckman[1]
		Richard B. Cossaboom
		Chris G. Rallis
		Ralph C. Taylor
	Douglas	Thomas J. Curry[2]
	Elbert	Donna Marie Kirby*
	Lincoln	Garnet E. Foster*
Nineteen	Weld	Alvin A. Borg, Jr.
		Scott Clugston
		Willis K. Kulp
Twenty	Boulder	Patricia E. Burrows
		Martin I. Steinberg
		David R. Torke
		Marsha B. Yeager
Twenty-one	Mesa	Vance O. Kilmer
		Harold P. Moss
Twenty-two	Dolores	Bob G. Johnson*
	Montezuma	James R. Aiken

[1]Replaced Thomas C. Levi 2/11/82

[2]Replaced Richard D. Turelli 8/4/81

* Nonattorney

THE COUNTY COURTS

As of this report, 109 judges serve in the county courts, including Denver. They serve four-year terms, and their qualifications are set by statute. In the metropolitan counties or counties with large caseloads, county judges are required to be attorneys, licensed to practice in Colorado and serve full time. In all other counties, county judges are not required to be lawyers, but must be high school graduates. (Presently, 18 county judges are not lawyers.) If part-time judges are licensed attorneys, they may engage in the practice of law in courts other than the county court. Qualified judges also may serve as substitute district court judges in their own districts if so appointed by the chief judge, or may serve temporarily outside their districts by appointment of the Chief Justice. Each county judge must be a resident of the county in which his court is located, and every county court has at least one judge.

County courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, as set by statute. With certain exceptions, they have concurrent original jurisdiction with district courts in civil actions (including torts) in which the debt, damage, or the value of the personal property claimed does not exceed $5,000.

The small claims division of the county court hears civil actions in which the debt, damage, tort, injury, or value of personal property does not exceed $1,000. Parties may not be represented by attorneys, jury trials are not permitted, and judges or referees hearing the cases are not bound by formal rules of procedure or evidence other than those adopted by the Supreme Court specifically for the small claims court.

County courts have concurrent original jurisdiction with district courts over misdemeanors and the issuance of warrants, conduct of preliminary examinations, and setting bail.

County courts also have appellate jurisdiction over municipal courts not of record. Such cases are tried de novo in county courts. (Appeals from municipal courts of record are heard in the district court on record.)

SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY

Despite efforts by judges and court personnel, the county courts were not able to keep up with the continued rise in case filings during FY 1981-82. Filings increased 6.9 percent while terminations increased 7.9 percent. Nevertheless, filings outnumbered terminations by 15,741 cases. Filings have increased by 51.0 percent in the county courts since FY 1974-75.

County court activity for FY 1981-82 is shown below:

CASE TYPE	FILINGS	TERMINATIONS
Civil	31.3%	45.6%
Small Claims	29.6%	45.6%
Traffic	-1.3%	1.0%
Misdemeanor	9.3%	4.8%
TOTAL	6.9%	7.9%
Felony	Advisements	Complaints
	16,762	9,843

The jurisdictional increase for county court civil cases to $5,000 and $1,000 for small claims was the primary cause for the increase in caseload. Civil cases increased 31.3 percent this year and small claims filings rose 29.6 percent over FY 1980-81.

Traffic cases made up 59.0 percent of the total filings this year compared to 66.4 percent in FY 1980-81. Civil cases incresed to 21.0 percent of the caseload, up from 17.3 percent last year.

The caseload projection model, described in the district court section, identifies the following variables as factors which may influence case filings:

CASE TYPE	VARIABLES
Civil	Population Sales Tax Revenue
Small Claims	Time
Traffic	Motor Vehicle Registrations Liquor Sales
Misdemeanors	Population Liquor Sales

Filings increased in 52 of the 64 counties in the State during the year. Phillips and Garfield county courts led all counties with 151.3 percent and 118.7 percent increases, respectively. Six small counties had substantial decreases of 20.0 percent or more this year, including Clear Creek, Lake, Conejos, Costilla, Hinsdale, and Park counties.

CIVIL

Civil cases have increased 145.6 percent since FY 1974-75. The 31.3 percent increase this year follows increases of 11 percent or more in each of the previous three years. Eighty-eight point two percent of the 14,206 additional civil cases occurred in the new jurisdictional range of $1,000 to $5,000.

Terminations rose 28.3 percent in FY 1981-82. Filings continued to rise faster than terminations, resulting in 5,431 more filings than dispositions this year.

SMALL CLAIMS

The number of small claims cases continued to grow in FY 1981-82 with a 29.6 percent increase. This was the largest single increase since FY 1975-76 when this court was created. The new jurisdictional increase accounted for 31.4 percent of the 14,141 new case filings.

Small claims terminations rose 45.6 percent this year, yet there were 532 more cases filed than terminated.

TRAFFIC

Traffice filings dropped 1.4 percent in FY 1981-82. Traffic filings consitute the largest portion of county court cases filed, comprising 62.0 percent of the total caseload. Traffic case terminations were up 1.0 percent over last year.

MISDEMEANOR

Misdemeanor filings rose by 13.1 percent during the fiscal year and account for 13.5 percent of the total county court caseload. Terminations were up 4.8 percent over last year.

THE MUNICIPAL COURTS

THE MUNICIPAL COURTS

There are approximately 210 municipal courts in Colorado with an estimated 240 judges.

The Constitution authorizes the creation of municipal courts in home rule cities, and charter provisions or ordinances governing the operation of these courts supersede the statutes except for rules of procedure promulgated by the Supreme Court, the right to trial by jury, appellate procedure, and the method of compensating judges. Colorado statutues also require municipal courts in statutory towns and cities and set out general provisions governing their operation.

Municipal court jurisdiction is limited to municipal ordinance violations. Appeals from municipal courts are handled in two ways: if the municipal court is a court of record, the appeal is to the district court on the record; if the municipal court is not of record, the appeal is by trial de novo in the county court.

Municipal courts are funded and administered on a local basis. The judges of the municipal courts are appointed by the local governing bodies and, where possible, are attorneys.

PROBATION

PROBATION

Probation is a dispositional/sentencing alternative available to the courts. The offender serves his or her sentence in the community, rather than in a correctional institution, subject to the conditions imposed by the court, and is supervised by a probation officer. Probation officers are responsible for providing background investigative information on persons brought before the court. Should the probationer breach the conditions imposed, the court may revoke probation and institutionalize the offender or modify the conditions of probation.

Supervision of adult and juvenile offenders may result from probation being granted as a sentence or incarceration being ordered and then suspended, with the defendant being placed under the supervision of the probation department. Deferred judgment and sentence orders place the defendant under the probation department's supervision for a specified period. Defendants under deferred prosecution may also be supervised by the probation department. Juvenile offenders may be supervised under an informal adjustment, continued adjudication, and probation.

SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY

The availability of resources such as diversion or community correction programs, as well as the attitudes of local police, district attorneys, the judiciary and the public affect the size of the probation caseload. Consequently, the caseload totals should not be interpreted as a measure of either juvenile delinquency or criminal activity within geographic areas. The statistics are an index of the numbers of people who have been referred by the court for investigation or placed under the supervision of a probation officer. Increases in the number of persons under supervision and the number of cases referred for investigation result in less time available for each individual client from probation officers.

Probation workload statewide rose 9.8 percent over last year as a result of increases in new adult and juvenile supervision cases. The number of adult investigations rose 11.5 percent while 14.7 percent more cases were assigned to adult probation officers than last year. Juvenile investigations decreased 1.4 percent in FY 1981-82, while the number of juveniles supervised by probation officers rose 11.8 percent by the end of the fiscal year. Terminations of juvenile cases declined by 7.2 percent, indicating that juveniles remained on probation for longer periods of time than in the past.

ALCOHOL AND DRUG DRIVING SAFETY PROGRAM

The Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Programs, initiated in 1979, are administered by the probation departments and funded by a special fee assessed against defendants convicted of drunk driving. These funds are appropriated to the Colorado Division of Highway Safety, which contracts with the Judicial Department for administering the program in 19 of the 22 judicial districts. In the Second Judicial District, the Denver county court probation department administers the program. Other agencies provide program services in the Thirteenth and Twenty-first Districts.

The purpose of the program is to identify problem drinkers and to encourage education and treatment as sentencing alternatives for both social and problem drinkers. Evaluations are required of all Colorado residents convicted of driving under the influence of, or while ability is impaired by, alcohol or drugs. The requirement also applies to defendants granted deferred prosecution or a deferred sentence. Alcohol and drug evaluators conduct the evaluations, prepare sentencing recommendations for the courts, and monitor persons assigned to alcohol education and treatment. Of the defendants subject to the evaluation, about 90 percent are ordered to enter alcohol education or treatment by the courts.

OFFICE OF THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR



THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE

STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE

The State Court Administrator's Office provides administrative support to the Supreme Court and in particular the Chief Justice, as executive head of the judicial system. The office furnishes administrative services to the appellate and trial courts, as well as probation departments throughout the state. Among the responsibilities of the office are coordination and control of the budgeting, fiscal, and management services for the Judicial Department programs; supporting the courts and probation departments by providing training, technical assistance, management assistance, internal audits, furnishing information; and performing other services as needed. The office also interacts with and responds to inquiries from legislative committees, state auditors, the attorney general, other legislative and executive offices of the state, the public, judicial departments of other states, and national organizations.

The following projects are representative of the responsibilities and functions performed by the State Court Administrator's Office.

DELAY REDUCTION PROGRAMS

In order to address the problems created by a steadily increasing caseload, the Judicial Department has continued its efforts to improve court management and streamline court procedures. A number of innovative programs have been initiated on a pilot basis in addition to projects such as telephone conferencing, jurisdictional reviews, judge and court staff development, and court management plans mentioned elsewhere in this report. Alternatives to traditional court programs have been implemented by the 1st Judicial District (Jefferson and Gilpin Counties), the 8th District (Larimer County) and the Denver District Court. These projects are being evaluated to determine their potential for reducing case backlog and delay for both civil and criminal cases. While innovative management techniques can result in additional reductions in some courts, it is clear that additional judicial resources are needed in others.

TELEPHONE CONFERENCING

In March, 1981, an experimental alternative to traditional in-court proceedings was introduced into three Colorado Judicial Districts. Telephone conferencing is being used in the Denver, Boulder, and Alamosa District Courts to conduct civil motion hearings as well as other civil court business. Some criminal matters are being heard over the telephone in the Alamosa and Boulder District Courts.

The Institute for Court Management and the American Bar Association Action Commission to Reduce Court Costs and Delay are evaluating the effectiveness of the program, which is funded through grants from the National Science Foundation, the National Institute of Justice, the Colorado Bar Association and the Piton Foundation.

Preliminary findings indicate that telephone conferencing may prove a satisfactory substitute for a variety of in-court proceedings and result in time savings, cost savings, and a quality consistent with present judicial standards. The civil project will be completed in June, 1982, while the criminal project will continue through November, 1982. The results of this experiment will be used to evaluate the feasibility of using telephone conferencing for a wider range of courts and court processes.

DISTRICT COURT MANAGEMENT PLANS

District court workload standards for judges and clerks have been based upon past performance for each of the last three years, and have been used to allocate resources and establish budgets. In FY 1981-82, Chief Justice Hodges approved a plan to establish management programs in each judicial district. Under the direction of the chief judge and district administrator, each district will attempt to define ways to achieve statewide case processing goals that have been established for the fiscal year.

VISITING AND SENIOR JUDGES

Justices and judges of the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, and County Courts are assisted by visiting judges from other courts in the state, as well as by senior judges. Visiting and senior judges are appointed for a variety of reasons, including accumulation of judicial business, illness or death of a sitting judge, or disqualification of one or more judges. Both visiting and senior judges greatly facilitate case processing by serving during emergencies.

Visiting judges provide approximately 85 days of service annually in courts throughout the state while the 22 senior judges who participated in the 60-day service program during FY 1981-82 provided over 1,097 days of temporary service in the appellate, district, and county courts of Colorado. The number of days served by senior judges in FY 1981-82 constitutes an increase of 43 days, or 4% over the 1,054 days served during FY 1980-81. If this workload were to be absorbed by sitting judges the Judicial Department would need an additional 4.9 judges and the related staff.

Visiting judges are assigned to other courts by the Chief Justice, as authorized by the Colorado Constitution. Senior judges may be assigned under the same constitutional provision. If approved by the Chief Justice prior to the senior judges' retirement, a senior judge can also serve under a separate statutory provision which increases the judges' retirement annuity by 20% in exchange for his agreeing to provide 60 days of temporary service during a twelve-month period.

JUDGE AND COURT STAFF DEVELOPMENT

Training in new skills and upgrading existing skills is recognized as one method for increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of the services provided by the judicial branch of government. Colorado's training program, administered by the State Court Administrator's Office, was supported by LEAA grants through July 1981. $50,000 was appropriated by the General Assembly for FY 1981-82 for training programs.

The State Court Administrator's Office sponsored 16 judges to attend the National Judicial College in Reno, Nevada; one judge to a workshop at the American Academy of Judicial Education; and one appellate judge to the New York University School of Law Appellate Judges' Seminar. In addition, week-long orientation sessions for new judges were developed and are being held twice a year, and the first training program was developed for referees.

Probation officers and trial court personnel received orientation, in-service, management and supervisory training, including problem-solving, legal issues, civil and criminal procedures, counseling skills, communication skills, interviewing skills, volunteer training, time management and stress management. In FY 1981-82, 620 participants attended 5,877 person/hours of training. Since July, 1974, 4,062 participants have attended 50,969 hours of training.

TRIAL JUDGES BENCH BOOK

A federal grant provided funds for development, preparation, and distribution of the Colorado District Judges Bench Book (later retitled "Colorado Trial Judges Bench Book"). Design and preparation of the contents were completed by the five district judges who constituted the committee, after receiving information and material from other district and county judges in the state and two commissioners/referees.

The bench book was printed in September, 1981, and distributed to justices and judges at the Annual Judicial Conference held from September 20 through 23, 1981. Subsequent to the conference, copies were also distributed to senior judges and referees and commissioners.

The Trial Judges Bench Book has greatly assisted the Colorado Judicial Department in several areas. First, both district and county judges have a readily accessible guide to most aspects of case types filed in general and limited jurisdiction courts. If a district judge is assigned to a case type with which he is unfamiliar or if a county judge is assigned to the district court, the bench book is a means for the judge to become familiar with the applicable law. Second, senior judges can keep apprised of the latest procedures used by judges in conducting hearings and trials. Third, the bench book has become extremely helpful for training new judges and has become the most successful and well liked use for the bench book.

JUROR UTILIZATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Under the auspices of a federal grant, the Juror Utilization and Management Program was designed to assess the level of juror usage and to implement systems designed to improve jury operations. Improvement of juror use in the five pilot courts in Brighton, Colorado Springs, Denver, Fort Collins, and Grand Junction continued during the year, and upon expiration of the grant on January 1, 1982, the program was institutionalized by the State Court Administrator's Office.

The program uses telephone call-in systems, standardized slide orientations, and improved statistical capabilities to reduce the numbers of jurors called for duty, the size of panels, and the amount of time jurors must serve. These features contribute to significant cost savings to the state and a more meaningful experience for jurors.

Work also began on a summonsing procedure that will combine the previous summonsing and qualification procedures into a one-step process. This program will begin in five pilot districts on February 1, 1983.

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS

The Commission on Judicial Qualifications is a constitutional body created to investigate complaints involving appellate, district, or county judges brought by the citizens of the state. It is composed of 3 district judges, 2 county judges, 2 lawyers, and 2 citizens who are neither lawyers nor judges, all of whom volunteer their time and services.

During 1981, 69 requests for investigation were filed with the Commission. In addition, 3 investigations were commenced on the Commission's own motion. Of the cases filed, 53 were against district judges, 21 against county judges, and one each against an appellate and senior judge. Thirty-nine cases were dismissed following intitial review by the Commission. Judges were requested to respond to complaints in 23 cases and all but one of those were dismissed after the Commission's review. Six formal investigations were conducted. The Commission filed one formal complaint and requested a medical examination of a judge in another instance. As a result of the Commission's work during the last 14 years, six judges have been ordered retired for disability, 22 judges have resigned or retired following Commission investigation, and 34 judges have been privately admonished, reprimanded or censured.

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

An annual judicial conference is conducted each fall as mandated by statute. All judges are required to attend unless excused by the Chief Justice. Policies and procedures are reviewed and discussed, and District and County Judge's Associations hold meetings. The main purpose of the conference is the educational program, which comprises about 90% of the conference. Two hundred twenty-six judges participated in the 1981 Judicial Conference held at Vail, Colorado. Seminar topics included discovery, pre-trial release, managing court delay, and evidence. Judges receive continuing legal education credits for the programs attended.

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL RULES COMMITTEES

In 1978, the Supreme Court created two committees to review changes in statutory and case law and recommend appropriate modifications to the rules of civil and criminal procedure to the Colorado Supreme Court. Each committee is composed of approximately 30 volunteer lawyers and judges with expertise in civil or criminal matters, dedicated to achieving fair, efficient, expeditious, and inexpensive legal proceedings in Colorado courts. During the fiscal year, in addition to many rule revisions, committee activity resulted in a new civil Rule 121, which establishes statewide uniform local practice standards in civil proceedings. Rule 121 was approved by the Supreme Court on April 1, 1982, and is effective July 1, 1982.

LEGISLATION OF CONCERN TO THE COURT SYSTEM

The 1982 session of the 53rd General Assembly convened January 6, worked through April 20, recessing until May 10, and returned to adjourn sine die May 24.

The five basic categories of legislation of concern in administering the courts are: administrative and personnel; civil and criminal procedure; juvenile; traffic; water.

No new judges were authorized, nor were salaries of judges automatically escalated. Although retired state employees prior to 1980 had their retirement rates increased, a bill to give retired judges additional benefits based upon existing salary levels if they worked on the 60-day plan, was defeated again. In fact, all legislation, court-related and otherwise which came under the category of new programs or which required funding, was killed in the respective appropriation committees, as there was no money whatsoever left for new programs, for the first time in several years. The state contribution to employees health insurance benefits was not increased this year. Jury fees were not raised. Mileage was not increased. Appellate, District and County Court docket fees were increased, which may ultimately result in increased funding for courts. The Long Bill increased the appropriation to the Judicial Department by $8 million for the new fiscal year. Additional personnel was authorized for the Court of Appeals, trial courts and the Public Defender. Final equalization of probation officers salaries was achieved.

Senate Bill 6, Dissolution of Marriage Upon Affidavit, was the most notable piece of legislation affecting civil procedure. In the criminal area, probation eligibility was amended, further action authorized against habitual sex offenders, possession of contraband was dealt with, as well as controlled substances in HB 1048 and 1076. Strip searches were regulated.

In the juvenile field, expungement of records was established no sooner than seven years after termination of court jurisdiction. The school loitering law was tightened up. Placement of children outside Colorado was limited to certain situations.

Traffic laws demanded substantial time and attention. Numerous bills were introduced relating to drunk driving, but after much debate, revision and consolidation, HB 1232 emerged. The process of implementation is being carried out slowly and carefully. HB 1019 undertook decriminalization of minor traffic offenses, and provided for referees to hear many of these cases.

No significant water law was enacted or amended this session.

Two consitutional amendments were placed on the November ballot by the General Assembly. HCR 1004 creates a Commission on Judicial Discipline in place of the present Commission on Judicial Qualifications and establishes some additional criteria and procedure, including expanding citizen membership on the Commission. HCR 1001 denies bail to certain people by stricter rules in given circumstances.

The only interim committee which deals with any facet of the court system is charged with studying the issue of child molestation broadly.

A good working relationship between judges, court administration and personnel and the General Assembly continues to exist and develop favorably. Cooperation on the part of the State Court Administrator's staff in providing budget and planning information to the legislature and working with committees has been good. The General Assembly is sympathetic and mindful of the needs of the courts and endeavors to meet these needs within the constraints of budgetary limitations.

COURT FACILITIES

The Long Range Judicial Facility Plan was published in a new format this past year. Plans for all sixty-three Colorado counties are combined in one bound book with the title "Annual Report-Colorado Judicial Facilities." The report contains space and architectural standards for courtrooms and other court facilities and a projection of county needs to the year 2000 and is distributed to each Board of County Commissioners, the City Council and Mayor of Denver, and to each Chief Judge and District Administrator. It is also sent to Colorado Counties, Inc., and, in accordance with section 13-3-108, C.R.S. 1973, to the Joint Budget Committee of the General Assembly and the Office of State Planning and Budgeting.

Relationships between the courts and Boards of County Commissioners have improved substantially over the past four years. This change can be partially attributed to the Long Range Plan which has helped convince commissioners that needs are justified and that all counties are being treated fairly, and to the Facilities Planning Division which is able to provide technical assistance in the area of design and construction of court facilities. Some recent examples of this are the Garfield County Court Building in Rifle, the Montezuma County Court addition in Cortez, and the Mesa County Court facility in Grand Junction.

While counties are required to provide the facilites for the courts, furniture and equipment for these facilites are provided by the state. The Facilities Planning Division assists the courts in preparing budget requests for this equipment and presents and justifies these requests to the legislature.

The Supreme Court Clerk's Office was renovated this past year. Planning and construction is underway for new facilities in Eagle County, Garfield County, Rio Blanco County, Mesa County, Moffat County, and Routt County. Many other counties have begun the planning process for additional court facilities and improvements in existing facilities.

DATA PROCESSING

The Data Processing Services Division provides both on-line and batch automated data processing services to the state courts. On-line data processing is integrated with the day-to-day operation of the nine large front range district courts, three county courts and three probation departments. The Denver County Court maintains its criminal case files on the Judicial Department data base. The remainder of the state submits data and receives reports that are batch processed. In addition, district attorney offices in Jefferson, Denver, and El Paso counties, the Denver Police ID Bureau, the Bonding Office in the Sheriff's Detention Center and several social service agencies have on-line inquiry capability for criminal, juvenile, domestic relations, and alimony and support information. Other services which are used for management purposes at the state level include payroll, budget, property management and various statistical reports.

Additional on-line services include the processing of approximately $51 million in alimony and support payments and management of the jury selection systems for the entire state.

Several improvements and additions were made during the past fiscal year. A system was implemented to track vouchers, report expenditures, and estimate accounts payable based upon past average costs incurred for court-appointed counsel. An attorney information

system maintains a comprehensive data base on all attorneys registered to practice law in Colorado. Objectives for the coming fiscal year include installation of an automated appellate court case processing system, the production of sentencing reports as required by the General Assembly, immediate response to short, one-time reports, and processing of new combined summons and juror questionnaires.

INTERNAL AUDIT PROGRAM

Each fiscal year the Division of Court and Audit Services conducts audits of various courts and court agencies. The purpose of the audit program is to ensure that all fiscal, accounting, case processing, and administrative procedures are properly applied to court activity.

Twelve fiscal, performance, and special audits were conducted during the past year in the 1st, 2nd, 4th, 7th, 11th, and 20th Judicial Districts. Special unscheduled audits were conducted in the Eighth Judicial District and the Eligibility Investigation Program.

PERSONNEL SERVICES

The Personnel Services Division's responsibilities include recruitment, determination of eligible applicants, classification of all positions, maintenance of the pay plan, review of personnel and payroll transactions, and the administration of the Colorado Judicial System Personnel Rules. During the fiscal year a review of all job descriptions and position classifications began in order to clearly define job duties and to eliminate inequalities. A classification instrument was developed to survey 1,500 Judicial Department employees.

A study was also conducted by the Colorado Intergovernmental Personnel Act program to develop a uniform evaluation system to test applicants for positions in the statewide judicial system. The examinations will provide a uniform system to evaluate eligibility for appointments or promotions. The Personnel Services Division conducted certification examinations for court reporters and promotional examinations for probation officers. Ten court reporters passed the Colorado Shorthand Reporters examination during FY 1981-82 while 23 probation officers passed the promotion examination during the same period of time.

The Colorado Judicial System Personnel Rules were revised and re-issued by the Supreme Court in January, 1982. The revisions were implemented in order to ensure consistency and conformance with existing state and federal laws.

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

During FY 1981-82, 12 residential and 5 non-residential community corrections programs served over 900 adult non-violent felons. This represents a substantial increase in program use by the 14 judicial districts presently participating. Appropriations to the program increased from $1.2 million to $2.6 million from FY 1981-82 to FY 1981-82, resulting in the creation of community corrections boards in the 12th, 13th, 14th, 18th, and 19th judicial districts.

Within the last six years there has been a dramatic increase in the number of community corrections programs. District court judges, based on recommendations of probation officers, are placing offenders in local programs at increasing rates each year. New community corrections boards are anticipated in the 7th, 9th, and 22nd judicial districts during FY 1982-83 while new residential programs are being planned for the 7th, 9th, 12th, 18th, and 19th districts. Research indicates that diversion to community corrections programs is meeting the legislature's mandate to provide a sentencing alternative to state correctional facilities.

FINANCIAL SERVICES SUMMARY
FY 1981 - 1982

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE STATE

The Judicial Department is statutorily responsible for the collection of certain fees, taxes, services and fines. These funds are transmitted to the State of Colorado Treasurer and through it to the General Fund, Highway Users Fund and the Game, Fish and Parks Fund.

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE GENERAL FUND

Tax - Civil Cases	$ 167,720
Tax - Vital Statistics	37,706
Copy Work, Certifications, etc.	294,154
Water Case Filings	113,109
Civil Docket Fees	3,086,785
Probate Fees	220,500
Water Case Mailings	7,434
Judgment Fees	9,454
Jury Fees	180,196
Criminal Fees, Court Costs, Bond Forfeits	1,431,945
Probation Fees	392,451
Partial Attorney Fee Paid by Indigent	96,846
Felony, Misdemeanor Fines	674,504
Fish & Game Fines (50%)	35,627
Miscellaneous Fees and Fines	77,056
Unclaimed Funds	270,891
Bad Debt Expense	(629,350)
Collection Service Fees	(25,569)
TOTAL	**$6,441,459**

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE HIGHWAY USERS FUND

Traffic Fines and Forfeits	$2,472,494
D.U.I. - Outside City Limits	531,191
D.U.I. - Inside City Limits (50%)	395,305
Bad Debt Expense	(86,908)
Collection Service Fees	(28,186)
TOTAL	**$3,283,896**

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE GAME, FISH AND PARKS FUNDS

Fish & Game Fines (50%)	$ 35,286
Collection Service Fees	(271)
Bad Debt Expense	(51)
TOTAL	**$ 34,964**

GRAND TOTAL $9,760,319

DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES
FISCAL YEAR 1981-1982*

	EXPEND-ITURES GENERAL FUNDS	EXPEND-ITURES AUGMENT-ING FUNDS	TOTAL EXPEND-ITURES
Supreme Court	$ 1,153,613	$	$ 1,153,613
Court of Appeals	1,353,279		1,353,279
Trial Courts	33,987,767	88,201	34,075,968
Probation	9,712,402	837,392	10,549,794
Community Corrections	2,294,103		2,294,103
Administration	1,042,374	137,198	1,179,572
Special Purpose	381,245		381,245
ADP	725,765		725,765
Judicial/Heritage	436,897		436,897
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	**$51,087,445**	**$1,062,791**	**$52,150,236**
PUBLIC DEFENDER	$5,770,0000		$ 5,770,000

* Final expenditures were not available at publication.

DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES FOR FY 1981-82



FOR EVERY FIFTY DOLLARS COLLECTED FROM COLORADO TAXPAYERS ...

... ONE DOLLAR GOES TO COLORADO COURTS.[1]

[1] Total tax dollars collected for Colorado in 1981 was $1,828,649,000. The Colorado Judicial Department budget was $45,917,981. Colorado Department of Revenue Annual Report.

THE JUDICIAL PLANNING COUNCIL

THE JUDICIAL PLANNING COUNCIL

In 1977, the Judicial Planning Committee was created to comply with the requirements of the amended Federal Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act. In 1979 the Chief Justice by directive reorganized the committee as the Judicial Planning Council (JPC). It is charged with the following duties:

1) to study the needs and problems of the judicial system;

2) to define problems and recommend improvements in the judicial system and its administration;

3) to suggest priorities in achieving the recommended improvements;

4) to provide policy guidance and supervision for programs and activities as directed by the Chief Justice; and

5) to coordinate planning efforts among groups and associations concerned with improvements in the judicial system.

Members of the JPC are appointed by the Chief Justice and include appellate, trial, and municipal court judges, as well as concerned citizens and leaders of court-related organizations.

Much of the JPC's work is done by standing or special committees appointed to deal with specific projects. Among the current committees are the Committee on Law Library Standards, the Committee on Public Education, the Committee on Counsel for Indigent Persons, the Committee on Court Jurisdiction, the Alimony and Support Committee, and the Committee on Personnel Reclassification. Each committee includes members from the judicial, legislative, and executive branches of the government, representatives of various professional associations, and other prominent members of the community.

COMMITTEE ON LAW LIBRARY STANDARDS

In August 1981, the JPC adopted the report of it's Committee on Law Library Standards. The report evaluated the status, needs, and costs associated with state funded local law libraries and developed standards which took into account court, judge, facility, and other user needs. The recommendations, which were forwarded to the Supreme Court for approval, were designed to provide continued access to legal information within a statewide framework for upkeep and expansion.

COMMITTEE ON COUNSEL FOR INDIGENT PERSONS

The Committee on Counsel for Indigent Persons was formed to make an in-depth study concerning the nature, extent, and methods of implementing the state's responsibility to provide counsel for all indigent persons before the courts. The committee's October 1981 report recognized several major problems in Colorado:

1) the law has expanded the state's responsibility for providing court-appointed counsel resulting in an increasing caseload;

2) the cost of court-appointed counsel has risen at an alarming rate over a three-year period; and

3) the responsibility of members of the private bar vis-a-vis the State has not been defined or quantified.

Two pilot projects have been commenced as a result of the Committee's study and subsequent recommendations. One project involves the state contracting with the private bar for legal representation in certain criminal cases in Mesa County, while the other is experimenting with three diverse models for providing guardian ad litem service in Denver Juvenile Court. These will be evaluated for possible adoption as a permanent part of the judicial system.

The Committee's report also deals with the issue of pro-bono responsibility of practicing lawyers and attempts to delineate the appropriate relationship between such responsibility and government's responsibility. Fee recommendations were also made.

COMMITTEE ON COURT JURISDICTION

A Committee to study court jurisdiction and structure was formed in August, 1981 to study the problems of overlapping jurisdiction in the trial and appellate courts and to examine the appropriateness of extra-judicial duties performed by judges.

The Committee met during the fiscal year and formed a legislative package addressing the non-adjudicatory duties that judges are required to perform based upon studies provided through contracts with the University of Denver College of Law and the Institute for Court Management. The Committee is currently reviewing jurisdictional issues.

COMMITTEE ON ALIMONY AND SUPPORT

The Alimony and Support Committee studied the court's role in the collection and disbursement of alimony and support funds and recommended specific plans to improve the operation of the existing system. The Committee adopted a series of recommendations that would move the courts toward relinquishing the administrative functions involved in the collection and disbursement of alimony and support funds. The report was forwarded to the Supreme Court which approved a majority of the recommendations. These are currently being addressed by the State Court Administrator's office.

COMMITTEE ON PERSONNEL RECLASSIFICATION

This Committee was established to examine reclassification issues involving Judicial Department employees. In September 1981, a public hearing held in Colorado Springs enabled judges, administrators, court clerks, probation officers, and clerical staff to recommend system improvements. As a result of this input, the Committee formulated recommendations which were forwarded to the State Court Administrator's office.

PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMITTEE

The brochure "You and Your Colorado Courts", originally published two years ago, has received further widespread coverage, exhausting the supply of 70,000 in English by the end of FY 1981-82. The committee made minor revisions, had sketches redrawn, and contracted for the reprinting in late June 1982. This publication has been extremely popular and well received in all areas. The Colorado Education Association distributed several thousand copies to classroom teachers in 1981, in addition to the extensive circulation afforded through the courts.

The audio-visual program has been enhanced considerably the past year. Copies of the 17-minute presentation "Serving Life" have been produced in 16mm film for areas which do not have the slide show made available to several larger districts last year. Dozens of showings of the slides have been made around the state. Arrangements are being made to make the jury slides and the court show interchangeable. The ultimate goal is to have units available in every judicial district for either slides or film. This program is over two-thirds completed. A committee of probation officials is working with the Public Education Committee to produce a show dealing with probation, since this topic is very frequently requested for programs by organizations and groups.

A speakers bureau folder was prepared in late 1981, listing a contact person for each judicial district, as well as the state office. Distribution was made in courts all over the state, and copies mailed to various service clubs and other civic organizations. A steady stream of requests for speakers has resulted, and judges and other court personnel, sometimes with audio-visual equipment, have filled a large number of engagements the past 12 months. Interest has been high in the audiences.

Other means of disseminating knowledge about the courts, especially pin-pointed toward school children, are under study. A subcommittee is in touch with the media concerning future programming of court information, especially on television.

OTHER ACTIVITY

In addition to the work of its committees, the JPC undertook a major survey of the members of the judicial, legislative, and executive branches of the state government, as well as the Colorado Bar Association, county organizations, and the public. The survey, which is still underway, will identify needs and problems of the judicial system and will culminate in the development of an updated five-year plan of recommended programs and activities to address the issues identified.

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES

Table 1.
Caseload of the Colorado Appellate Courts . . . . . .

Table 2.
Caseload of the Supreme Court . . . . . .

Table 3.
Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court . . . . . .

Table 4.
Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court . . . . . .

Table 5.
Distribution of Case Terminations in the Supreme Court . . . . . .

Table 6.
Average Number of Written Opinions per Supreme Court Justice . . . . .

Table 7.
Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue
the Final Day of the Fiscal Year 1981-82. . . . . .

Table 8.
Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue
the Final Day of the Fiscal Year 1981-82. . . . . .

Table 9.
Distribution of Cases Pending in the Supreme Court
the Last Day of Fiscal Year, FY 1964-65 - FY 1981-82. . . . . .

Table 10.
Caseload of the Court of Appeals . . . . . .

Table 11.
Distribution of Case Filings in the Court of Appeals . . . . . .

Table 12.
Distribution of Case Terminations in the Court of Appeals . . . . . .

Table 13.
Distribution of Court of Appeals Cases
the Final Day of the Fiscal Year, 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 14.
Distribution of Cases Pending in the Court of Appeals
the Last Day of the Fiscal Year, FY 1969-70 - FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 15.
District Court Caseload . . . . . .

Table 16.
District Court Filings and Percent Change
FY 1978-79 - FY 1981-82, By District . . . . . .

Table 17.
Caseload Growth in the District Courts . . . . . .

Table 18.
District Court Caseflow, FY 1978-79 - FY 1981-82, Statewide . . . . . .

Table 19.
Average New Filings and Terminations per Judge
(and Referee) For FY 1981 and FY 1982 . . . . . .

Table 20.
Total Caseflow in the District Courts
by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 21.
Domestic Relations Caseflow in the
District Courts by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 22.
Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 23.
Domestic Relations Terminations by
Type of Case Filed, FY 1981-82. . . . . .

Table 24.
Civil Caseflow in the District Courts
by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 25.
Civil Case Filings by Type of Case, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 26.
Civil Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 27.
Probate Caseflow in the District Courts by
District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 28.
Probate Filings by Type of Case, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 29.
Probate Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1981-82. . . . . .

Table 30.
Juvenile Caseflow in the District Courts by
District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 31.
Juvenile Case Filings by Type of Case, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 32.
Juvenile Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 33.
Mental Health Caseflow in the District Courts by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 34.
Mental Health Filings by Type of Case, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 35.
Mental Health Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 36.
Criminal Caseflow in the District Courts by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 37.
Criminal Offense Filing by Type of Offense, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 38.
Criminal Offense Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1981-82 . . . .

Table 39.
Offense and Non-Offense Filings Plus Total Criminal Filings, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 40.
Offense and Non-Offense Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 41.
Caseload of the Water Courts, FY 1978-79 - FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 42.
Number of New Cases Filed and Number of New Claims in Colorado Water Courts, FY 1978-79 - FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 43.
County Court Caseload, FY 1975-76 - FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 44.
County Court Caseflow, FY 1979-80 - FY 1981-82, Statewide. . . . . .

Table 45.
County Court Filings and Percent Change, FY 1977-78 - FY 1981-82 . . .

Table 46.
Total Caseflow in the County Courts by District and County, FY 1981-82. .

Table 47.
Civil Caseflow in the County Courts by District and County, FY 1981-82 . .

Table 48.
Small Claims Caseflow in the County Courts by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 49.
Traffic Caseflow in the County Courts
by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 50.
Misdemeanor Caseflow in the County Courts
by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 51.
Felony Preliminaries in the County Courts by Type, FY 1981-82. . . . . .

Table 52.
Court Trials and Jury Trials in the
County Courts by District and County, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 53.
Number and Percent Distribution of County Court
Civil Case Filings, by Money Amount, FY 1981-82. . . . . .

Table 54.
Number and Percent Distribution of County Court
Small Claims Filings, by Money Amount, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 55.
Number and Percent Distribution of
Felony Complaints Disposed of in County Court, FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 56.
Probation Department Supervision and
Investigation Caseloads - State Totals, FY 1978-79 - 1981-82. . . . . .

Table 57.
Probation Department Supervision Caseloads by District, FY 1981-82 . . .

Table 58.
Adult Probation Department Investigations by District, FY 1981-82 . . . .

Table 59.
Juvenile Probation Department Investigations by District, FY 1981-82 . . .

Table 60.
Restitution Paid by Juvenile and Adult Probationers, FY 1981-82 . . . . .

Table 61.
Restitution Paid by Juvenile and Adult Probationers,
FY 1975-76 - FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

Table 62.
Community Corrections - FY 1981-82 . . . . . .

THE SUPREME COURT

TABLE 1. CASELOAD OF THE COLORADO APPELLATE COURTS

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	APPEALS FILED	TOTAL CASELOAD	APPEALS TERM.	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
Supreme Court					
1964-65	565	484	1,049	447	602
1965-66	602	581	1,183	437	746
1966-67	746	640	1,386	542	844
1967-68	844	574	1,418	519	899
1968-69	899	620	1,519	496	1,023
Supreme Court and Court of Appeals					
1969-70	1,023	677	1,700	645	1,055
1970-71	1,055	845	1,900	1,013	887
1971-72	887	926	1,813	1,035	778
1972-73	778	1,051	1,829	1,046	783
1973-74	783	1,022	1,805	967	838
1974-75	838	1,370	2,208	1,250	958
1975-76	958	1,480	2,438	1,421[a]	1,017
1976-77	1,017	1,780	2,797	1,539	1,258
1977-78	1,258	1,900	3,158	1,820[a]	1,338
1978-79	1,353[b]	2,060	3,413	1,901[a]	1,512
1979-80	1,512	2,021	3,533	1,921[a]	1,612
1980-81	1,612	2,179	3,791	2,132	1,659
1981-82	**1,669[b]**	**2,406**	**4,075**	**2,163**	**1,912**

a Court of Appeals opinions withdrawn in following fiscal year.
b Revised pending figure.



TABLE 2. CASELOAD OF THE SUPREME COURT

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	CASES FILED	TOTAL CASELOAD	CASES TERM.	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
1964-65	565	484	1,049	447	602
1965-66	602	581	1,183	437	746
1966-67	746	640	1,386	542	844
1967-68	844	574	1,418	519	899
1968-69	899	620	1,519	496	1,023
1969-70	1,023	568	1,591	484[a]	847
1970-71	847	544	1,391	581[b]	511
1971-72	511	517	1,028	605	423
1972-73	423	606	1,029	602	427
1973-74	427	611	1,038	559	479
1974-75	479	553	1,032	666	366
1975-76	366	651	1,017	674	343
1976-77	343	735	1,078	704	374
1977-78	374	854	1,228	893	335
1978-79	350[c]	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	**458**	**1,052**	**1,510**	**1,001**	**509**

[a]In addition, 260 backlog cases were transferred to the Court of Appeals.

[b]In addition, 299 backlog cases were transferred to the Court of Appeals.

[c]Revised pending figure.



TABLE 3. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS IN THE SUPREME COURT

FISCAL YEAR	DIRECT FILINGS	TRANSFERS FROM COURT OF APPEALS	REOPENED CASES	TOTAL FILINGS
1969-70	564	4	0	568
1970-71	528	16	0	544
1971-72	508	9	0	517
1972-73	582	20	4	606
1973-74	575	30	6	611
1974-75	517	33	3	553
1975-76	569	81	1	651
1976-77	658	75	2	735
1977-78	784	66	4	854
1978-79	852	89	0	941
1979-80	817	130	3	950
1980-81	900	54	12	966
1981-82	**981**	**64**	**7**	**1,052**

TABLE 4. DISTRIBUTION BY TYPE OF CASE FILED IN THE SUPREME COURT

	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81	FY 81-82
Criminal Appeals	99	96	151	72	**107**
Original Proceedings	251	284	276	333	**312**
Petitions in Certiorari	353	375	347	417	**451**
Civil Appeals (including water cases, P.U.C. decisions and constitutional questions)	63	81	57	47	**70**
Interlocutories	26	25	31	17	**29**
Statutory Review (includes Ballot Title Review)	0	3	7	7	**4**
Habeas Corpus	26	49	26	30	**29**
Bail Reduction	1	0	0	0	**1**
Non-Adversary Sentence Review	0	0	0	0	**1**
Interrogatories	3	0	1	2	**2**
Reapportionment	0	0	0	0	**1**
Reopened Cases	4	0	3	12	**7**
Rules 21.1	0	1	0	0	**2**
Judicial Qualifications Review	0	0	0	0	**1**
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	1	0	0	**0**
Unauthorized Practice	0	0	0	0	**1**
Original Proceedings (in Discipline)	28	26	51	29	**34**
TOTAL	854	941	950	966	**1,052**

TABLE 5.
DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS IN THE SUPREME COURT

FISCAL YEAR	DISPOSED OF BY WRITTEN OPINION	DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	TRANSFERRED TO COURT OF APPEALS	TOTAL TERMS	% OF WRITTEN OPINIONS TO DISPOSITIONS
1964-65	254	193	447		447	56.8
1965-66	251	186	437		437	57.4
1966-67	325	217	542		542	60.0
1967-68	321	198	519		519	61.8
1968-69	299	197	496		496	60.3
1969-70	230	254	484	260	744	47.5
1970-71	346	235	581	299	880	59.6
1971-72	352	245	597	8	605	59.0
1972-73	291	308	599	3	602	48.6
1973-74	303	253	556	3	559	54.5
1974-75	343	315	658	8	666	52.1
1975-76	293	377	670	4	674	43.7
1976-77	285	411	696	8	704	40.9
1977-78	322	564	886	7	893	36.3
1978-79	284	587	871	6	877	32.6
1979-80	291	596	887	6	893	32.8
1980-81	294	683	979	2	979	30.1
1981-82	**279**	**722**	**1,001**	**5**	**1,001**	**27.9**

TABLE 6. AVERAGE NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS PER SUPREME COURT JUSTICE

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS BY JUSTICES[a]	AVERAGE NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS PER JUSTICE
1964-65	254	36.3
1965-66	251	35.9
1966-67	315	45.0
1967-68	305	43.6
1968-69	274	39.1
1969-70	225	32.1
1970-71	314	44.9
1971-72	319	45.6
1972-73	291	41.6
1973-74	303	43.3
1974-75	343	49.0
1975-76	293	41.9
1976-77	285	40.7
1977-78	322	46.0
1978-79	284	40.6
1979-80	291	41.6
1980-81	294	42.0
1981-82	**279**	**39.9**

[a]Opinions written by visiting judges in FY 1966-67 - 1971-72 not included.

TABLE 7. DISTRIBUTION OF SUPREME COURT CASES AT ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1981-82

	CERT.	APPEALS	GRIEV-ANCES	TOTAL
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	37	59	0	96
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	28	59	0	87
Cases submitted without argument	20	41	6	67
Opinion announced awaiting action on rehearing	1	15	0	16
TOTAL	86	174	6	266

TABLE 8. DISTRIBUTION OF SUPREME COURT CASES NOT AT ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1981-82

PENDING CASES	TOTAL
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	24
Appeals pending	106
Appeals on limited remand	1
Appeals held in abeyance	1
GRIEVANCE MATTERS	
Pending	6
Referred to grievance committee	5
UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE	
Pending	1
TOTAL	144

TABLE 9. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES PENDING IN THE SUPREME COURT THE LAST DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1981-82

FISCAL YEAR	CASES AT ISSUE[a]	CASES AWAITING DECISION ON CERTIORARI ACCEPTANCE	CASES NOT YET AT ISSUE	TOTAL PENDING	PERCENT AT ISSUE OR AWAITING DECISION ON CERTIORARI
1964-65	379		223	602	63.0
1965-66	477		269	746	63.9
1966-67	474		370	844	56.2
1967-68	565		334	899	62.8
1968-69	643		380	1,023	62.9
1969-70	562		285	847	66.4
1970-71	337		174	511	65.9
1971-72	278		145	423	65.7
1972-73	194		233	427	45.4
1973-74	230		249	479	48.0
1974-75	304		62	366	83.1
1975-76	282		61	343	82.2
1976-77	295		79	374	78.9
1977-78	244		91	335	72.8
1978-79	200	56	158	414	61.8
1979-80	278	40	138	471	67.5
1980-81	230	69	146	445	67.2
1981-82	**266**	**99**	**144**	**509**	**71.7**

[a] Prior to FY 1978-79, cases at issue and those awaiting decision on certiorari acceptance were combined.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

TABLE 10. CASELOAD OF THE COURT OF APPEALS

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	NEW CASES[a]	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
1970-71	208	616	824	448	376
1971-72	376	426	802	447	355
1972-73	355	468	823	467	356
1973-74	356	444	800	441	359
1974-75	359	858	1,217	625	592
1975-76	592	915	1,507	833[b]	674
1976-77	674	1,128	1,802	918	884
1977-78	884	1,119	2,003	1,000[b]	1,003
1978-79	1,003	1,214	2,217	1,119[b]	1,098
1979-80	1,098	1,207	2,305	1,164[b]	1,141
1980-81	1,139	1,285	2,424	1,213[c]	1,211
1981-82	**1,211**	**1,512**	**2,723**	**1,320**	**1,403**

a Includes reopened cases and cases remanded from the U.S. and the Colorado Supreme Courts.

b One opinion was withdrawn in the following fiscal year.

c Two opinions were withdrawn in the following fiscal year.



TABLE 11. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FY 1970-71 TO FY 1981-82

	70-71	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79	79-80	80-81[a]	81-82[a]
Civil Appeals[b]	279	363	390	386	536	566	634	715	795	816	826	931
Transfers from Supreme Court	299	8	3	3	3	3	6	3	2	2	5	4
Industrial Comm.	38	55	75	55	36	85	165	82	73	96	125	150
Transfers from Supreme Court											2	4
Criminal Appeals					278	259	321	315	340	276	280	352
Transfers from Supreme Court					5	2	2	4	4	4	0	0
Non-adversary sentence review										13	47	71
TOTAL	616	426	468	444	858	915	1,128	1,119	1,214	1,207	1,285	1,512

TABLE 12. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FY 1970-71 TO FY 1981-82

	70-71	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79	79-80	80-81	81-82[a]
Closed by opinion (published)[c]	390	368	357	337	467	559[e]	445	554	308	284	325	334
Closed by opinion (unpublished)[d]							148	97[e]	437[e]	436	466	574
Closed without opinion	42	70	90	74	125	193	250	283	285	316	364	337
Transferred to Supreme Court	16	9	20	30	33	81	75	66	89	130	58	75
TOTAL	448	447	467	441	625	833[e]	918	1,000[e]	1,119[e]	1,166	1,213	1,320

[a] Transfers from Supreme Court include remands.

[b] Includes appeals from courts, and administrative boards and agencies within jurisdiction except Industrial Commission.

[c] Prior to December 8, 1977, referred to as written opinion.

[d] From July 1, 1976 - December 8, 1977, referred to as memorandum opinion.

[e] One opinion was withdrawn in the following fiscal year.

TABLE 13. DISTRIBUTION OF COURT OF APPEALS CASES THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1981-82

Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	
Criminal appeals	33
Civil appeals	251
Industrial Commission appeals	17
TOTAL	**301**
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	
Criminal appeals	16
Civil appeals	101
Industrial Commission appeals	8
TOTAL	**125**
Cases submitted without oral argument	141
TOTAL cases at issue	567[a]
TOTAL cases not yet at issue	836
TOTAL cases open	**1,403**

a 53 cases not included: opinions written but awaiting action on rehearing.

TABLE 14. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES PENDING IN THE COURT OF APPEALS THE LAST DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1970-71 TO FY 1981-82

FISCAL YEAR	CASES AT ISSUE[a]	CASES NOT YET AT ISSUE	TOTAL PENDING[a]	PERCENT AT ISSUE TO TOTAL
1970-71	240	136	376	63.8
1971-72	183	172	355	51.5
1972-73	148	208	356	41.6
1973-74	175	184	359	48.7
1974-75	169	423	592	28.5
1975-76	252	421	673[b]	37.4
1976-77	257	627	884	29.1
1977-78	261	741	1,002[b]	26.0
1978-79	395	702	1,097[b]	36.0
1979-80	527	612	1,141	46.4
1980-81	566	645	1,211	46.7
1981-82	**567**	**836**	**1,403**	**40.4**

a Cases in which opinions were written but were awaiting action on rehearing were not included.

b Opinions withdrawn in the following fiscal year, changing the pending count.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

TABLE 15. DISTRICT COURT CASELOAD - FY 1978-79 TO FY 1981-82[a]

	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81	FY 81-82
DOMESTIC RELATIONS				
Cases Pending July 1	23,122	25,822	27,802	29,094
New Cases Filed	33,888	34,505	36,137	35,188
Post Judgment Actions	7,924	8,730	9,039	9,259
TOTAL Caseload	**64,934**	**69,057**	**72,978**	**73,541**
Cases Terminated	28,968	31,184	33,450	35,401
Post Judgment Terminations	10,144	10,071	10,434	12,400
Cases Pending June 30	25,822	27,802	29,094	25,740
CIVIL[b]				
Cases Pending July 1	27,501	32,044	38,748	42,018
New Cases Filed	30,566	37,365	42,723	35,340
Post Judgment Actions	6,932	7,892	9,468	11,162
TOTAL Caseload	**64,999**	**77,301**	**90,939**	**88,520**
Cases Terminated	25,071	29,032	37,853	36,787
Post Judgment Terminations	7,884	9,521	11,068	13,039
Cases Pending June 30	32,044	38,748	42,018	38,694
PROBATE				
Cases Pending July 1	20,439	18,183[d]	19,084	21,045
New Cases Filed	6,963	7,223	7,719	8,736
Post Judgment Actions	638	482	482	536
TOTAL Caseload	**28,040**	**25,888**	**27,285**	**30,317**
Cases Terminated	9,539[c]	6,051	5,833	6,306
Post Judgment Terminations	793	753	407	1,415
Cases Pending June 30	17,708	19,084	21,045	22,596
JUVENILE				
Cases Pending July 1	14,038	16,733	19,654	23,099
New Cases Filed	16,497	16,687	18,703	17,503
Post Judgment Actions	7,162	6,227	6,525	8,650
TOTAL Caseload	**37,697**	**39,647**	**44,882**	**49,252**
Cases Terminated	13,361	13,682	15,012	17,548
Post Judgment Terminations	7,603	6,311	6,771	7,633
Cases Pending June 30	16,733	19,654	23,099	24,071
MENTAL HEALTH				
Cases Pending July 1	1,268	1,280	1,295	1,408
New Cases Filed	2,073	2,523	2,713	2,520
Post Judgment Actions	970	655	608	452
TOTAL Caseload	**4,311**	**4,458**	**4,616**	**4,380**
Cases Terminated	1,993	2,358	2,674	2,603
Post Judgment Terminations	1,038	805	534	423
Cases Pending June 30	1,280	1,295	1,408	1,354
CRIMINAL				
Cases Pending July 1	11,603	12,562	15,346	17,389
New Cases Filed	11,614	13,410	15,002	15,348
Post Judgment Actions	3,673	4,114	4,712	5,842
TOTAL Caseload	**26,890**	**30,086**	**35,060**	**38,579**
Cases Terminated	9,661	10,351	12,414	13,754
Post Judgment Terminations	4,667	4,389	5,257	6,245
Cases Pending June 30	12,562	15,346	17,389	18,580
TOTAL				
Cases Pending July 1	97,971	106,624[d]	121,929	134,053
New Cases Filed	101,601	111,713	122,997	114,635
Post Judgment Actions	27,299	28,100	30,834	35,901
TOTAL Caseload	**226,871**	**246,437**	**275,760**	**284,589**
Cases Terminated	88,593[c]	92,658	107,236	112,399
Post Judgment Terminations	32,129	31,850	34,471	41,155
Cases Pending June 30	106,149	121,929	134,053	131,035

[a] All District Courts plus Denver Superior, Denver Juvenile, and Denver Probate.

[b] Does not include water cases.

[c] Includes 4,369 statistical terminations of old cases in Denver.

[d] Revised pending figure.

TABLE 16. DISTRICT COURT FILINGS AND PERCENT CHANGE FY 1978-79 TO FY 1981-82, BY DISTRICT

DISTRICT	New Cases Filed				Percent Change 80-81 81-82	Percent Change 78-79 81-82
	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82		
1	10,321	11,167	11,938	10,815	-9.4	4.8
2						
District	17,673	19,350	21,954	20,332	-7.4	15.1
Superior	2,265	2,660	3,226	1,071	-66.8	-52.7
Juvenile	3,674	3,537	4,186	3,774	-9.8	2.7
Probate	2,161	2,356	2,490	3,532	41.9	63.4
3	896	1,074	1,003	854	-14.9	-4.7
4	12,368	13,555	14,756	13,560	-8.1	9.6
5	1,696	1,843	2,219	2,123	-4.3	25.2
6	1,289	1,349	1,415	1,545	9.2	19.9
7	1,671	1,940	2,216	2,040	-7.9	22.1
8	3,817	4,728	5,340	4,699	-12.0	23.1
9	1,551	1,786	1,860	2,079	11.8	34.0
10	4,750	5,007	5,387	4,823	-10.5	1.5
11	1,992	2,015	2,206	2,093	-5.1	5.1
12	1,274	1,263	1,347	1,433	6.4	12.5
13	1,895	2,023	2,327	2,296	-1.3	21.2
14	1,387	1,429	1,638	1,776	8.4	28.1
15	807	854	727	818	12.5	1.4
16	902	1,127	1,222	1,044	-14.6	15.7
17	7,493	8,348	9,223	9,003	-2.3	20.2
18	9,151	10,235	10,785	10,317	11.9	12.7
19	3,851	4,220	4,532	4,172	-7.9	8.3
20	5,250	6,098	6,508	6,193	-4.8	17.8
21	2,896	3,118	3695	3,511	-5.0	21.2
22	571	631	797	732	-8.2	28.2
STATE TOTAL	**101,601**	**111,713**	**122,997**	**114,635**	**-6.8**	**12.8**

TABLE 17. CASELOAD GROWTH IN THE DISTRICT COURTS



TABLE 18 - DISTRICT COURT CASEFLOW, FY 1978-79—1981-82, STATEWIDE

PERCENTAGE BREAKDOWN OF NEW FILINGS



DISTRICT COURT CASEFLOW				
	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82
NEW CASES FILED	101,601	111,713	122,997	114,671
CASES TERMINATED	88,593	92,658	107,236	111,220
PENDING AT YEAR END	106,149	121,929	134,053	131,035



TABLE 19. AVERAGE NEW FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS PER JUDGE (AND REFEREE) FOR FY 1981 AND FY 1982[a]

DISTRICT	NEW CASES FILED		TERMINATIONS		NO. OF JUDGES AND REFEREES	
	FY 81	FY 82	FY 81	FY 82	FY 81	FY 82
1	1,193.8	983.2	1,044.3	972.8	10	11
2						
District	997.9	924.2	823.2	891.5	22	22
Superior	3,226.0	1,071.0	2,955.0	1,358.0	1	1
Juvenile	837.2	754.8	418.4	782.2	5	5
Probate	2,490.0	1,766.0	367.2	983.5	1	2
3	501.5	427.0	699.5	665.5	2	2
4	1,229.6	1,043.1	1,191.1	1,120.8	12	13
5	1,109.5	1,061.5	922.5	1,143.5	2	2
6	707.5	772.5	853.5	835.5	2	2
7	1,108.0	1,020.0	931.5	1,032.5	2	2
8	1,335.0	1,174.8	1,103.5	1,291.8	4	4
9	620.0	693.0	587.3	770.3	3	3
10	897.8	803.8	855.6	826.8	6	6
11	735.3	697.7	1,162.5	707.3	3	3
12	673.5	716.5	607.5	825.0	2	2
13	581.7	574.0	614.0	627.5	4	4
14	819.0	888.0	782.5	949.0	2	2
15	363.5	409.0	343.0	317.0	2	2
16	611.0	522.0	637.0	534.5	2	2
17	1,152.8	1,125.4	944.5	945.0	8	8
18	567.6	937.9	1,012.7	879.8	10	11
19	1,133.0	834.4	990.2	645.6	4	5
20	1,084.6	1,038.2	881.5	806.7	6	6
21	1,231.6	1,170.3	1,078.0	1,037.3	3	3
22	797.0	732.0	704.0	871.0	1	1
STATE AVERAGE	**1,033.6**	**947.7**	**901.1**	**919.2**	**119**	**121**

[a] Filings do not include water cases or water referees.

TABLE 20. TOTAL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST							
Gilpin	98	129	47	274	136	7	131
Jefferson	11,655	10,686	3,011	25,352	10,701	4,930	9,721
TOTAL	**11,753**	**10,815**	**3,058**	**25,626**	**10,837**	**4,937**	**9,852**
2ND							
Denver District	24,582	20,332	8,022	52,936	19,612	11,774	21,550
Denver Superior	4,005	1,071	136	5,212	1,358	173	3,681
Denver Juvenile	10,232	3,774	1,421	15,427	3,911	0	11,516
Denver Probate	6,568	3,532	222	10,322	1,967	947	7,408
TOTAL	**45,387**	**28,709**	**9,801**	**83,897**	**26,848**	**12,894**	**44,155**
3RD							
Huerfano	370	293	84	747	393	0	354
Las Animas	781	561	156	1,498	938	17	543
TOTAL	**1,151**	**854**	**240**	**2,245**	**1,331**	**17**	**897**
4TH							
El Paso	13,131	13,218	5,201	31,550	14,229	6,186	11,135
Teller	285	342	109	736	356	0	380
TOTAL	**13,416**	**13,560**	**5,310**	**32,286**	**14,585**	**6,186**	**11,515**
5TH							
Clear Creek	310	296	84	690	334	8	348
Eagle	852	856	192	1,900	784	1	1,115
Lake	396	320	188	904	528	103	273
Summit	745	651	41	1,437	641	54	742
TOTAL	**2,303**	**2,123**	**505**	**4,931**	**2,287**	**166**	**2,478**
6TH							
Archuleta	369	222	51	642	224	24	394
La Plata	1,181	1,265	418	2,864	1,416	246	1,202
San Juan	57	58	5	120	31	44	45
TOTAL	**1,607**	**1,545**	**474**	**3,626**	**1,671**	**314**	**1,641**
7TH							
Delta	564	661	73	1,298	661	30	607
Gunnison	384	405	48	837	408	23	406
Hinsdale	38	25	6	69	11	6	52
Montrose	706	761	173	1,640	789	188	663
Ouray	77	91	9	177	84	4	89
San Miguel	330	97	76	503	112	2	389
TOTAL	**2,099**	**2,040**	**385**	**4,524**	**2,065**	**253**	**2,206**
8TH							
Jackson	112	80	8	200	66	19	115
Larimer	5,165	4,619	1,493	11,277	5,101	1,471	4,705
TOTAL	**5,277**	**4,699**	**1,501**	**11,477**	**5,167**	**1,490**	**4,820**
9TH							
Garfield	1,477	1,185	317	2,979	1,634	56	1,289
Pitkin	1,331	674	177	2,182	444	162	1,576
Rio Blanco	268	220	134	622	233	61	328
TOTAL	**3,076**	**2,079**	**628**	**5,783**	**2,311**	**279**	**3,193**
10TH							
Pueblo	**3,756**	**4,823**	**2,567**	**11,146**	**4,961**	**2,493**	**3,692**
11TH							
Chaffee	498	561	184	1,243	663	91	489
Custer	60	46	8	114	51	18	45
Fremont	1,010	1,215	521	2,746	1,185	586	975
Park	297	271	18	586	223	100	263
TOTAL	**1,865**	**2,093**	**731**	**4,689**	**2,122**	**795**	**1,772**

TABLE 20. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH							
Alamosa	341	521	196	1,058	582	73	403
Conejos	156	158	65	379	210	17	152
Costilla	94	127	14	235	113	9	113
Mineral	21	16	4	41	16	0	25
Rio Grande	316	452	109	877	510	10	357
Saguache	149	159	54	362	219	10	133
TOTAL	1,077	1,433	442	2,952	1,650	119	1,183
13TH							
Kit Carson	139	171	13	323	176	7	140
Logan	478	558	98	1,134	548	67	519
Morgan	736	965	213	1,914	1,146	78	690
Phillips	153	128	18	299	109	14	176
Sedgwick	74	54	8	136	66	5	65
Washington	247	164	27	438	178	6	254
Yuma	512	256	62	830	287	6	537
TOTAL	2,339	2,296	439	5,074	2,510	183	2,381
14TH							
Grand	330	418	92	840	456	0	384
Moffat	511	711	23	1,245	720	44	481
Routt	596	647	76	1,319	722	0	597
TOTAL	1,437	1,776	191	3,404	1,898	44	1,462
15TH							
Baca	344	212	12	568	213	27	328
Cheyenne	107	71	13	191	97	3	91
Kiowa	93	83	14	190	103	11	76
Prowers	495	452	61	1,008	221	321	466
TOTAL	1,039	818	100	1,957	634	362	961
16TH							
Bent	181	201	59	441	247	9	185
Crowley	70	86	14	170	63	0	107
Otero	652	757	285	1,694	759	329	606
TOTAL	903	1,044	358	2,305	1,069	338	898
17TH							
Adams	8,130	9,083	2,475	19,608	7,560	3,577	8,471
18TH							
Arapahoe	9,921	9,204	3,357	22,482	8,577	2,943	10,962
Douglas	837	795	135	1,767	813	95	859
Elbert	185	176	59	420	164	49	207
Lincoln	100	142	31	273	124	20	129
TOTAL	11,043	10,317	3,582	24,942	9,678	3,107	12,157
19TH							
Weld	6,021	4,172	1,035	11,228	3,649	1,393	6,186
20TH							
Boulder	7,077	6,193	971	14,241	5,583	1,150	7,508
21ST							
Mesa	2,793	3,511	925	7,229	3,112	1,032	3,085
22ND							
Dolores	39	61	1	101	25	19	57
Montezuma	465	671	182	1,318	846	7	465
TOTAL	504	732	183	1,419	871	26	522
STATE TOTAL	134,053	114,635	35,901	284,589	112,399	41,155	131,035

TABLE 21. DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST							
Gilpin	11	27	15	53	26	0	27
Jefferson	3,629	4,001	1,091	8,721	3,913	1,948	2,860
TOTAL	3,640	4,028	1,106	8,774	3,939	1,948	2,887
2ND							
Denver	8,628	6,729	2,066	17,423	6,931	4,469	6,023
3RD							
Huerfano	51	83	20	154	112	0	42
Las Animas	62	143	47	252	197	0	55
TOTAL	113	226	67	406	309	0	97
4TH							
El Paso	3,629	4,280	1,561	9,470	4,799	1,922	2,749
Teller	35	70	26	131	80	0	51
TOTAL	3,664	4,350	1,587	9,601	4,879	1,922	2,800
5TH							
Clear Creek	37	82	31	150	108	0	42
Eagle	105	164	28	297	147	0	150
Lake	71	130	65	266	154	60	52
Summit	112	124	4	240	120	54	66
TOTAL	325	500	128	953	529	114	310
6TH							
Archuleta	59	52	15	126	75	11	40
La Plata	190	377	103	670	371	135	164
San Juan	5	13	1	19	9	7	3
TOTAL	254	442	119	815	455	153	207
7TH							
Delta	129	275	16	420	295	5	120
Gunnison	67	113	17	197	135	0	62
Hinsdale	5	4	1	10	2	2	6
Montrose	156	313	69	538	336	44	158
Ouray	6	22	3	31	19	0	12
San Miguel	47	32	16	95	35	0	60
TOTAL	410	759	122	1,291	822	51	418
8TH							
Jackson	24	40	1	65	33	15	17
Larimer	1,261	1,519	506	3,286	1,949	506	831
TOTAL	1,285	1,559	507	3,351	1,982	521	848
9TH							
Garfield	373	426	50	849	536	1	312
Pitkin	106	141	7	254	72	0	182
Rio Blanco	73	84	75	232	108	45	79
TOTAL	552	651	132	1,335	716	46	573
10TH							
Pueblo	872	1,362	658	2,892	1,440	637	815
11TH							
Chaffee	109	191	62	362	205	51	106
Custer	3	7	0	10	7	1	2
Fremont	226	415	140	781	422	176	183
Park	41	31	1	73	38	11	24
TOTAL	379	644	203	1,226	672	239	315

TABLE 21. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH							
Alamosa	101	187	55	343	179	59	105
Conejos	19	28	12	59	36	7	16
Costilla	12	17	5	34	22	0	12
Mineral	4	6	4	14	7	0	7
Rio Grande	66	114	26	206	157	0	49
Saguache	22	50	8	80	59	1	20
TOTAL	224	402	110	736	460	67	209
13TH							
Kit Carson	25	33	5	63	41	2	20
Logan	105	197	39	341	166	42	133
Morgan	139	252	65	456	300	42	114
Phillips	22	43	9	74	38	8	28
Sedgwick	14	18	2	34	22	0	12
Washington	45	47	3	95	58	0	37
Yuma	65	83	27	175	106	0	69
TOTAL	415	673	150	1,238	731	94	413
14TH							
Grand	60	116	30	206	148	0	58
Moffat	139	260	4	403	238	7	158
Routt	98	186	10	294	192	0	102
TOTAL	297	562	44	903	578	7	318
15TH							
Baca	26	41	6	73	44	4	25
Cheyenne	16	14	1	31	19	1	11
Kiowa	10	7	5	22	14	2	6
Prowers	86	129	22	237	67	98	72
TOTAL	138	191	34	363	144	105	114
16TH							
Bent	26	49	9	84	50	1	33
Crowley	16	26	4	46	27	0	19
Otero	127	206	45	378	260	2	116
TOTAL	169	281	58	508	337	3	168
17TH							
Adams	2,035	3,109	684	5,828	2,574	964	2,290
18TH							
Arapahoe	2,172	3,145	603	5,920	3,151	0	2,769
Douglas	138	192	21	351	193	16	142
Elbert	43	36	19	98	37	17	44
Lincoln	13	45	11	69	44	9	16
TOTAL	2,366	3,418	654	6,438	3,425	42	2,971
19TH							
Weld	869	1,218	151	2,238	1,097	186	955
20TH							
Boulder	1,324	2,445	424	4,193	2,017	461	1,715
21ST							
Mesa	997	1,361	214	2,572	1,060	362	1,150
22ND							
Dolores	10	19	0	29	7	8	14
Montezuma	128	259	41	428	297	1	130
TOTAL	138	278	41	457	304	9	144
STATE TOTAL	29,094	35,188	9,259	73,541	35,401	12,400	25,740

TABLE 22. DOMESTIC RELATIONS FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1981-82*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE	LEGAL SEPARATION	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE	CHILD CUSTODY[a]	RECIPROCAL INTERSTATE	NON-SUPPORT INTRASTATE[b]	MISCELLANEOUS[c]	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	16	0	0	2	7	1	1	27
Jefferson	3,120	65	9	31	647	88	41	4,001
TOTAL	3,136	65	9	33	654	89	42	4,028
2ND								
Denver	5,661	61	1	48	812	72	74	6,729
3RD								
Huerfano	49	2	0	0	11	14	7	83
Las Animas	73	0	0	2	37	24	7	143
TOTAL	122	2	0	2	48	38	14	226
4TH								
El Paso	3,426	64	20	42	707	21	0	4,280
Teller	36	3	0	0	28	3	0	70
TOTAL	3,462	67	20	42	735	24	0	4,350
5TH								
Clear Creek	57	1	0	0	17	7	0	82
Eagle	119	0	0	0	37	7	1	164
Lake	78	4	0	0	29	19	0	130
Summit	74	1	0	1	34	2	12	124
TOTAL	328	6	0	1	117	35	13	500
6TH								
Archuleta	22	0	0	0	13	9	8	52
La Plata	264	3	6	7	47	29	21	377
San Juan	8	0	0	0	3	0	2	13
TOTAL	294	3	6	7	63	38	31	442
7TH								
Delta	162	1	0	10	58	21	23	275
Gunnison	67	2	0	0	25	8	11	113
Hinsdale	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Montrose	250	2	0	5	36	11	9	313
Ouray	15	1	0	0	5	0	1	22
San Miguel	23	0	0	0	6	0	3	32
TOTAL	520	6	0	15	130	40	48	759
8TH								
Jackson	14	0	0	0	3	0	23	40
Larimer	1,129	14	7	27	292	38	12	1,519
TOTAL	1,143	14	7	27	295	38	35	1,559
9TH								
Garfield	289	4	0	1	89	27	16	426
Pitkin	97	1	0	5	38	0	0	141
Rio Blanco	58	0	1	2	20	1	2	84
TOTAL	444	5	1	8	147	28	18	651
10TH								
Pueblo	991	10	8	20	324	9	0	1,362
11TH								
Chaffee	123	0	3	1	46	15	3	191
Custer	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	7
Fremont	229	3	3	3	126	42	9	415
Park	14	1	0	1	11	3	1	31
TOTAL	368	4	6	5	185	63	13	644

See Page 169 For Footnote

TABLE 22. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE	LEGAL SEPARATION	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE	CHILD CUSTODY[a]	RECIPROCAL INTERSTATE	NONSUPPORT INTRASTATE[b]	MISCELLANEOUS[c]	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	146	0	1	2	26	4	8	187
Conejos	19	0	0	0	7	2	0	28
Costilla	5	0	0	3	3	3	3	17
Mineral	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	6
Rio Grande	72	0	1	2	30	7	2	114
Saguache	26	0	1	0	17	4	2	50
TOTAL	272	0	3	7	85	20	15	402
13TH								
Kit Carson	26	1	0	1	5	0	0	33
Logan	134	3	1	1	34	22	2	197
Morgan	179	1	1	4	46	14	7	252
Phillips	32	0	0	3	4	1	3	43
Sedgwick	11	1	0	0	6	0	0	18
Washington	40	2	0	0	1	1	3	47
Yuma	52	0	0	0	12	12	7	83
TOTAL	474	8	2	9	108	50	22	673
14TH								
Grand	87	0	0	3	18	6	2	116
Moffat	177	4	1	4	55	16	3	260
Routt	114	5	1	1	52	9	4	186
TOTAL	378	9	2	8	125	31	9	562
15TH								
Baca	21	1	0	0	3	0	16	41
Cheyenne	7	0	0	0	4	1	2	14
Kiowa	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	7
Prowers	104	0	0	0	19	3	3	129
TOTAL	137	1	0	0	27	4	22	191
16TH								
Bent	25	1	1	1	18	3	0	49
Crowley	16	0	0	0	8	1	1	26
Otero	154	3	1	4	33	10	1	206
TOTAL	195	4	2	5	59	14	2	281
17TH								
Adams	2,092	37	8	29	773	76	94	3,109
18TH								
Arapahoe	2,321	79	13	21	678	33	0	3,145
Douglas	158	1	2	0	20	7	4	192
Elbert	26	0	0	4	5	1	0	36
Lincoln	34	1	0	0	0	1	9	45
TOTAL	2,539	81	15	25	703	42	13	3,418
19TH								
Weld	825	6	7	12	294	43	31	1,218
20TH								
Boulder	1,604	62	15	30	515	40	179	2,445
21ST								
Mesa	883	5	4	9	337	123	0	1,361
22ND								
Dolores	7	0	0	0	8	3	1	19
Montezuma	175	1	0	2	62	13	6	259
TOTAL	182	1	0	2	70	16	7	278
STATE TOTAL	26,050	457	116	344	6,606	933	682	35,188
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	74.0	1.3	.3	1.0	18.8	2.7	1.9	100.0

See Page 169 For Footnote

TABLE 23. DOMESTIC RELATIONS TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE[a]	LEGAL SEPARATION[b]	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE[c]	CHILD CUSTODY[d]	RECIPROCAL INTERSTATE	NON-SUPPORT INTRASTATE[e]	MISCELLANEOUS[f]	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	13	0	0	0	8	1	4	26
Jefferson	3,557	90	7	24	183	30	22	3,913
TOTAL	**3,570**	**90**	**7**	**24**	**191**	**31**	**26**	**3,939**
2ND								
Denver	**6,107**	**82**	**8**	**32**	**598**	**49**	**55**	**6,931**
3RD								
Huerfano	58	1	0	1	11	14	27	112
Las Animas	78	1	1	0	41	23	53	197
TOTAL	**136**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**52**	**37**	**80**	**309**
4TH								
El Paso	3,305	84	21	27	1,331	31	0	4,799
Teller	33	2	0	0	23	0	22	80
TOTAL	**3,338**	**86**	**21**	**27**	**1,354**	**31**	**22**	**4,879**
5TH								
Clear Creek	57	0	0	0	19	5	27	108
Eagle	81	2	0	0	28	5	31	147
Lake	93	3	0	0	37	18	3	154
Summit	81	3	0	3	30	3	0	120
TOTAL	**312**	**8**	**0**	**3**	**114**	**31**	**61**	**529**
6TH								
Archuleta	31	0	0	0	13	9	22	75
La Plata	274	7	7	7	48	27	1	371
San Juan	5	0	1	0	3	0	0	9
TOTAL	**310**	**7**	**8**	**7**	**64**	**36**	**23**	**455**
7TH								
Delta	164	2	0	13	62	16	38	295
Gunnison	79	1	0	0	16	5	34	135
Hinsdale	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Montrose	250	2	0	2	23	8	51	336
Ouray	9	1	0	0	4	0	5	19
San Miguel	18	0	0	0	3	1	13	35
TOTAL	**522**	**6**	**0**	**15**	**108**	**30**	**141**	**822**
8TH								
Jackson	14	2	0	0	2	0	15	33
Larimer	1,283	15	7	25	341	50	228	1,949
TOTAL	**1,297**	**17**	**7**	**25**	**343**	**50**	**243**	**1,982**
9TH								
Garfield	279	0	0	2	70	18	167	536
Pitkin	54	0	1	0	16	0	1	72
Rio Blanco	59	1	1	1	19	1	26	108
TOTAL	**392**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**105**	**19**	**194**	**716**
10TH								
Pueblo	**1,073**	**17**	**6**	**18**	**255**	**15**	**56**	**1,440**
11TH								
Chaffee	130	3	1	3	47	20	1	205
Custer	1	0	0	0	3	3	0	7
Fremont	253	1	2	3	122	41	0	422
Park	20	1	0	1	12	2	2	38
TOTAL	**404**	**5**	**3**	**7**	**184**	**66**	**3**	**672**

See Page 169 For Footnote.

TABLE 23. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE[a]	LEGAL SEPARATION[b]	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE[c]	CHILD CUSTODY[d]	RECIPROCAL INTERSTATE	NON-SUPPORT INTRASTATE[e]	MISCELLANEOUS[f]	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	127	1	0	1	25	4	21	179
Conejos	19	1	0	0	6	3	7	36
Costilla	7	0	0	1	3	3	8	22
Mineral	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	7
Rio Grande	90	0	1	0	26	9	31	157
Saguache	30	0	1	0	14	4	10	59
TOTAL	275	2	2	2	75	23	81	460
13TH								
Kit Carson	33	0	0	0	4	2	2	41
Logan	110	3	2	1	28	18	4	166
Morgan	187	5	1	4	59	12	32	300
Phillips	27	0	0	1	5	1	4	38
Sedgwick	15	0	0	0	5	0	2	22
Washington	44	2	0	0	3	2	7	58
Yuma	39	0	0	0	13	10	44	106
TOTAL	455	10	3	6	117	45	95	731
14TH								
Grand	75	0	0	2	26	9	36	148
Moffat	167	1	0	2	51	15	2	238
Routt	122	4	1	1	43	10	11	192
TOTAL	364	5	1	5	120	34	49	578
15TH								
Baca	26	0	0	1	3	0	14	44
Cheyenne	10	1	0	0	3	1	4	19
Kiowa	10	0	0	0	2	0	2	14
Prowers	42	0	1	0	21	3	0	67
TOTAL	88	1	1	1	29	4	20	144
16TH								
Bent	23	1	0	2	15	2	7	50
Crowley	10	0	0	0	13	1	3	27
Otero	167	7	1	0	28	13	44	260
TOTAL	200	8	1	2	56	16	54	337
17TH								
Adams	1,758	22	8	11	627	65	83	2,574
18TH								
Arapahoe	1,904	51	6	2	478	19	691	3,151
Douglas	145	1	2	1	19	7	18	193
Elbert	28	1	0	4	1	1	2	37
Lincoln	32	0	0	0	0	1	11	44
TOTAL	2,109	53	8	7	498	28	722	3,425
19TH								
Weld	794	10	7	9	239	38	0	1,097
20TH								
Boulder	1,488	40	12	18	362	28	69	2,017
21ST								
Mesa	656	9	1	6	301	87	0	1,060
22ND								
Dolores	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	7
Montezuma	168	2	0	3	53	11	60	297
TOTAL	172	2	0	3	55	12	60	304
STATE TOTAL	25,820	483	107	232	5,847	775	2,137	35,401
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	72.9	1.4	.3	.7	16.5	2.2	6.0	100.0

See Page 169 For Footnote.

TABLE 24. CIVIL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS[a]
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST							
Gilpin	40	61	11	112	64	4	44
Jefferson	3,353	3,139	1,210	7,702	3,815	1,647	2,240
TOTAL	3,393	3,200	1,221	7,814	3,879	1,651	2,284
2ND							
Denver District	11,884	11,055	5,011	27,950	10,651	5,783	11,516
Denver Superior	3,777	1,009	125	4,911	1,273	173	3,465
TOTAL	15,661	12,064	5,136	32,861	11,924	5,956	14,981
3RD							
Huerfano	142	90	9	241	102	0	139
Las Animas	125	131	37	293	173	0	120
TOTAL	267	221	46	534	275	0	259
4th							
El Paso	3,401	2,616	804	6,821	3,018	1,505	2,298
Teller	75	136	19	230	120	0	110
TOTAL	3,476	2,752	823	7,051	3,138	1,505	2,408
5th							
Clear Creek	142	103	11	256	103	4	149
Eagle	486	453	72	1,011	367	0	644
Lake	103	60	37	200	139	0	61
Summit	436	384	9	829	396	0	433
TOTAL	1,167	1,000	129	2,296	1,005	4	1,287
6th							
Archuleta	190	101	21	312	89	0	223
La Plata	418	442	188	1,048	632	0	416
San Juan	31	32	3	66	16	24	26
TOTAL	639	575	212	1,426	737	24	665
7th							
Delta	91	124	16	231	130	7	94
Gunnison	165	185	13	363	190	13	160
Hinsdale	19	15	4	38	5	2	31
Montrose	222	176	53	451	210	82	159
Ouray	35	47	6	88	44	0	44
San Miguel	197	37	43	277	56	0	221
TOTAL	729	584	135	1,448	635	104	709
8th							
Jackson	26	24	1	51	20	1	30
Larimer	1,402	1,289	426	3,117	1,548	427	1,142
TOTAL	1,428	1,313	427	3,168	1,568	428	1,172
9th							
Garfield	425	280	34	739	330	4	405
Pitkin	821	389	20	1,230	208	35	987
Rio Blanco	85	52	14	151	59	0	92
TOTAL	1,331	721	68	2,120	597	39	1,484
10th							
Pueblo	835	964	533	2,332	1,200	412	720
11th							
Chaffee	119	133	36	288	183	0	105
Custer	40	25	5	70	35	16	19
Fremont	228	252	90	570	260	109	201
Park	154	185	7	346	134	72	140
TOTAL	541	595	138	1,274	612	197	465

[a] Water cases are not included.

TABLE 24. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12th							
Alamosa	91	127	32	250	162	10	78
Conejos	34	56	7	97	62	1	34
Costilla	23	49	1	73	25	3	45
Mineral	9	2	0	11	3	0	8
Rio Grande	90	109	20	219	101	0	118
Saguache	60	35	5	100	50	5	45
TOTAL	307	378	65	750	403	19	328
13TH							
Kit Carson	46	51	3	100	52	4	44
Logan	109	117	9	235	117	15	103
Morgan	179	216	17	412	223	20	169
Phillips	51	23	3	77	24	0	53
Sedgwick	26	9	3	38	20	0	18
Washington	45	45	4	94	34	6	54
Yuma	193	82	22	297	109	0	188
TOTAL	649	543	61	1,253	579	45	629
14th							
Grand	111	158	16	285	154	0	131
Moffat	151	212	5	368	249	3	116
Routt	263	304	36	603	340	0	263
TOTAL	525	674	57	1,256	743	3	510
15th							
Baca	88	57	0	145	69	10	66
Cheyenne	31	18	5	54	32	0	22
Kiowa	35	36	4	75	47	8	20
Prowers	115	109	2	226	48	70	108
TOTAL	269	220	11	500	196	88	216
16th							
Bent	37	17	10	64	34	0	30
Crowley	8	15	0	23	5	0	18
Otero	125	140	38	303	202	0	101
TOTAL	170	172	48	390	241	0	149
17th							
Adams	2,407	2,838	1,122	6,367	2,681	1,301	2,385
18th							
Arapahoe	3,508	2,427	243	6,178	2,636	0	3,542
Douglas	400	313	51	764	357	42	365
Elbert	77	76	8	161	80	1	80
Lincoln	22	20	2	44	18	3	23
TOTAL	4,007	2,836	304	7,147	3,091	46	4,010
19th							
Weld	1,380	845	121	2,346	647	641	1,058
20th							
Boulder	2,016	1,815	208	4,039	1,610	332	2,097
21st							
Mesa	634	800	210	1,644	704	236	704
22nd							
Dolores	16	20	1	37	10	8	19
Montezuma	171	210	86	467	312	0	155
TOTAL	187	230	87	504	322	8	174
STATE TOTAL	42,018	35,340	11,162	88,520	36,787	13,039	38,694

TABLE 25. CIVIL CASE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1981-1982*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PERSONAL INJURY	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH	REAL & PERSONAL PROPERTY[a]	ADMIN. REVIEW & LOCAL GOVERNMENT[b]	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISCELLANEOUS[c]	TOTAL
1ST									
Gilpin	4	0	0	35	0	10	0	12	61
Jefferson	445	50	7	756	56	1,361	50	414	3,139
TOTAL	449	50	7	791	56	1,371	50	426	3,200
2ND									
Denver	1,231	231	52	2,940	145	4,894	1	1,561	11,055
Denver-Superior	5	84	0	1	0	845	44	30	1,009
TOTAL	1,236	315	52	2,941	145	5,739	45	1,591	12,064
3RD									
Huerfano	6	5	0	50	0	20	0	9	90
Las Animas	8	5	0	29	1	65	0	23	131
TOTAL	14	10	0	79	1	85	0	32	221
4TH									
El Paso	382	37	14	757	13	995	14	404	2,616
Teller	7	2	1	83	1	34	3	5	136
TOTAL	389	39	15	840	14	1,029	17	409	2,752
5TH									
Clear Creek	7	1	0	49	2	29	0	15	103
Eagle	26	5	0	182	4	180	0	56	453
Lake	6	1	0	25	1	27	0	0	60
Summit	24	5	0	84	0	163	12	96	384
TOTAL	63	12	0	340	7	399	12	167	1,000
6TH									
Archuleta	4	1	0	44	0	40	0	12	101
La Plata	31	11	3	102	7	216	3	69	442
San Juan	0	0	0	15	0	8	0	9	32
TOTAL	35	12	3	161	7	264	3	90	575
7TH									
Delta	2	1	1	28	0	71	1	20	124
Gunnison	3	1	0	50	1	92	0	38	185
hinsdale	0	0	0	5	0	7	0	3	15
Montrose	7	2	2	44	1	86	0	34	176
Ouray	3	1	0	18	0	12	0	13	47
San Miguel	1	0	0	16	2	18	0	0	37
TOTAL	16	5	3	161	4	286	1	108	584
8TH									
Jackson	1	0	0	15	0	4	0	4	24
Larimer	60	21	4	434	20	520	10	220	1,289
TOTAL	61	21	4	449	20	524	10	224	1,313
9TH									
Garfield	12	11	3	84	4	115	1	50	280
Pitkin	21	4	1	125	1	153	1	83	389
Rio Blanco	1	5	0	6	15	21	0	4	52
TOTAL	34	20	4	215	20	289	2	137	721
10TH									
Pueblo	113	12	1	344	12	342	7	133	964
11TH									
Chaffee	0	3	2	50	0	50	0	28	133
Custer	0	0	0	19	0	3	0	3	25
Fremont	9	0	3	80	0	59	5	96	252
Park	6	0	0	81	0	61	0	37	185
TOTAL	15	3	5	230	0	173	5	164	595

* See Page 169 For Footnotes

TABLE 25. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PERSONAL INJURY	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH	REAL & PERSONAL PROPERTY[a]	ADMIN. REVIEW & LOCAL GOVERNMENT[b]	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISCELLANEOUS[c]	TOTAL
12TH									
Alamosa	0	1	0	21	0	82	0	23	127
Conejos	1	3	0	31	0	13	0	8	56
Costilla	3	0	0	24	0	10	0	12	49
Mineral	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Rio Grande	2	4	0	25	0	58	0	20	109
Saguache	0	1	0	10	1	12	0	11	35
TOTAL	6	9	0	112	1	176	0	74	378
13TH									
Kit Carson	2	0	0	18	0	16	1	14	51
Logan	4	6	1	34	0	51	0	21	117
Morgan	10	7	2	57	5	108	1	26	216
Phillips	2	2	0	6	1	9	0	3	23
Sedgwick	0	1	0	4	0	2	0	2	9
Washington	4	0	0	12	0	11	0	18	45
Yuma	2	1	0	6	0	49	0	24	82
TOTAL	24	17	3	137	6	246	2	108	543
14TH									
Grand	0	0	0	44	0	72	1	41	158
Moffat	5	2	0	49	26	84	1	45	212
Routt	9	3	0	87	3	124	7	71	304
TOTAL	14	5	0	180	29	280	9	157	674
15TH									
Baca	2	0	0	12	0	11	0	32	57
Cheyenne	2	3	1	4	0	7	0	1	18
Kiowa	0	0	0	18	0	4	0	14	36
Prowers	6	6	0	16	0	61	2	18	109
TOTAL	10	9	1	50	0	83	2	65	220
16TH									
Bent	0	3	2	6	0	6	0	0	17
Crowley	0	0	0	7	0	8	0	0	15
Otero	8	3	1	62	0	64	0	2	140
TOTAL	8	6	3	75	0	78	0	2	172
17TH									
Adams	248	84	6	770	0	641	20	1,069	2,838
18TH									
Arapahoe	315	75	15	534	3	1,178	16	291	2,427
Douglas	22	0	2	131	6	110	5	37	313
Elbert	2	0	0	29	0	21	1	23	76
Lincoln	4	1	0	4	0	7	1	3	20
TOTAL	343	76	17	698	9	1,316	23	354	2,836
19TH									
Weld	60	32	4	236	10	382	11	110	845
20TH									
Boulder	284	42	12	483	48	640	24	282	1,815
21ST									
Mesa	23	18	1	161	0	590	7	0	800
22ND									
Dolores	0	1	0	7	0	5	0	7	20
Montezuma	5	2	-1	45	0	119	7	31	210
TOTAL	5	3	1	52	0	124	7	38	230
STATE TOTAL	3,450	800	142	9,505	389	15,057	257	5,740	35,340
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL									

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 26. CIVIL TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1981-1982*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PER-SONAL INJURY	PROP-ERTY DAMAGE	WRONG-FUL DEATH	REAL & PER-SONAL PROP-ERTY	ADMIN. REVIEW & LOCAL GOVERN-MENT	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISCEL-LANEOUS	TOTAL
1ST									
Gilpin	0	0	0	34	0	8	0	22	64
Jefferson	420	109	13	753	51	1,961	42	466	3,815
TOTAL	420	109	13	787	51	1,969	42	488	3,879
2ND									
Denver	1,166	314	53	2,548	127	5,166	0	1,277	10,651
Denver-Superior	7	133	0	3	0	1,101	29	0	1,273
TOTAL	1,173	447	53	2,551	127	6,267	29	1,277	11,924
3RD									
Huerfano	1	6	0	40	0	21	0	34	102
Las Animas	8	4	0	22	1	66	1	71	173
TOTAL	9	10	0	62	1	87	1	105	275
4TH									
El Paso	338	98	13	882	20	1,587	10	70	3,018
Teller	6	2	1	59	0	24	2	26	120
TOTAL	344	100	14	941	20	1,611	12	96	3,138
5TH									
Clear Creek	2	3	0	36	1	26	0	35	103
Eagle	14	4	1	125	0	123	1	99	367
Lake	7	7	0	32	3	39	0	51	139
Summit	14	7	1	99	3	132	9	131	396
TOTAL	37	21	2	292	7	320	10	316	1,005
6TH									
Archuleta	2	0	0	22	0	40	0	25	89
La Plata	34	7	1	108	4	215	1	262	632
San Juan	1	0	0	13	0	2	0	0	16
TOTAL	37	7	1	143	4	257	1	287	737
7TH									
Delta	4	1	0	27	0	63	0	35	130
Gunnison	4	5	1	40	1	98	0	41	190
Hinsdale	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	5
Montrose	6	1	0	31	3	110	0	59	210
Ouray	1	1	0	15	1	8	0	18	44
San Miguel	0	0	0	9	0	26	0	21	56
TOTAL	15	8	1	124	5	306	0	176	635
8TH									
Jackson	1	0	0	1	0	8	0	10	20
Larimer	73	23	7	437	12	646	12	338	1,548
TOTAL	74	23	7	438	12	654	12	348	1,568
9TH									
Garfield	12	10	3	71	5	141	1	87	330
Pitkin	11	3	2	61	2	75	1	53	208
Rio Blanco	2	1	0	7	14	20	0	15	59
TOTAL	25	14	5	139	21	236	2	155	597
10TH									
Pueblo	106	35	7	310	12	411	6	313	1,200
11TH									
Chaffee	5	1	0	39	0	44	0	94	183
Custer	1	0	0	20	0	9	0	5	35
Fremont	6	1	1	69	0	91	3	89	260
Park	1	1	0	72	2	34	0	24	134
TOTAL	13	3	1	200	2	178	3	212	612

* See Page 169 For Footnotes

TABLE 26. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PERSONAL INJURY	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH	REAL & PERSONAL PROPERTY	ADMIN. REVIEW & LOCAL GOVERNMENT	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISCELLANEOUS	TOTAL
12TH									
Alamosa	5	1	0	26	0	83	0	47	162
Conejos	0	2	0	26	0	19	0	15	62
Costilla	0	0	0	13	0	5	0	7	25
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3
Rio Grande	5	4	0	17	0	32	0	43	101
Saguache	0	2	0	12	0	14	0	22	50
TOTAL	10	9	0	94	0	155	0	135	403
13TH									
Kit Carson	1	2	0	12	0	26	1	10	52
Logan	7	4	1	23	1	58	0	23	117
Morgan	7	6	1	43	7	101	0	58	223
Phillips	1	1	0	6	1	7	0	8	24
Sedgwick	2	1	0	4	1	7	0	5	20
Washington	2	1	0	7	0	12	0	12	34
Yuma	1	2	0	7	0	40	1	58	109
TOTAL	21	17	2	102	10	251	2	174	579
14TH									
Grand	2	1	0	44	1	53	0	53	154
Moffat	4	2	0	49	29	101	0	64	249
Routt	10	8	0	79	6	132	3	102	340
TOTAL	16	11	0	172	36	286	3	219	743
15TH									
Baca	1	1	0	14	2	25	0	26	69
Cheyenne	1	2	0	9	0	14	0	6	32
Kiowa	0	0	0	16	0	15	0	16	47
Prowers	9	7	0	26	0	5	1	0	48
TOTAL	11	10	0	65	2	59	1	48	196
16TH									
Bent	1	2	0	6	0	14	0	11	34
Crowley	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	5
Otero	4	5	1	61	0	73	0	58	202
TOTAL	5	7	1	69	0	89	0	70	241
17TH									
Adams	184	99	8	628	8	745	6	1,003	2,681
18TH									
Arapahoe	241	84	10	518	7	1,029	7	740	2,636
Douglas	12	3	1	113	3	125	2	98	357
Elbert	1	0	0	25	1	24	0	29	80
Lincoln	0	2	0	3	0	11	1	1	18
TOTAL	254	89	11	659	11	1,189	10	868	3,091
19TH									
Weld	63	32	5	271	10	258	8	0	647
20TH									
Boulder	176	44	5	434	33	712	13	193	1,610
21ST									
Mesa	15	13	2	145	0	525	4	0	704
22ND									
Dolores	0	1	0	4	0	5	0	0	10
Montezuma	7	2	0	54	0	113	4	132	312
TOTAL	7	3	0	58	0	118	4	132	322
STATE TOTAL	3,015	1,111	138	8,684	372	16,683	169	6,615	36,787
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	8.2	3.0	.4	23.6	1.0	45.3	.5	18.0	100.0

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 27. PROBATE CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST							
Gilpin	26	9	1	36	1	0	35
Jefferson	2,244	695	27	2,966	386	82	2,498
TOTAL	2,270	704	28	3,002	387	82	2,533
2ND							
Denver Probate	6,228	2,918	121	9,267	1,393	791	7,083
3RD							
Huerfano	92	23	7	122	54	0	68
Las Animas	204	51	2	257	109	1	147
TOTAL	296	74	9	379	163	1	215
4TH							
El Paso	1,928	607	26	2,561	503	96	1,962
Teller	65	33	3	101	28	0	73
TOTAL	1,993	640	29	2,662	531	96	2,035
5TH							
Clear Creek	51	31	6	88	25	0	63
Eagle	53	21	0	74	8	1	65
Lake	53	31	1	85	27	0	58
Summit	69	23	6	98	12	0	86
TOTAL	226	106	13	345	72	1	272
6TH							
Archuleta	51	20	0	71	10	4	57
La Plata	292	118	3	413	82	1	330
San Juan	8	3	0	11	1	1	9
TOTAL	351	141	3	495	93	6	396
7TH							
Delta	258	81	2	341	61	0	280
Gunnison	105	51	1	157	23	9	125
Hinsdale	6	4	1	11	2	1	8
Montrose	177	68	7	252	40	0	212
Ouray	18	7	0	25	2	2	21
San Miguel	42	6	0	48	0	2	46
TOTAL	606	217	11	834	128	14	692
8TH							
Jackson	28	6	4	38	3	0	35
Larimer	726	350	12	1,088	194	0	894
TOTAL	754	356	16	1,126	197	0	929
9TH							
Garfield	308	87	26	421	122	37	262
Pitkin	179	12	0	191	0	12	179
Rio Blanco	75	26	9	110	17	4	89
TOTAL	562	125	35	722	139	53	530
10TH							
Pueblo	786	382	34	1,202	374	24	804
11TH							
Chaffee	130	42	12	184	51	9	124
Custer	11	7	0	18	2	0	16
Fremont	220	91	19	330	93	28	209
Park	38	20	1	59	2	0	57
TOTAL	399	160	32	591	148	37	406

TABLE 27. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH							
Alamosa	56	35	6	97	31	0	66
Conejos	50	30	0	80	37	1	42
Costilla	23	23	5	51	25	0	26
Mineral	8	6	0	14	5	0	9
Rio Grande	61	45	2	108	35	0	73
Saguache	30	16	3	49	27	2	20
TOTAL	228	155	16	399	160	3	236
13TH							
Kit Carson	45	51	2	98	41	0	57
Logan	168	87	6	261	83	0	178
Morgan	182	118	2	302	95	0	207
Phillips	59	36	1	96	20	0	76
Sedgwick	24	16	2	42	10	5	27
Washington	117	48	10	175	52	0	123
Yuma	218	48	4	270	37	0	233
TOTAL	813	404	27	1,244	338	5	901
14TH							
Grand	46	31	4	81	10	0	71
Moffat	133	50	2	185	58	15	112
Routt	136	39	9	184	69	0	115
TOTAL	315	120	15	450	137	15	298
15TH							
Baca	189	57	4	250	57	0	193
Cheyenne	40	23	2	65	32	1	32
Kiowa	41	36	1	78	34	1	43
Prowers	168	85	0	253	42	53	158
TOTAL	438	201	7	646	165	55	426
16TH							
Bent	60	40	8	108	45	0	63
Crowley	35	23	0	58	9	0	49
Otero	177	68	9	254	7	71	176
TOTAL	272	131	17	420	61	71	288
17TH							
Adams	556	366	8	930	308	14	608
18TH							
Arapahoe	1,125	455	11	1,591	346	29	1,216
Douglas	129	46	4	179	36	0	143
Elbert	33	18	1	52	15	1	36
Lincoln	48	31	1	80	31	1	48
TOTAL	1,335	550	17	1,902	428	31	1,443
19TH							
Weld	674	307	16	997	253	30	714
20TH							
Boulder	1,443	382	28	1,853	516	58	1,279
21ST							
Mesa	395	215	46	656	241	28	387
22ND							
Dolores	9	16	0	25	7	0	18
Montezuma	96	66	8	170	67	0	103
TOTAL	105	82	8	195	74	0	121
STATE TOTAL	21,045	8,736	536	30,317	6,306	1,415	22,596

TABLE 28. PROBATE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1981-1982*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS[a]	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISCELLANEOUS[b]	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	2	1	0	1	0	5	9
Jefferson	95	288	46	117	1	148	695
TOTAL	97	289	46	118	1	153	704
2ND							
Denver Probate	291	991	136	212	0	1,288	2,918
3RD							
Huerfano	9	4	1	0	0	9	23
Las Animas	22	17	5	3	0	4	51
TOTAL	31	21	6	3	0	13	74
4TH							
El Paso	63	326	13	99	28	78	607
Teller	0	21	1	6	0	5	33
TOTAL	63	347	14	105	28	83	640
5TH							
Clear Creek	8	13	3	3	0	4	31
Eagle	6	9	1	0	0	5	21
Lake	4	9	4	2	8	4	31
Summit	5	8	1	2	0	7	23
TOTAL	23	39	9	7	8	20	106
6TH							
Archuleta	6	2	1	0	0	11	20
La Plata	31	41	5	16	0	19	118
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
TOTAL	37	43	6	16	6	33	141
7TH							
Delta	23	33	4	9	0	12	81
Gunnison	9	18	2	3	0	19	51
Hinsdale	0	2	0	2	0	0	4
Montrose	12	29	4	4	0	19	68
Ouray	0	3	0	1	0	3	7
San Miguel	3	2	0	0	0	1	6
TOTAL	47	87	10	19	0	54	217
8TH							
Jackson	1	5	0	0	0	0	6
Larimer	29	209	17	44	0	51	350
TOTAL	30	214	17	44	0	51	356
9TH							
Garfield	26	21	2	2	0	36	87
Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	12	12
Rio Blanco	3	12	2	3	0	6	26
TOTAL	29	33	4	5	0	54	125
10TH							
Pueblo	91	213	16	51	1	10	382
11TH							
Chaffee	9	16	6	7	0	4	42
Custer	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
Fremont	21	41	0	15	0	14	91
Park	1	5	2	5	3	4	20
TOTAL	31	68	8	27	3	23	160

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 28. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARD-IANSHIPS[a]	CONSER-VATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISCEL-LANEOUS[b]	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	8	21	0	1	1	4	35
Conejos	1	7	0	1	17	4	30
Costilla	6	2	1	0	0	14	23
Mineral	1	1	1	0	0	3	6
Rio Grande	12	21	5	3	0	4	45
Saguache	6	8	0	0	0	2	16
TOTAL	34	60	7	5	18	31	155
13TH							
Kit Carson	10	24	0	4	0	13	51
Logan	22	44	4	9	0	8	87
Morgan	27	65	9	8	2	7	118
Phillips	6	22	0	3	0	5	36
Sedgwick	3	8	0	1	0	4	16
Washington	6	24	1	3	0	14	48
Yuma	28	13	1	1	0	5	48
TOTAL	102	200	15	29	2	56	404
14TH							
Grand	9	12	0	0	0	10	31
Moffat	12	19	5	1	0	13	50
Routt	9	19	3	0	0	8	39
TOTAL	30	50	8	1	0	31	120
15TH							
Baca	9	19	0	3	0	26	57
Cheyenne	2	9	0	0	0	12	23
Kiowa	11	19	0	1	0	5	36
Prowers	13	44	3	7	0	18	85
TOTAL	35	91	3	11	0	61	201
16TH							
Bent	5	11	1	5	0	18	40
Crowley	3	10	1	3	0	6	23
Otero	16	35	5	9	0	3	68
TOTAL	24	56	7	17	0	27	131
17TH							
Adams	90	169	24	60	15	8	366
18TH							
Arapahoe	71	225	26	49	26	58	455
Douglas	3	23	4	8	6	2	46
Elbert	4	8	0	1	0	5	18
Lincoln	6	12	0	0	8	5	31
TOTAL	84	268	30	58	40	70	550
19TH							
Weld	53	141	9	47	19	38	307
20TH							
Boulder	54	246	18	52	0	12	382
21ST							
Mesa	59	105	5	38	0	8	215
22ND							
Dolores	8	5	1	2	0	0	16
Montezuma	19	29	4	9	0	5	66
TOTAL	27	34	5	11	0	5	82
STATE TOTAL	1,362	3,765	403	936	141	2,129	8,736
PERCENT DISTRI-BUTION OF TOTAL	15.6	43.1	4.6	10.7	1.6	24.4	100.0

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 29. PROBATE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1981-82*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARD-IANSHIPS[a]	CONSER-VATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISCEL-LANEOUS[b]	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Jefferson	49	206	12	45	1	73	386
TOTAL	**49**	**206**	**12**	**45**	**1**	**74**	**387**
2ND							
Denver Probate	**208**	**670**	**37**	**82**	**1**	**395**	**1,393**
3RD							
Huerfano	7	9	7	3	0	28	54
Las Animas	28	24	6	2	0	49	109
TOTAL	**35**	**33**	**13**	**5**	**0**	**77**	**163**
4TH							
El Paso	62	305	46	48	11	31	503
Teller	6	14	0	2	0	6	28
TOTAL	**68**	**319**	**46**	**50**	**11**	**37**	**531**
5TH							
Clear Creek	5	7	4	2	1	6	25
Eagle	1	7	0	0	0	0	8
Lake	2	7	2	0	8	8	27
Summit	0	0	1	0	0	11	12
TOTAL	**8**	**21**	**7**	**2**	**9**	**25**	**72**
6TH							
Archuleta	2	1	1	0	0	6	10
La Plata	22	31	2	1	6	20	82
San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	**25**	**32**	**3**	**1**	**6**	**26**	**93**
7TH							
Delta	11	37	1	3	0	9	61
Gunnison	2	13	2	0	0	6	23
Hinsdale	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Montrose	11	13	1	0	0	15	40
Ouray	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**24**	**65**	**4**	**5**	**0**	**30**	**128**
8TH							
Jackson	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
Larimer	16	122	6	6	0	44	194
TOTAL	**16**	**124**	**6**	**6**	**0**	**45**	**197**
9TH							
Garfield	33	43	10	9	2	25	122
Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Blanco	4	6	1	0	0	6	17
TOTAL	**37**	**49**	**11**	**9**	**2**	**31**	**139**
10TH							
Pueblo	**88**	**197**	**24**	**36**	**1**	**28**	**374**
11TH							
Chaffee	7	16	3	1	0	24	51
Custer	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Fremont	21	49	2	8	0	13	93
Park	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
TOTAL	**28**	**66**	**5**	**10**	**0**	**39**	**148**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 29. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARD-IANSHIPS[a]	CONSER-VATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISCEL-LANEOUS[b]	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	7	13	0	2	0	9	31
Conejos	10	7	0	0	16	4	37
Costilla	4	4	1	1	0	15	25
Mineral	1	1	0	0	0	3	5
Rio Grande	9	24	0	0	0	2	35
Saguache	7	15	0	1	0	4	27
TOTAL	38	64	1	4	16	37	160
13TH							
Kit Carson	9	14	2	1	0	15	41
Logan	18	43	1	4	0	17	83
Morgan	13	51	19	2	2	8	95
Phillips	3	12	0	1	0	4	20
Sedgwick	1	5	0	2	0	2	10
Washington	6	22	1	1	0	22	52
Yuma	11	18	0	0	0	8	37
TOTAL	61	165	23	11	2	76	338
14TH							
Grand	0	1	0	0	0	9	10
Moffat	9	27	2	2	0	18	58
Routt	8	24	3	0	2	32	69
TOTAL	17	52	5	2	2	59	137
15TH							
Baca	12	15	0	1	0	29	57
Cheyenne	8	8	1	0	0	15	32
Kiowa	10	17	0	0	0	7	34
Prowers	13	20	6	3	0	0	42
TOTAL	43	60	7	4	0	51	165
16TH							
Bent	3	11	0	3	0	28	45
Crowley	1	2	0	0	0	6	9
Otero	0	1	0	6	0	0	7
TOTAL	4	14	0	9	0	34	61
17TH							
Adams	85	143	29	35	11	5	308
18TH							
Arapahoe	52	193	8	28	23	42	346
Douglas	9	14	2	1	4	6	36
Elbert	2	6	0	0	0	7	15
Lincoln	6	11	0	1	8	5	31
TOTAL	69	224	10	30	35	60	428
19TH							
Weld	39	123	7	18	20	46	253
20TH							
Boulder	88	240	110	57	1	20	516
21ST							
Mesa	50	142	9	12	1	27	241
22ND							
Dolores	4	3	0	0	0	0	7
Montezuma	18	32	6	1	0	10	74
TOTAL	22	35	6	1	0	10	74
STATE TOTAL	1,102	3,044	375	434	119	1,232	6,306
PERCENT DISTRI-BUTION OF TOTAL	17.5	48.3	5.9	6.9	1.9	19.5	100.0

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 30. JUVENILE CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST							
Gilpin	10	14	12	36	19	0	17
Jefferson	1,282	1,351	291	2,924	1,443	548	933
TOTAL	1,292	1,365	303	2,960	1,462	548	950
2ND							
Denver Juvenile	10,232	3,774	1,421	15,427	3,911	0	11,516
3RD							
Huerfano	24	49	26	99	67	0	32
Las Animas	276	123	42	441	312	0	129
TOTAL	300	172	68	540	379	0	161
4TH							
El Paso	1,409	2,319	962	4,690	2,704	524	1,462
Teller	32	33	30	95	48	0	47
TOTAL	1,441	2,352	992	4,785	2,752	524	1,509
5TH							
Clear Creek	28	26	9	63	30	4	29
Eagle	54	69	20	143	76	0	67
Lake	75	54	47	176	128	0	48
Summit	27	28	9	64	23	0	41
TOTAL	184	177	85	446	257	4	185
6TH							
Archuleta	6	14	4	24	12	7	5
La Plata	96	131	63	290	150	54	86
San Juan	1	2	0	3	0	1	2
TOTAL	103	147	67	317	162	62	93
7TH							
Delta	53	100	14	167	102	17	48
Gunnison	10	27	1	38	21	0	17
Hinsdale	1	1	0	2	0	0	2
Montrose	82	113	37	232	129	37	66
Ouray	10	1	0	11	6	0	5
San Miguel	20	4	17	41	16	0	25
TOTAL	176	246	69	491	274	54	163
8TH							
Jackson	17	6	2	25	6	0	19
Larimer	198	572	233	1,003	528	233	242
TOTAL	215	578	235	1,028	534	233	261
9TH							
Garfield	139	138	81	358	267	0	91
Pitkin	56	29	42	127	42	44	41
Rio Blanco	14	7	7	28	10	0	18
TOTAL	209	174	130	513	319	44	150
10TH							
Pueblo	800	1,355	1,057	3,212	1,176	1,150	886
11TH							
Chaffee	57	82	45	184	120	22	42
Custer	3	6	2	11	5	0	6
Fremont	129	148	121	398	135	109	154
Park	39	21	8	68	38	15	15
TOTAL	228	257	176	661	298	146	217

TABLE 30. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH							
Alamosa	43	77	71	191	98	0	93
Conejos	34	33	35	102	47	8	47
Costilla	21	16	0	37	19	4	14
Mineral	0	2	0	2	1	0	1
Rio Grande	50	109	40	199	137	1	61
Saguache	26	35	26	87	61	1	25
TOTAL	**174**	**272**	**172**	**618**	**363**	**14**	**241**
13th							
Kit Carson	12	15	1	28	21	0	7
Logan	36	89	33	158	115	0	43
Morgan	109	241	79	429	327	15	87
Phillips	10	13	3	26	17	6	3
Sedgwick	8	5	0	13	6	0	7
Washington	23	18	7	48	20	0	28
Yuma	22	20	7	49	25	3	21
TOTAL	**220**	**401**	**130**	**751**	**531**	**24**	**196**
14th							
Grand	38	42	21	101	64	0	37
Moffat	35	79	11	125	80	16	29
Routt	19	47	6	72	49	0	23
TOTAL	**92**	**168**	**38**	**298**	**193**	**16**	**89**
15th							
Baca	9	12	1	22	13	1	8
Cheyenne	3	5	1	9	6	1	2
Kiowa	1	2	1	4	3	0	1
Prowers	66	54	27	147	21	59	67
TOTAL	**79**	**73**	**30**	**182**	**43**	**61**	**78**
16th							
Bent	16	34	15	65	46	5	14
Crowley	4	17	8	29	12	0	17
Otero	117	152	154	423	114	239	70
TOTAL	**137**	**203**	**177**	**517**	**172**	**244**	**101**
17th							
Adams	**2,606**	**1,671**	**205**	**4,482**	**1,154**	**832**	**2,496**
18th							
Arapahoe	1,087	1,601	2,227	4,915	989	2,896	1,030
Douglas	52	116	30	198	104	37	57
Elbert	24	21	25	70	19	24	27
Lincoln	11	26	10	47	15	5	27
TOTAL	**1,174**	**1,764**	**2,292**	**5,230**	**1,127**	**2,962**	**1,141**
19th							
Weld	**2,060**	**919**	**471**	**3,450**	**864**	**227**	**2,359**
20th							
Boulder	**972**	**810**	**214**	**1,996**	**894**	**169**	**933**
21st							
Mesa	**375**	**585**	**305**	**1,265**	**621**	**313**	**331**
22nd							
Dolores	3	0	0	3	0	2	1
Montezuma	27	40	13	80	62	4	14
TOTAL	**30**	**40**	**13**	**83**	**62**	**6**	**15**
STATE TOTAL	**23,099**	**17,503**	**8,650**	**49,252**	**17,548**	**7,633**	**24,071**

TABLE 31. JUVENILE CASE FILINGS[a] BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1981-82*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELINQUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETITIONS[b]	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS[c]	RELINQUISHMENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT[d]	MISCELLANEOUS[e]	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	9	2	1	0	1	1	0	14
Jefferson	659	0	107	64	260	93	168	1,351
TOTAL	668	2	108	64	261	94	168	1,365
2ND								
Denver Juvenile	1,173	0	482	0	0	661	1,458	3,774
3RD								
Huerfano	14	2	3	1	3	15	11	49
Las Animas	26	0	13	1	13	61	9	123
TOTAL	40	2	16	2	16	76	20	172
4TH								
El Paso	663	0	471	98	318	256	513	2,319
Teller	12	1	9	2	6	3	0	33
TOTAL	675	1	480	100	324	259	513	2,352
5TH								
Clear Creek	12	0	2	0	11	1	0	26
Eagle	33	0	19	0	12	1	4	69
Lake	13	0	18	0	15	8	0	54
Summit	22	0	1	0	5	0	0	28
TOTAL	80	0	40	0	43	10	4	177
6TH								
Archuleta	3	0	3	0	0	1	7	14
La Plata	45	0	10	5	22	25	24	131
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
TOTAL	48	0	13	5	22	26	33	147
7TH								
Delta	31	0	6	4	15	24	20	100
Gunnison	6	0	2	2	9	1	7	27
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Montrose	37	0	28	2	20	17	9	113
Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
San Miguel	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	4
TOTAL	74	0	37	8	45	42	40	246
8TH								
Jackson	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Larimer	255	0	66	15	97	132	7	572
TOTAL	261	0	66	15	97	132	7	578
9TH								
Garfield	32	0	32	6	30	16	22	138
Pitkin	12	0	6	0	0	0	11	29
Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	7
TOTAL	44	0	39	6	34	18	33	174
10TH								
Pueblo	599	1	124	19	71	396	145	1,355
11TH								
Chaffee	18	0	14	1	13	15	21	82
Custer	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
Fremont	41	0	30	6	17	24	30	148
Park	10	0	3	0	3	0	5	21
TOTAL	69	0	47	7	33	42	59	257

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 31. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN-QUENCY PETI-TIONS	CHINO PETI-TIONS[b]	DEPEND-ENCY, NEGLECT PETI-TIONS[c]	RELIN-QUISH MENTS	ADOP-TIONS	PATER-NITY & SUP-PORT[d]	MISCEL-LANEOUS[e]	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	37	0	17	1	7	15	0	77
Conejos	4	0	2	2	5	13	7	33
Costilla	4	1	0	0	1	6	4	16
Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Rio Grande	18	0	2	5	12	18	54	109
Saguache	1	0	3	2	3	26	0	35
TOTAL	64	1	24	10	29	78	66	272
13TH								
Kit Carson	4	0	3	0	6	1	1	15
Logan	16	0	7	4	12	21	29	89
Morgan	62	0	70	3	23	65	18	241
Phillips	1	1	0	0	4	4	3	13
Sedgwick	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	5
Washington	3	0	0	0	11	2	2	18
Yuma	0	0	0	1	6	3	10	20
TOTAL	86	1	82	9	64	96	63	401
14TH								
Grand	19	0	1	4	8	2	8	42
Moffat	22	4	19	1	29	1	3	79
Routt	21	0	1	0	13	4	8	47
TOTAL	62	4	21	5	50	7	19	168
15TH								
Baca	2	0	1	1	2	0	6	12
Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	5
Kiowa	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Prowers	8	0	16	2	19	9	0	54
TOTAL	10	0	18	4	23	9	9	73
16TH								
Bent	4	0	4	4	1	21	0	34
Crowley	4	0	2	0	0	3	8	17
Otero	59	0	11	6	17	56	3	152
TOTAL	67	0	17	10	18	80	11	203
17TH								
Adams	743	0	308	56	242	305	17	1,671
18TH								
Arapahoe	626	0	215	47	186	216	311	1,601
Douglas	35	0	9	3	26	5	38	116
Elbert	0	0	6	1	5	9	0	21
Lincoln	1	0	10	1	1	13	0	26
TOTAL	662	0	240	52	218	243	349	1,764
19TH								
Weld	360	0	142	14	104	247	52	919
20TH								
Boulder	522	0	100	28	146	14	0	810
21ST								
Mesa	242	1	82	15	101	144	0	585
22ND								
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	13	1	6	0	6	4	10	40
TOTAL	13	1	6	0	6	4	10	40
STATE TOTAL	6,562	14	2,492	429	1,947	2,983	3,076	17,503
PERCENT DISTRI-BUTION OF TOTAL	37.5	.1	14.2	2.5	11.1	17.0	17.6	100.0

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 32. JUVENILE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1981-82[a]

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN-QUENCY PETI-TIONS	CHINO PETI-TIONS[b]	DEPEND-ENCY, NEGLECT PETI-TIONS[c]	RELIN-QUISH MENTS	ADOP-TIONS	PATER-NITY & SUP-PORT[d]	MISCEL-LANEOUS[e]	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	4	0	0	0	1	1	13	19
Jefferson	749	17	99	66	264	114	134	1,443
TOTAL	753	17	99	66	265	115	147	1,462
2ND								
Denver Juvenile	688	1	201	0	0	535	2,486	3,911
3RD								
Huerfano	10	3	5	1	3	17	28	67
Las Animas	53	5	36	2	9	59	148	312
TOTAL	63	8	41	3	12	76	176	379
4TH								
El Paso	599	13	421	77	346	301	947	2,704
Teller	15	1	8	1	1	5	17	48
TOTAL	614	14	429	78	347	306	964	2,752
5TH								
Clear Creek	12	0	2	0	10	3	3	30
Eagle	31	0	20	0	6	0	19	76
Lake	27	1	14	0	11	8	67	128
Summit	9	1	1	0	5	0	7	23
TOTAL	79	2	37	0	32	11	96	257
6TH								
Archuleta	4	0	3	0	0	1	4	12
La Plata	50	9	12	4	16	29	30	150
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	54	9	15	4	16	30	34	162
7TH								
Delta	23	0	8	3	19	35	14	102
Gunnison	7	0	5	1	5	0	3	21
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	36	0	26	4	22	13	28	129
Ouray	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	6
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	16
TOTAL	67	0	43	8	46	48	62	274
8TH								
Jackson	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	6
Larimer	216	1	82	14	79	136	0	528
TOTAL	218	1	82	14	79	136	4	534
9TH								
Garfield	37	0	22	7	39	17	145	267
Pitkin	21	0	6	0	0	0	15	42
Rio Blanco	2	0	1	0	2	1	4	10
TOTAL	60	0	29	7	41	18	164	319
10TH								
Pueblo	484	7	148	19	65	414	39	1,176
11TH								
Chaffee	27	0	11	1	17	17	47	120
Custer	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	5
Fremont	38	0	26	6	16	24	25	135
Park	25	0	3	0	2	0	8	38
TOTAL	90	0	40	7	35	44	82	298

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 32. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELINQUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETITIONS[b]	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS[c]	RELINQUISHMENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT[d]	MISCELLANEOUS[e]	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	29	0	4	0	6	9	50	98
Conejos	5	0	1	1	3	14	23	47
Costilla	1	0	0	0	1	10	7	19
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Rio Grande	16	0	7	2	7	19	86	137
Saguache	16	0	0	2	3	27	13	61
TOTAL	67	0	12	5	20	79	180	363
13TH								
Kit Carson	3	0	3	0	8	6	1	21
Logan	18	1	3	2	9	30	52	115
Morgan	51	0	75	3	19	82	97	327
Phillips	2	1	5	0	5	2	2	17
Sedgwick	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	6
Washington	0	0	3	0	7	1	9	20
Yuma	3	0	0	1	11	1	9	25
TOTAL	78	2	91	7	61	122	170	531
14TH								
Grand	31	0	1	2	6	0	24	64
Moffat	21	3	26	2	23	1	4	80
Routt	19	0	3	0	11	2	14	49
TOTAL	71	3	30	4	40	3	42	193
15TH								
Baca	4	0	1	1	2	0	5	13
Cheyenne	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	6
Kiowa	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
Prowers	6	5	8	2	0	0	0	21
TOTAL	11	5	10	4	4	1	8	43
16TH								
Bent	4	1	5	4	6	22	4	46
Crowley	1	0	2	0	0	2	7	12
Otero	61	0	27	8	18	0	0	114
TOTAL	66	1	34	12	24	24	11	172
17TH								
Adams	408	1	190	34	231	279	11	1,154
18TH								
Arapahoe	285	0	235	44	174	231	0	989
Douglas	32	0	8	3	21	5	35	104
Elbert	9	0	3	0	4	3	0	19
Lincoln	1	0	4	0	3	7	0	15
TOTAL	327	0	270	47	202	246	35	1,127
19TH								
Weld	247	6	100	2	74	6	429	864
20TH								
Boulder	594	11	79	24	132	17	37	894
21ST								
Mesa	346	3	69	12	89	102	0	621
22ND								
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	8	2	10	1	13	5	23	62
TOTAL	8	2	10	1	13	5	23	62
STATE TOTAL	5,393	93	2,059	358	1,28	2,617	5,200	17,548
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	30.8	.5	11.7	2.0	10.4	14.9	29.7	100.0

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 33. MENTAL HEALTH CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST							
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	163	124	11	298	78	18	202
TOTAL	163	124	11	298	78	18	202
2ND							
Denver Probate	340	614	101	1,055	574	156	325
3RD							
Huerfano	2	12	2	16	11	0	5
Las Animas	5	37	3	45	33	0	12
TOTAL	7	49	5	61	44	0	17
4TH							
El Paso	158	475	51	684	445	33	206
Teller	0	1	1	2	1	0	1
TOTAL	158	476	52	686	446	33	207
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Eagle	0	7	0	7	3	0	4
Lake	0	2	0	2	2	0	0
Summit	5	1	4	10	5	0	5
TOTAL	5	11	4	20	11	0	9
6TH							
Archuleta	12	2	0	14	1	2	11
La Plata	5	19	1	25	20	0	5
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	17	21	1	39	21	2	16
7TH							
Delta	1	5	1	7	6	1	0
Gunnison	1	6	0	7	5	1	1
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	16	20	0	36	19	0	17
Ouray	0	2	0	2	1	1	0
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	18	33	1	52	31	3	18
8TH							
Jackson	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Larimer	13	40	11	64	46	0	18
TOTAL	14	40	11	65	46	1	18
9TH							
Garfield	48	16	28	92	63	14	15
Pitkin	1	2	0	3	0	2	1
Rio Blanco	0	4	2	6	2	2	2
TOTAL	49	22	30	101	65	18	18
10TH							
Pueblo	225	434	58	717	387	139	191
11TH							
Chaffee	1	6	1	8	7	0	1
Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fremont	12	45	2	59	41	2	16
Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	13	51	3	67	48	2	17

TABLE 33. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH							
Alamosa	7	25	2	34	22	4	8
Conejos	0	5	4	9	7	0	2
Costilla	0	7	2	9	8	0	1
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	5	12	3	20	10	2	8
Saguache	1	1	0	2	0	1	1
TOTAL	13	50	11	74	47	7	20
13TH							
Kit Carson	1	3	0	4	2	1	1
Logan	1	9	0	10	9	0	1
Morgan	16	22	0	38	28	1	9
Phillips	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
Sedgwick	0	3	0	3	3	0	0
Washington	3	1	0	4	2	0	2
Yuma	8	6	0	14	1	0	13
TOTAL	30	44	0	74	45	2	27
14TH							
Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moffat	2	11	0	13	13	0	0
Routt	2	2	0	4	2	0	2
TOTAL	4	13	0	17	15	0	2
15TH							
Baca	1	16	1	18	8	2	8
Cheyenne	2	1	1	4	3	0	1
Kiowa	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
Prowers	3	16	0	19	11	4	4
TOTAL	6	33	3	42	23	6	13
16TH							
Bent	19	44	4	67	42	3	22
Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otero	6	29	12	47	27	0	20
TOTAL	25	73	16	114	69	3	42
17TH							
Adams	20	106	8	134	98	13	23
18TH							
Arapahoe	28	123	127	278	238	0	40
Douglas	1	4	0	5	4	0	1
Elbert	0	9	1	10	5	2	3
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	29	136	128	293	247	2	44
19TH							
Weld	6	28	1	35	26	1	8
20TH							
Boulder	242	106	7	355	227	9	119
21ST							
Mesa	21	50	1	72	52	6	14
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	3	6	0	9	3	2	4
TOTAL	3	6	0	9	3	2	4
STATE TOTAL	1,408	2,520	452	4,380	2,603	423	1,354

TABLE 34. MENTAL HEALTH FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	EVALUATION[a]	DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLED ADMISSION[b]	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS[c]	MISCEL-LANEOUS[d]	TOTAL
1ST					
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	71	9	8	36	124
TOTAL	71	9	8	36	124
2ND					
Denver Probate	527	0	65	22	614
3RD					
Huerfano	10	1	0	1	12
Las Animas	23	0	8	6	37
TOTAL	33	1	8	7	49
4TH					
El Paso	334	3	110	28	475
Teller	0	0	1	0	1
TOTAL	334	3	111	28	476
5TH					
Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	1
Eagle	7	0	0	0	7
Lake	0	2	0	0	2
Summit	0	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	7	3	0	1	11
6TH					
Archuleta	0	0	0	2	2
La Plata	15	0	4	0	19
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	15	0	4	2	21
7TH					
Delta	5	0	0	0	5
Gunnison	1	1	2	2	6
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	15	3	1	1	20
Ouray	1	0	0	1	2
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	22	4	3	4	33
8TH					
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer	15	0	15	10	40
TOTAL	15	0	15	10	40
9TH					
Garfield	14	0	1	1	16
Pitkin	0	0	0	2	2
Rio Blanco	2	1	0	1	4
TOTAL	16	1	1	4	22
10TH					
Pueblo	365	0	59	10	434
11TH					
Chaffee	6	0	0	0	6
Custer	0	0	0	0	0
Fremont	44	1	0	0	45
Park	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	50	1	0	0	51

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 34. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	EVALUATION[a]	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSION[b]	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS[c]	MISCELLANEOUS[d]	TOTAL
12TH					
Alamosa	21	0	2	2	25
Conejos	5	0	0	0	5
Costilla	7	0	0	0	7
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	7	0	1	4	12
Saguache	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	**40**	**0**	**3**	**7**	**50**
13TH					
Kit Carson	1	0	0	2	3
Logan	9	0	0	0	9
Morgan	20	0	0	2	22
Phillips	0	0	0	0	0
Sedgwick	2	1	0	0	3
Washington	0	0	0	1	1
Yuma	6	0	0	0	6
TOTAL	**38**	**1**	**0**	**5**	**44**
14TH					
Grand	0	0	0	0	0
Moffat	9	0	1	1	11
Routt	2	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	**11**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**13**
15TH					
Baca	8	0	6	2	16
Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	1
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	11	0	5	0	16
TOTAL	**20**	**0**	**11**	**2**	**33**
16TH					
Bent	39	0	2	3	44
Crowley	0	0	0	0	0
Otero	29	0	0	0	29
TOTAL	**68**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**73**
17TH					
Adams	**73**	**2**	**19**	**12**	**106**
18TH					
Arapahoe	100	0	4	19	123
Douglas	4	0	0	0	4
Elbert	7	0	0	2	9
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**111**	**0**	**4**	**21**	**136**
19TH					
Weld	**21**	**0**	**6**	**1**	**28**
20TH					
Boulder	**91**	**10**	**5**	**0**	**106**
21ST					
Mesa	**42**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**50**
22ND					
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	3	0	2	1	6
TOTAL	**3**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**6**
STATE TOTAL	**1,973**	**35**	**335**	**177**	**2,520**
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	**78.3**	**1.4**	**13.3**	**7.0**	**100.0**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 35. MENTAL HEALTH TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	EVALUATION[a]	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSION[b]	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS[c]	MISCELLANEOUS[d]	TOTAL
1ST					
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	49	0	3	26	78
TOTAL	**49**	**0**	**3**	**26**	**78**
2ND					
Denver Probate	518	0	56	0	574
3RD					
Huerfano	8	0	0	3	11
Las Animas	18	0	5	10	33
TOTAL	**26**	**0**	**5**	**13**	**44**
4TH					
El Paso	324	0	84	37	445
Teller	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	**324**	**0**	**84**	**38**	**446**
5TH					
Clear Creek	0	0	0	1	1
Eagle	3	0	0	0	3
Lake	0	2	0	0	2
Summit	3	1	0	1	5
TOTAL	**6**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**11**
6TH					
Archuleta	1	0	0	0	1
La Plata	17	0	3	0	20
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**18**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**21**
7TH					
Delta	6	0	0	0	6
Gunnison	1	1	2	1	5
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	16	2	0	1	19
Ouray	1	0	0	0	1
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**24**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**31**
8TH					
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer	14	0	15	17	46
TOTAL	**14**	**0**	**15**	**17**	**46**
9TH					
Garfield	35	1	1	26	63
Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Blanco	1	1	0	0	2
TOTAL	**36**	**2**	**1**	**26**	**65**
10TH					
Pueblo	333	0	54	0	387
11TH					
Chaffee	6	1	0	0	7
Custer	0	0	0	0	0
Fremont	39	1	0	1	41
Park	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**45**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**48**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 35. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	EVALUATION[a]	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSION[b]	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS[c]	MISCELLANEOUS[d]	TOTAL
12TH					
Alamosa	20	0	0	2	22
Conejos	6	0	0	1	7
Costilla	6	0	0	2	8
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	3	0	1	6	10
Saguache	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**35**	**0**	**1**	**11**	**47**
13TH					
Kit Carson	1	0	0	1	2
Logan	9	0	0	0	9
Morgan	23	0	4	1	28
Phillips	0	0	0	0	0
Sedwick	2	1	0	0	3
Washington	1	0	0	1	2
Yuma	1	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	**37**	**1**	**4**	**3**	**45**
14TH					
Grand	0	0	0	0	0
Moffat	11	0	1	1	13
Routt	2	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	**13**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**15**
15TH					
Baca	5	0	1	2	8
Cheyenne	1	0	0	2	3
Kiowa	0	1	0	0	1
Prowers	8	0	3	0	11
TOTAL	**14**	**1**	**4**	**4**	**23**
16TH					
Bent	30	0	2	10	42
Crowley	0	0	0	0	0
Otero	27	0	0	0	27
TOTAL	**57**	**0**	**2**	**10**	**69**
17TH					
Adams	**74**	**0**	**19**	**5**	**98**
18TH					
Arapahoe	88	0	3	147	238
Douglas	4	0	0	0	4
Elbert	4	0	0	1	5
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**96**	**0**	**3**	**148**	**247**
19TH					
Weld	**17**	**0**	**6**	**3**	**26**
20TH					
Boulder	**188**	**2**	**10**	**27**	**227**
21ST					
Mesa	**43**	**0**	**9**	**0**	**52**
22ND					
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	2	0	1	0	3
TOTAL	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**3**
STATE TOTAL	**1,969**	**14**	**283**	**337**	**2,603**
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	**75.6**	**.5**	**10.9**	**13.0**	**100.0**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 36. CRIMINAL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST							
Gilpin	11	18	8	37	26	3	8
Jefferson	984	1,376	381	2,741	1,066	687	988
TOTAL	**995**	**1,394**	**389**	**2,778**	**1,092**	**690**	**996**
2ND							
Denver District	4,070	2,548	945	7,563	2,030	1,522	4,011
Denver-Superior	228	62	11	301	85	0	216
TOTAL	**4,298**	**2,610**	**956**	**7,864**	**2,115**	**1,522**	**4,227**
3RD							
Huerfano	59	36	20	115	47	0	68
Las Animas	109	76	25	210	114	16	80
TOTAL	**168**	**112**	**45**	**325**	**161**	**16**	**148**
4TH							
El Paso	2,606	2,923	1,797	7,324	2,760	2,106	2,458
Teller	78	69	30	177	79	0	98
TOTAL	**2,684**	**2,990**	**1,827**	**7,501**	**2,839**	**2,106**	**2,556**
5TH							
Clear Creek	52	53	27	132	67	0	65
Eagle	154	142	72	368	183	0	185
Lake	94	43	38	175	78	43	54
Summit	96	91	9	196	85	0	111
TOTAL	**396**	**329**	**146**	**871**	**413**	**43**	**415**
6TH							
Archuleta	51	33	11	95	37	0	58
La Plata	180	178	60	418	161	56	201
San Juan	12	8	1	21	5	11	5
TOTAL	**243**	**219**	**72**	**534**	**203**	**67**	**264**
7TH							
Delta	32	76	24	132	67	0	65
Gunnison	36	23	16	75	34	0	41
Hinsdale	7	1	0	8	2	1	5
Montrose	53	71	7	131	55	25	51
Ouray	8	12	0	20	12	1	7
San Miguel	24	18	0	42	5	0	37
TOTAL	**160**	**201**	**47**	**408**	**175**	**27**	**206**
8TH							
Jackson	16	4	0	20	4	2	14
Larimer	1,565	849	305	2,719	836	305	1,578
TOTAL	**1,581**	**853**	**305**	**2,739**	**840**	**307**	**1,592**
9TH							
Garfield	184	238	98	520	316	0	204
Pitkin	168	101	108	377	122	69	186
Rio Blanco	21	47	27	95	37	10	48
TOTAL	**373**	**386**	**233**	**992**	**475**	**79**	**438**
10TH							
Pueblo	**238**	**326**	**227**	**791**	**384**	**131**	**276**
11TH							
Chaffee	82	107	28	217	97	9	111
Custer	3	1	1	5	2	1	2
Fremont	195	264	149	608	234	162	212
Park	25	14	1	40	11	2	27
TOTAL	**305**	**386**	**179**	**870**	**344**	**174**	**352**

TABLE 36. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	POST JUDG-MENT TER-MINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH							
Alamosa	43	70	30	143	90	0	53
Conejos	19	6	7	32	21	0	11
Costilla	15	15	1	31	14	2	15
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	44	63	18	125	70	7	48
Saguache	10	22	12	44	22	0	22
TOTAL	131	176	68	375	217	9	149
13TH							
Kit Carson	10	18	2	30	19	0	11
Logan	59	59	11	129	58	10	61
Morgan	111	116	50	277	173	0	104
Phillips	10	13	2	25	10	0	15
Sedgwick	2	3	1	6	5	0	1
Washington	14	5	3	22	12	0	10
Yuma	6	17	2	25	9	3	13
TOTAL	212	231	71	514	286	13	215
14TH							
Grand	75	71	21	167	80	0	87
Moffat	51	99	1	151	82	3	66
Routt	78	69	15	162	70	0	92
TOTAL	204	239	37	480	232	3	245
15TH							
Baca	31	29	0	60	22	10	28
Cheyenne	15	10	3	28	5	0	23
Kiowa	6	2	2	10	4	0	6
Prowers	57	59	10	126	32	37	57
TOTAL	109	100	15	224	63	47	114
16TH							
Bent	23	17	13	53	30	0	23
Crowley	7	5	2	14	10	0	4
Otero	100	162	27	289	149	17	123
TOTAL	130	184	42	356	189	17	150
17TH							
Adams	506	913	448	1867	745	453	669
18TH							
Arapahoe	2,001	1,453	146	3,600	1,217	18	2,365
Douglas	117	124	29	270	119	0	151
Elbert	8	16	5	29	8	4	17
Lincoln	6	20	7	33	16	2	15
TOTAL	2,132	1,613	187	3,932	1,360	24	2,548
19TH							
Weld	1,032	855	275	2,162	762	308	1,092
20TH							
Boulder	1,080	635	90	1,805	319	121	1,365
21ST							
Mesa	371	500	149	1,020	434	87	499
22ND							
Dolores	1	6	0	7	1	1	5
Montezuma	40	90	34	164	105	0	59
TOTAL	41	96	34	171	106	1	64
STATE TOTAL	17,389	15,348	5,842	38,579	13,754	6,245	18,580

TABLE 37. CRIMINAL OFFENSE FILINGS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE - FY 1981-82*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON[a]	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY[b]	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD[c]	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS[d]	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISCELLANEOUS OFFENSES[e]	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
1ST							
Gilpin	3	5	0	0	0	7	15
Jefferson	149	614	83	26	107	354	1,333
TOTAL	**152**	**619**	**83**	**26**	**107**	**361**	**1,348**
2ND							
Denver	333	1,313	311	28	366	0	2,351
Denver - Superior	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**333**	**1,313**	**311**	**28**	**366**	**0**	**2,351**
3RD							
Huerfano	6	11	5	2	0	7	31
Las Animas	17	35	8	1	1	8	70
TOTAL	**23**	**46**	**13**	**3**	**1**	**15**	**101**
4TH							
El Paso	480	1,392	262	38	272	387	2,831
Teller	19	31	5	3	0	11	69
TOTAL	**499**	**1,423**	**267**	**41**	**272**	**398**	**2,900**
5TH							
Clear Creek	10	14	4	2	3	19	52
Eagle	16	50	5	5	17	29	122
Lake	11	14	9	4	1	2	41
Summit	0	4	1	1	0	83	89
TOTAL	**37**	**82**	**19**	**12**	**21**	**133**	**304**
6TH							
Archuleta	4	6	1	2	2	14	29
La Plata	22	43	14	0	36	55	170
San Juan	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
TOTAL	**27**	**50**	**15**	**2**	**38**	**70**	**202**
7TH							
Delta	11	29	4	9	0	23	76
Gunnison	1	7	0	2	1	12	23
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Montrose	2	8	6	2	2	51	71
Ouray	2	1	0	0	0	9	12
San Miguel	1	2	0	0	5	7	15
TOTAL	**17**	**47**	**10**	**13**	**8**	**103**	**198**
8TH							
Jackson	0	1	0	0	2	1	4
Larimer	110	377	63	20	99	96	765
TOTAL	**110**	**378**	**63**	**20**	**101**	**97**	**769**
9TH							
Garfield	39	69	24	44	10	36	222
Pitkin	12	37	13	1	1	33	97
Rio Blanco	9	17	2	0	2	11	41
TOTAL	**60**	**123**	**39**	**45**	**13**	**80**	**360**
10TH							
Pueblo	**57**	**167**	**28**	**1**	**31**	**34**	**318**
11TH							
Chaffee	22	33	15	15	1	13	99
Custer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Fremont	9	17	0	20	2	183	231
Park	4	2	1	0	0	5	12
TOTAL	**35**	**53**	**16**	**35**	**3**	**201**	**343**

See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 37. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON[a]	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY[b]	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD[c]	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS[d]	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISCELLANEOUS OFFENSES[e]	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
12TH							
Alamosa	11	29	7	1	2	17	67
Conejos	1	3	2	0	0	0	6
Costilla	3	5	0	1	0	6	15
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	4	5	1	0	0	53	63
Saguache	5	8	0	3	0	5	21
TOTAL	24	50	10	5	2	81	172
13TH							
Kit Carson	1	8	2	1	0	0	12
Logan	6	24	4	2	1	17	54
Morgan	26	39	4	1	1	18	89
Phillips	1	9	0	2	0	0	12
Sedgwick	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
Washington	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Yuma	0	1	1	0	2	12	16
TOTAL	36	84	11	6	4	48	189
14TH							
Grand	5	10	3	0	2	49	69
Moffat	0	3	0	0	0	90	93
Routt	4	19	2	0	10	18	53
TOTAL	9	32	5	0	12	157	215
15TH							
Baca	0	2	1	0	2	23	28
Cheyenne	1	4	0	2	0	3	10
Kiowa	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Prowers	8	25	8	3	1	4	49
TOTAL	9	33	9	5	3	30	89
16TH							
Bent	3	8	2	0	0	0	13
Crowley	2	0	1	0	0	1	4
Otero	36	69	19	4	1	23	152
TOTAL	41	77	22	4	1	24	169
17TH							
Adams	143	360	58	16	58	215	850
18TH							
Arapahoe	184	753	156	18	121	160	1,392
Douglas	30	45	3	0	5	34	117
Elbert	3	3	2	0	0	3	11
Lincoln	4	6	2	0	0	7	19
TOTAL	221	807	163	18	126	204	1,539
19TH							
Weld	215	379	68	5	53	80	800
20TH							
Boulder	84	253	48	12	135	46	578
21ST							
Mesa	84	260	14	33	11	90	492
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Montezuma	12	20	7	2	2	47	90
TOTAL	12	20	7	2	2	49	92
STATE TOTAL	2,228	6,656	1,279	332	1,368	2,516	14,379
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	15.5	46.3	8.9	2.3	9.5	17.5	100.0

See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 38. CRIMINAL OFFENSE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1981-82*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON[a]	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY[b]	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD[c]	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERN-MENTAL OPERATIONS[d]	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISCEL-LANEOUS OFFENSES[e]	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
1ST							
Gilpin	4	2	0	1	1	15	23
Jefferson	136	532	68	20	78	205	1,039
TOTAL	**140**	**534**	**68**	**21**	**79**	**220**	**1,062**
2ND							
Denver	277	993	185	3	207	166	1,831
Denver Superior	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**277**	**993**	**185**	**3**	**207**	**166**	**1,831**
3RD							
Huerfano	3	10	2	0	6	23	44
Las Animas	24	38	13	2	3	23	103
TOTAL	**27**	**48**	**15**	**2**	**9**	**46**	**147**
4TH							
El Paso	509	1,378	234	39	265	221	2,646
Teller	16	26	2	2	0	30	76
TOTAL	**525**	**1,404**	**236**	**41**	**265**	**251**	**2,722**
5TH							
Clear Creek	6	18	2	1	0	39	66
Eagle	18	39	2	8	17	83	167
Lake	9	26	4	1	3	33	76
Summit	2	10	2	2	2	64	82
TOTAL	**35**	**93**	**10**	**12**	**22**	**219**	**391**
6TH							
Archuleta	2	6	1	1	0	22	32
La Plata	16	44	14	4	23	53	154
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**18**	**50**	**15**	**5**	**23**	**75**	**186**
7TH							
Delta	9	12	0	9	1	36	67
Gunnison	6	7	0	2	1	18	34
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Montrose	0	6	2	1	0	46	55
Ouray	2	2	1	0	0	7	12
San Miguel	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
TOTAL	**17**	**30**	**3**	**12**	**2**	**110**	**174**
8TH							
Jackson	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
Larimer	114	302	50	18	60	264	808
TOTAL	**114**	**302**	**50**	**18**	**62**	**266**	**812**
9TH							
Garfield	27	67	21	41	9	134	299
Pitkin	8	40	15	1	10	25	99
Rio Blanco	2	7	0	0	2	23	34
TOTAL	**37**	**114**	**36**	**42**	**21**	**182**	**432**
10TH							
Pueblo	**63**	**172**	**20**	**3**	**17**	**101**	**376**
11TH							
Chaffee	12	23	11	12	8	24	90
Custer	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Fremont	29	35	9	28	1	102	204
Park	3	1	1	0	0	6	11
TOTAL	**44**	**60**	**22**	**40**	**9**	**132**	**307**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 38. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON[a]	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY[b]	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD[c]	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERN-MENTAL OPERATIONS[d]	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISCEL-LANEOUS OFFENSES[e]	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
12TH							
Alamosa	10	33	5	2	1	36	87
Conejos	5	6	1	0	0	8	20
Costilla	2	3	0	0	1	8	14
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	3	7	4	0	0	54	68
Saguache	3	2	0	1	0	15	21
TOTAL	**23**	**51**	**10**	**3**	**2**	**121**	**210**
13TH							
Kit Carson	2	4	2	1	2	2	13
Logan	7	22	7	1	2	12	51
Morgan	29	40	3	2	7	66	147
Phillips	1	4	1	0	1	2	9
Sedgwick	0	3	0	0	0	2	5
Washington	1	5	0	0	0	4	10
Yuma	0	0	1	0	0	7	8
TOTAL	**40**	**78**	**14**	**4**	**12**	**95**	**243**
14TH							
Grand	7	18	4	0	5	40	74
Moffat	4	2	1	0	0	68	75
Routt	4	18	3	0	14	22	61
TOTAL	**15**	**38**	**8**	**0**	**19**	**130**	**210**
15TH							
Baca	1	6	0	0	0	15	22
Cheyenne	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
Kiowa	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
Prowers	6	13	0	2	3	0	24
TOTAL	**8**	**24**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**17**	**54**
16TH							
Bent	3	8	1	1	0	9	22
Crowley	3	1	2	0	0	3	9
Otero	29	61	12	1	2	34	139
TOTAL	**35**	**70**	**15**	**2**	**2**	**46**	**170**
17TH							
Adams	**104**	**309**	**43**	**10**	**41**	**175**	**682**
18TH							
Arapahoe	152	629	111	5	89	162	1,148
Douglas	24	30	2	1	1	51	109
Elbert	2	0	1	0	0	2	5
Lincoln	4	6	0	0	0	4	14
TOTAL	**182**	**665**	**114**	**6**	**90**	**219**	**1,276**
19TH							
Weld	**205**	**287**	**55**	**4**	**29**	**144**	**724**
20TH							
Boulder	**52**	**148**	**10**	**8**	**29**	**22**	**269**
21ST							
Mesa	**51**	**214**	**14**	**27**	**20**	**101**	**427**
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	16	23	3	1	1	60	104
TOTAL	**16**	**23**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**60**	**104**
STATE TOTAL	**2,028**	**5,707**	**946**	**266**	**964**	**2,898**	**12,809**
PERCENT DISTRI-BUTION OF TOTAL	**15.8**	**44.6**	**7.4**	**2.1**	**7.5**	**22.6**	**100.0**

* See Page 169 For Notes.

TABLE 39. OFFENSE AND NON-OFFENSE FILINGS PLUS TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS - FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON-OFFENSE FILINGS[a]	TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS
1ST				
Gilpin	15	0	3	18
Jefferson	1,333	43	0	1,376
TOTAL	**1,348**	**43**	**3**	**1,394**
2ND				
Denver	2,351	0	197	2,548
Denver-Superior	0	62	0	62
TOTAL	**2,351**	**62**	**197**	**2,610**
3RD				
Huerfano	31	2	3	36
Las Animas	70	2	4	76
TOTAL	**101**	**4**	**7**	**112**
4TH				
El Paso	2,831	18	72	2,921
Teller	69	0	0	69
TOTAL	**2,900**	**18**	**72**	**2,990**
5TH				
Clear Creek	52	1	0	53
Eagle	122	0	20	142
Lake	41	1	1	43
Summit	89	1	1	91
TOTAL	**304**	**3**	**22**	**329**
6TH				
Archuleta	29	0	4	33
La Plata	170	6	2	178
San Juan	3	0	5	8
TOTAL	**202**	**6**	**11**	**219**
7TH				
Delta	76	0	0	76
Gunnison	23	0	0	23
Hinsdale	1	0	0	1
Montrose	71	0	0	71
Ouray	12	0	0	12
San Miguel	15	2	1	18
TOTAL	**198**	**2**	**1**	**201**
8TH				
Jackson	4	0	0	4
Larimer	765	6	78	849
TOTAL	**769**	**6**	**78**	**853**
9TH				
Garfield	222	15	1	238
Pitkin	97	0	4	101
Rio Blanco	41	2	4	47
TOTAL	**360**	**17**	**9**	**386**
10TH				
Pueblo	**318**	**8**	**0**	**326**
11TH				
Chaffee	99	1	7	107
Custer	1	0	0	1
Fremont	231	3	30	264
Park	12	0	2	14
TOTAL	**343**	**4**	**39**	**386**

[a] Includes extraditions, revocations, parole and release from commitment hearings, etc.

TABLE 39. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON-OFFENSE FILINGS[a]	TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS
12TH				
Alamosa	67	1	2	70
Conejos	6	0	0	6
Costilla	15	0	0	15
Mineral	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	63	0	0	63
Saguache	21	1	0	22
TOTAL	172	2	2	176
13TH				
Kit Carson	12	0	6	18
Logan	54	4	1	59
Morgan	89	2	25	116
Phillips	12	1	0	13
Sedgwick	3	0	0	3
Washington	3	0	2	5
Yuma	16	1	0	17
TOTAL	189	8	34	231
14TH				
Grand	69	2	0	71
Moffat	93	6	0	99
Routt	53	12	4	69
TOTAL	215	20	4	239
15TH				
Baca	28	1	0	29
Cheyenne	10	0	0	10
Kiowa	2	0	0	2
Prowers	49	1	9	59
TOTAL	89	2	9	100
16TH				
Bent	13	0	4	17
Crowley	4	0	1	5
Otero	152	0	10	162
TOTAL	169	0	15	184
17TH				
Adams	850	44	19	913
18TH				
Arapahoe	1,392	45	16	1,453
Douglas	117	2	5	124
Elbert	11	2	3	16
Lincoln	19	0	1	20
TOTAL	1,539	49	25	1,613
19TH				
Weld	800	20	35	855
20TH				
Boulder	578	50	7	635
21ST				
Mesa	492	7	1	500
22ND				
Dolores	2	4	0	6
Montezuma	90	0	0	90
TOTAL	92	4	0	96
STATE TOTAL	14,379	379	590	15,348
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	93.7	2.5	3.8	100.0

[a] Includes extraditions, revocations, parole and release from commitment hearings, etc.

TABLE 40. OFFENSE AND NON-OFFENSE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1981-1982*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON-OFFENSE TERM.[a]	TOTAL CRIMINAL TERM.
1ST				
Gilpin	23	0	3	26
Jefferson	1,039	27	0	1,066
TOTAL	**1,062**	**27**	**3**	**1,092**
2ND				
Denver	1,831	0	199	2,030
Denver Superior	0	83	2	85
TOTAL	**1,831**	**83**	**201**	**2,115**
3RD				
Huerfano	44	1	2	47
Las Animas	103	4	7	114
TOTAL	**147**	**5**	**9**	**161**
4TH				
El Paso	2,646	17	97	2,760
Teller	76	1	2	79
TOTAL	**2,722**	**18**	**99**	**2,839**
5TH				
Clear Creek	66	0	1	67
Eagle	167	2	14	183
Lake	76	1	1	78
Summit	82	0	3	85
TOTAL	**391**	**3**	**19**	**413**
6TH				
Archuleta	32	0	5	37
La Plata	154	4	3	161
San Juan	0	0	5	5
TOTAL	**186**	**4**	**13**	**203**
7TH				
Delta	67	0	0	67
Gunnison	34	0	0	34
Hinsdale	2	0	0	2
Montrose	55	0	0	55
Ouray	12	0	0	12
San Miguel	4	0	1	5
TOTAL	**174**	**0**	**1**	**175**
8TH				
Jackson	4	0	0	4
Larimer	808	10	18	836
TOTAL	**812**	**10**	**18**	**840**
9TH				
Garfield	299	12	5	316
Pitkin	99	5	18	122
Rio Blanco	34	1	2	37
TOTAL	**432**	**18**	**25**	**475**
10TH				
Pueblo	**376**	**8**	**0**	**384**
11TH				
Chaffee	90	1	6	97
Custer	2	0	0	2
Fremont	204	2	28	234
Park	11	0	0	11
TOTAL	**307**	**3**	**34**	**344**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 40. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON-OFFENSE TERM.[a]	TOTAL CRIMINAL TERM.
12TH				
Alamosa	87	1	2	90
Conejos	20	0	1	21
Costilla	14	0	0	14
Mineral	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	68	0	2	70
Saguache	21	1	0	22
TOTAL	**210**	**2**	**5**	**217**
13TH				
Kit Carson	13	0	6	19
Logan	51	4	3	58
Morgan	147	4	22	173
Phillips	9	1	0	10
Sedgwick	5	0	0	5
Washington	10	0	2	12
Yuma	8	1	0	9
TOTAL	**243**	**10**	**33**	**286**
14TH				
Grand	74	3	3	80
Moffat	75	6	1	82
Routt	61	5	4	70
TOTAL	**210**	**14**	**8**	**232**
15TH				
Baca	22	0	0	22
Cheyenne	5	0	0	5
Kiowa	3	1	0	4
Prowers	24	1	7	32
TOTAL	**54**	**2**	**7**	**63**
16TH				
Bent	22	0	8	30
Crowley	9	0	1	10
Otero	139	2	8	149
TOTAL	**170**	**2**	**17**	**189**
17TH				
Adams	**682**	**31**	**32**	**745**
18TH				
Arapahoe	1,148	39	30	1,217
Douglas	109	5	5	119
Elbert	5	0	3	8
Lincoln	14	0	2	16
TOTAL	**1,276**	**44**	**40**	**1,360**
19TH				
Weld	**724**	**22**	**16**	**762**
20TH				
Boulder	**269**	**49**	**1**	**319**
21ST				
Mesa	**427**	**7**	**0**	**434**
22ND				
Dolores	0	1	0	1
Montezuma	104	1	0	105
TOTAL	**104**	**2**	**0**	**106**
STATE TOTAL	**12,809**	**364**	**581**	**13,754**
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	**93.1**	**2.7**	**4.2**	**100.0**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

THE WATER COURTS

TABLE 41. CASELOAD OF THE WATER COURTS, FY 1978-79 - FY 1981-82

	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81	FY 81-82
DIVISION ONE				
Cases Pending July 1	1,511	1,532	1,411	1,481
New Cases Filed	450	399	520	482
Post Judgment Actions	98	50	20	11
TOTAL Caseload	**2,059**	**1,981**	**1,951**	**1,974**
Cases Terminated	515	534	395	391
Post Judgment Terminations	12	36	75	82
Cases Pending June 30	1,532	1,411	1,481	1,501
DIVISION TWO				
Cases Pending July 1	249	280	224	204
New Cases Filed	229	136	240	241
Post Judgment Actions	34	6	16	13
TOTAL Caseload	**512**	**422**	**480**	**458**
Cases Terminated	193	179	244	167
Post Judgment Terminations	39	19	32	8
Cases Pending June 30	280	224	204	283
DIVISION THREE				
Cases Pending July 1	542	445	286	318
New Cases Filed	137	83	178	218
Post Judgment Actions	38	19	85	11
TOTAL Caseload	**717**	**547**	**549**	**547**
Cases Terminated	253	233	131	124
Post Judgment Terminations	19	28	100	0
Cases Pending June 30	445	286	318	423
DIVISION FOUR				
Cases Pending July 1	368	334	257	285
New Cases Filed	380	342	449	327
Post Judgment Actions	0	53	19	20
TOTAL Caseload	**748**	**729**	**725**	**632**
Cases Terminated	414	417	417	267
Post Judgment Terminations	0	55	23	8
Cases Pending June 30	334	257	285	357
DIVISION FIVE				
Cases Pending July 1	676	750	820	660
New Cases Filed	377	507	453	500
Post Judgment Actions	37	59	200	26
TOTAL Caseload	**1,090**	**1,316**	**1,473**	**1,186**
Cases Terminated	326	484	722	465
Post Judgment Terminations	14	12	91	113
Cases Pending June 30	750	820	660	608
DIVISION SIX				
Cases Pending July 1	402	368	385	338
New Cases Filed	161	238	316	303
Post Judgment Actions	24	127	96	10
TOTAL Caseload	**587**	**733**	**797**	**651**
Cases Terminated	139	205	459	309
Post Judgment Terminations	80	143	0	10
Cases Pending June 30	368	385	338	332
DIVISION SEVEN				
Cases Pending July 1	247	308	405	295
New Cases Filed	140	194	165	167
Post Judgment Actions	29	13	5	28
TOTAL Caseload	**416**	**515**	**575**	**490**
Cases Terminated	77	90	238	236
Post Judgment Terminations	31	20	42	0
Cases Pending June 30	308	405	295	254
TOTAL				
Cases Pending July 1	3,995	4,017	3,788	3,581
New Cases Filed	1,874	1,899	2,321	2,238
Post Judgment Actions	260	327	441	119
TOTAL Caseload	**6,129**	**6,243**	**6,550**	**5,938**
Cases Terminated	1,917	2,142	2,606	1,959
Post Judgment Terminations	195	313	363	221
Cases Pending June 30	4,017	3,788	3,581	3,758

TABLE 42. NUMBER OF NEW CASES FILED AND NUMBER OF NEW CLAIMS IN COLORADO WATER COURTS, FY 1978-79 THROUGH FY 1981-82

DIVISION	FY 1978-79		FY 1979-80		FY 1980-81		FY 1981-82	
	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS
1	450	6,006	399	1,556	520	1,821	482	1,456
2	229	3,771	136	875	240	978	241	2,931
3	137	240	83	267	178	2,117[a]	218	3,290
4	380	582	342	439	449	613	327	711
5	377	673	507	1,063	453	1,427	500	1,332
6	161	246	238	430	316	441	303	808
7	140	343	194	299	165	402	167	229
TOTAL	**1,874**	**11,861**	**1,899**	**4,929**	**2,321**	**7,799**	**2,238**	**10,766**
PERCENT CHANGE			1.3	-58.4	22.2	58.2	-3.6	38.0



[a]Due in part to U.S. Reserved Rights Claims now in 3rd Division Water Court.

THE COUNTY COURTS

TABLE 43. COUNTY COURT CASELOAD[a]
FY 1975-76 -- FY 1981-82

	FY 75-76	FY 76-77	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81	FY 81-82
CIVIL							
Cases Pending July 1	8,248	8,695	9,486	8,906[c]	10,552[d]	13,250	16,580
New Cases Filed	30,643	32,268	32,508	35,952	40,211	45,423	59,629
Total Caseload	**38,891**	**40,963**	**41,994**	**44,858**	**50,763**	**58,673**	**76,209**
Cases Terminated	30,196	31,477	30,691	34,295	37,513	42,242	54,198
Cases Pending June 30	8,695	9,486	11,303	10,563	13,250	16,431	22,011
SMALL CLAIMS[b]							
Cases Pending July 1		0	1,324	1,657[c]	2,533[d]	3,738	5,450
New Cases Filed		5,181	7,955	9,502	10,319	10,910	14,141
Total Caseload		**5,181**	**9,279**	**11,159**	**12,852**	**14,648**	**19,591**
Cases Terminated		3,857	6,987	8,616	9,114	9,347	13,609
Cases Pending June 30		1,324	2,292	2,543	3,738	5,301	5,982
TRAFFIC							
Cases Pending July 1	35,982	34,759	41,419	43,802[c]	48,889[d]	59,818	69,948
New Cases Filed	132,768	138,792	144,990	152,805	161,817	174,355	171,996
Total Caseload	**168,750**	**173,551**	**186,409**	**196,607**	**210,706**	**234,173**	**241,944**
Cases Terminated	133,991	132,132	140,912	147,653	150,888	165,126	166,811
Cases Pending June 30	34,579	41,419	45,497	48,954	59,818	69,047	75,133
MISDEMEANOR							
Cases Pending July 1	11,022	11,226	12,208	11,152[c]	12,256[d]	14,779	17,930
New Cases Filed	23,823	24,169	25,340	27,081	29,299	31,890	34,846
Total Caseload	**34,845**	**35,395**	**37,548**	**38,233**	**41,555**	**46,669**	**52,776**
Cases Terminated	23,619	23,187	23,561	25,963	26,776	28,870	30,253
Cases Pending June 30	11,226	12,208	13,987	12,270	14,779	17,799	22,523
TOTAL							
Cases Pending July 1	55,252	54,680	64,437	65,517[c]	74,230[d]	91,585	109,908
New Cases Filed	187,234	200,410	210,793	225,340	241,646	262,578	280,612
Total Caseload	**242,486**	**255,090**	**275,230**	**290,857**	**315,876**	**354,163**	**390,520**
Cases Terminated	187,806	190,653	202,151	216,527	224,291	245,585	264,871
Cases Pending June 30	54,680	64,437	73,079	74,330	91,585	108,578	125,649

[a] Excludes Denver County Court.

[b] Since the Small Claims Division was created October 1, 1976, the FY 1976-77 figures are for 9 months.

[c] Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978 pending count is a mathematically derived figure.

[d] Revised pending figure.

TABLE 44
COUNTY COURT CASELOAD



TABLE 45. COUNTY COURT FILINGS AND PERCENT CHANGE
FY 1977-78 to FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FY 1977-78	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81	FY 1981-82	PERCENT CHANGE 80-81 81-82	PERCENT CHANGE 77-78 81-82
1ST							
Gilpin	826	835	673	680	826	21.5	0
Jefferson	21,495	24,169	25,431	28,328	30,748	8.5	43.0
TOTAL	22,321	25,004	26,104	29,008	31,574	8.9	41.5
2ND							
Denver	33,728	39,107	51,395	33,878	43,207	27.5	28.1
3RD							
Huerfano	1,270	1,586	1,794	1,442	1,497	3.8	17.9
Las Animas	2,011	2,029	2,197	2,405	2,744	14.1	36.5
TOTAL	3,281	3,615	3,991	3,847	4,241	10.2	29.3
4TH							
El Paso	24,813	27,147	28,035	29,742	35,122	18.1	41.6
Teller	595	635	695	854	1,009	18.2	69.6
TOTAL	25,408	27,782	28,730	30,596	36,131	18.1	42.2
5TH							
Clear Creek	3,608	3,723	2,934	2,531	2,564	1.3	-28.9
Eagle	2,255	2,648	3,194	3,296	3,666	11.2	62.6
Lake	1,349	1,364	1,212	1,104	1,029	-6.8	-23.7
Summit	2,956	3,125	3,266	3,023	2,826	-6.5	-4.4
TOTAL	10,168	10,860	10,606	9,954	10,085	1.3	-.8
6TH							
Archuleta	479	535	620	664	639	-3.8	33.4
La Plata	2,631	2,635	3,643	3,341	2,907	-13.0	10.5
San Juan	57	66	84	120	169	40.8	196.5
TOTAL	3,167	3,236	4,347	4,125	3,715	-9.9	17.3
7TH							
Delta	1,422	1,541	1,754	2,482	2,301	-7.3	61.8
Gunnison	1,723	1,827	1,910	1,906	1,795	-5.8	4.2
Hinsdale	48	25	34	30	36	20.0	-25.0
Montrose	2,134	1,841	2,220	2,535	2,507	-1.1	17.5
Ouray	256	250	246	493	306	-37.9	19.5
San Miguel	286	388	478	585	404	-30.9	41.3
TOTAL	5,869	5,932	6,642	8,031	7,349	-9.5	25.2
8TH							
Jackson	183	307	249	276	272	-1.4	48.6
Larimer	12,199	12,953	13,975	17,657	18,298	3.6	50.0
TOTAL	12,382	13,260	14,224	17,933	18,570	3.6	50.0
9TH							
Garfield	2,296	2,595	3,450	4,695	5,022	7.0	118.7
Pitkin	1,254	1,325	1,364	1,567	1,465	-6.5	16.8
Rio Blanco	806	944	1,113	1,566	1,542	2.6	84.3
TOTAL	4,356	4,864	5,927	7,828	8,029	2.6	84.3
10TH							
Pueblo	10,685	11,624	12,388	13,024	13,929	7.0	30.4
11TH							
Chaffee	1,812	1,916	1,991	2,322	1,956	-15.8	8.0
Custer	79	95	101	88	83	-5.7	5.1
Fremont	2,122	2,491	2,830	2,773	2,474	-10.8	16.6
Park	1,170	808	1,009	1,008	845	-16.2	-27.8
TOTAL	5,183	5,310	5,931	6,191	5,358	-13.5	3.4

TABLE 45. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FY 1977-78	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81	FY 1981-82	PERCENT CHANGE 80-81 81-82	PERCENT CHANGE 77-78 81-82
12TH							
Alamosa	1,441	1,327	1,533	1,512	1,622	7.3	12.6
Conejos	953	695	622	513	416	-18.9	-56.4
Costilla	651	564	652	456	309	-32.2	-52.5
Mineral	151	153	269	157	142	-9.5	-6.0
Rio Grande	1,040	1,047	1,246	1,140	1,076	-5.6	3.5
Saguache	564	542	397	488	575	17.8	2.0
TOTAL	4,800	4,328	4,719	4,266	4,140	-2.9	-13.7
13TH							
Kit Carson	910	678	720	887	1,036	16.8	13.9
Logan	2,714	3,320	3,525	3,349	3,688	10.1	35.9
Morgan	3,529	3,628	3,043	2,984	3,075	3.1	-12.9
Phillips	160	152	315	308	402	30.5	151.3
Sedgwick	755	558	414	395	487	23.3	35.5
Washington	334	334	383	533	445	-14.6	33.2
Yuma	831	583	649	740	809	9.3	-2.6
TOTAL	9,233	9,253	9,049	9,196	9,942	8.1	7.7
14TH							
Grand	1,271	1,729	1,677	1,665	1,723	3.5	35.6
Moffat	1,763	1,956	2,235	2,913	2,276	-21.9	29.1
Routt	1,459	1,672	2,420	2,857	2,728	-4.5	87.0
TOTAL	4,493	5,357	6,332	7,435	6,727	-9.5	49.7
15TH							
Baca	355	298	315	329	377	14.6	6.2
Cheyenne	290	250	248	287	393	36.9	35.5
Kiowa	330	405	399	343	339	-1.2	2.7
Prowers	1,201	1,355	1,196	1,242	1,367	10.1	13.8
TOTAL	2,176	2,308	2,158	2,201	2,476	12.5	13.8
16TH							
Bent	471	522	594	589	587	-.3	24.6
Crowley	278	224	280	254	246	-3.1	-11.5
Otero	2,452	2,221	2,495	2,782	2,794	0.0	14.0
TOTAL	3,201	2,967	3,369	3,625	3,627	-.7	13.3
17TH							
Adams	21,436	21,716	21,672	22,662	24,731	9.3	15.4
18TH							
Arapahoe	19,624	21,186	23,882	25,980	32,774	26.2	67.0
Douglas	6,180	6,486	7,292	6,674	6,321	-5.6	2.3
Elbert	581	576	576	561	820	46.2	41.1
Lincoln	972	818	860	995	1,081	8.6	11.2
TOTAL	27,357	29,066	32,610	34,210	40,996	19.8	49.9
19TH							
Weld	11,934	12,990	13,379	15,637	14,826	-5.2	24.2
20TH							
Boulder[a]	14,674	17,421	19,636	21,785	21,460	-1.5	46.3
21ST							
Mesa	7,105	6,630	7,982	9,081	10,623	21.2	49.5
22ND							
Dolores	130	135	128	116	118	1.7	-9.2
Montezuma	1,434	1,682	1,722	1,827	1,965	7.6	37.0
TOTAL	1,564	1,817	1,850	1,943	2,083	7.2	33.2
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	210,793	225,340	241,646	262,578	280,612	6.9	33.1
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	244,521	264,447	293,041	296,456	323,819	9.2	32.4

[a] Includes Longmont court cases.

TABLE 46. TOTAL CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST					
Gilpin	154	826	980	746	234
Jefferson	7,570	30,748	38,318	30,094	8,224
TOTAL	**7,724**	**31,574**	**39,298**	**30,840**	**8,458**
2ND					
Denver	**20,333**	**43,207**	**63,540**	**33,657**	**29,883**
3RD					
Huerfano	992	1,497	2,489	1,352	1,137
Las Animas	1,114	2,744	3,858	2,427	1,431
TOTAL	**2,106**	**4,241**	**6,347**	**3,779**	**2,568**
4TH					
El Paso	10,991	35,122	46,113	30,979	15,134
Teller	241	1,009	1,250	929	321
TOTAL	**11,232**	**36,131**	**47,363**	**31,908**	**15,455**
5TH					
Clear Creek	816	2,564	3,380	2,571	809
Eagle (Eagle)	1,483	3,316	4,799	3,828	971
Eagle (Basalt)	295	350	645	524	121
Lake	312	1,029	1,341	1,111	230
Summit	1,082	2,826	3,908	2,819	1,089
TOTAL	**3,988**	**10,085**	**14,073**	**10,853**	**3,220**
6TH					
Archuleta	353	639	992	601	391
La Plata	1,487	2,907	4,394	3,680	714
San Juan	53	169	222	119	103
TOTAL	**1,893**	**3,715**	**5,608**	**4,400**	**1,208**
7TH					
Delta	474	2,301	2,775	2,139	636
Gunnison	786	1,795	2,581	1,535	1,046
Hinsdale	10	36	46	34	12
Montrose (Montrose)	504	2,267	2,771	2,176	595
Montrose (Nucla)	341	240	581	424	157
Ouray	182	306	488	385	103
San Miguel	500	404	904	740	164
TOTAL	**2,797**	**7,349**	**10,146**	**7,433**	**2,713**
8TH					
Jackson	221	272	493	219	274
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	4,517	12,626	17,143	11,834	5,309
Larimer (Estes Park)	731	968	1,699	989	710
Larimer (Loveland)	2,030	4,704	6,734	4,282	2,452
TOTAL	**7,499**	**18,570**	**26,069**	**17,324**	**8,745**
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	676	2,501	3,177	2,255	922
Garfield (Rifle)	616	2,521	3,137	2,253	884
Pitkin	1,318	1,465	2,783	1,595	1,188
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	262	888	1,150	850	300
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	133	654	787	640	147
TOTAL	**3,005**	**8,029**	**11,034**	**7,593**	**3,441**
10TH					
Pueblo	**3,719**	**13,929**	**17,648**	**12,752**	**4,896**
11TH					
Chaffee	753	1,956	2,709	2,062	647
Custer	15	83	98	78	20
Fremont	1,187	2,474	3,661	2,712	949
Park	486	845	1,331	913	418
TOTAL	**2,441**	**5,358**	**7,799**	**5,765**	**2,034**

TABLE 46. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH					
Alamosa	398	1,622	2,020	1,541	479
Conejos	241	416	657	520	137
Costilla	113	309	422	359	63
Mineral	59	142	201	125	76
Rio Grande	353	1,076	1,429	1,064	365
Saguache	122	575	697	599	98
TOTAL	1,286	4,140	5,426	4,208	1,218
13TH					
Kit Carson	316	1,036	1,352	1,021	331
Logan	1,225	3,688	4,913	3,505	1,408
Morgan	1,308	3,075	4,383	3,165	1,218
Phillips	98	402	500	400	100
Sedgwick	202	487	689	469	220
Washington	192	445	637	476	161
Yuma	283	809	1,092	794	298
TOTAL	3,624	9,942	13,566	9,830	3,736
14TH					
Grand	819	1,723	2,542	2,099	443
Moffat	1,222	2,156	3,378	2,216	1,162
Moffat (Dinosaur)	144	120	264	213	51
Routt	1,052	2,728	3,780	2,391	1,389
TOTAL	3,237	6,727	9,964	6,919	3,045
15TH					
Baca	123	377	500	360	140
Cheyenne	106	393	499	351	148
Kiowa	168	339	507	311	196
Prowers	481	1,367	1,848	1,482	366
TOTAL	878	2,476	3,354	2,504	850
16TH					
Bent	302	587	889	664	225
Crowley	67	246	313	285	28
Otero	1,031	2,794	3,825	3,005	820
TOTAL	1,400	3,627	5,027	3,954	1,073
17TH					
Adams	11,297	24,731	36,028	23,293	12,735
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	4,246	17,890	22,136	14,589	7,547
Arapahoe (Aurora)	6,478	14,884	21,362	14,096	7,266
Douglas	2,350	6,321	8,671	6,727	1,944
Elbert	256	820	1,076	697	379
Lincoln	261	1,081	1,342	1,033	309
TOTAL	13,591	40,996	54,587	37,142	17,445
19TH					
Weld	7,005	14,826	21,831	15,343	6,488
20TH					
Boulder[a]	15,076	21,460	36,536	17,371	19,165
21ST					
Mesa	5,456	10,623	16,079	9,791	6,288
22ND					
Dolores	27	118	145	96	49
Montezuma	627	1,965	2,592	1,773	819
TOTAL	654	2,083	2,737	1,869	868
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	109,908	280,612	390,520	264,871	125,649
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	130,241	323,819	454,060	298,528	155,532

[a] Includes Longmont court cases.

TABLE 47. CIVIL CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST					
Gilpin	15	24	39	22	17
Jefferson	524	7,187	7,711	7,116	595
TOTAL	539	7,211	7,750	7,138	612
2ND					
Denver	14,616	26,555	41,171	20,956	20,215
3RD					
Huerfano	103	137	240	122	118
Las Animas	271	599	870	573	297
TOTAL	374	736	1,110	695	415
4TH					
El Paso	1,341	8,126	9,467	7,329	2,138
Teller	13	68	81	59	22
TOTAL	1,354	8,194	9,548	7,388	2,160
5TH					
Clear Creek	44	80	124	69	55
Eagle (Eagle)	129	377	506	413	93
Eagle (Basalt)	47	33	80	68	12
Lake	82	233	315	254	61
Summit	75	248	323	232	91
TOTAL	377	971	1,348	1,036	312
6TH					
Archuleta	141	196	337	169	168
La Plata	307	513	820	652	168
San Juan	3	20	23	11	12
TOTAL	451	729	1,180	832	348
7TH					
Delta	104	321	425	250	175
Gunnison	95	367	462	259	203
Hinsdale	1	7	8	4	4
Montrose (Montrose)	67	423	490	389	101
Montrose (Nucla)	16	29	45	40	5
Ouray	10	26	36	28	8
San Miguel	25	46	71	39	32
TOTAL	318	1,219	1,537	1,009	528
8TH					
Jackson	2	7	9	8	1
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	304	2,201	2,505	2,021	484
Larimer (Estes Park)	13	47	60	48	12
Larimer (Loveland)	170	785	955	648	307
TOTAL	489	3,040	3,529	2,725	804
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	132	453	585	426	159
Garfield (Rifle)	8	79	87	63	24
Pitkin	159	250	409	266	143
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	27	26	53	23	30
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	18	36	54	47	7
TOTAL	344	844	1,188	825	363
10TH					
Pueblo	332	5,106	5,438	4,916	522
11TH					
Chaffee	11	130	141	98	43
Custer	2	5	7	3	4
Fremont	97	315	412	319	93
Park	29	74	103	39	64
TOTAL	139	524	663	459	204

TABLE 47. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH					
Alamosa	18	185	203	153	50
Conejos	16	46	62	50	12
Costilla	5	6	11	6	5
Mineral	2	3	5	4	1
Rio Grande	61	133	194	132	62
Saguache	15	42	57	52	5
TOTAL	**117**	**415**	**532**	**397**	**135**
13TH					
Kit Carson	29	130	159	116	43
Logan	83	404	487	373	114
Morgan	108	790	898	567	331
Phillips	6	60	66	49	17
Sedgwick	4	29	33	28	5
Washington	24	76	100	76	24
Yuma	47	166	213	154	59
TOTAL	**301**	**1,655**	**1,956**	**1,363**	**593**
14TH					
Grand	32	71	103	72	31
Moffat (Craig)	219	400	619	475	144
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	4	4	4	0
Routt	137	245	382	207	175
TOTAL	**388**	**720**	**1,108**	**758**	**350**
15TH					
Baca	12	59	71	44	27
Cheyenne	11	27	38	28	10
Kiowa	7	10	17	15	2
Prowers	99	355	454	279	175
TOTAL	**129**	**451**	**580**	**366**	**214**
16TH					
Bent	16	53	69	52	17
Crowley	0	32	32	27	5
Otero	281	593	874	692	182
TOTAL	**297**	**678**	**975**	**771**	**204**
17TH					
Adams	**3,692**	**8,247**	**11,939**	**6,865**	**5,074**
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	475	2,236	2,711	1,887	824
Arapahoe (Aurora)	1,445	6,471	7,916	6,140	1,776
Douglas	22	172	194	121	73
Elbert	33	83	116	51	65
Lincoln	12	70	82	50	32
TOTAL	**1,987**	**9,032**	**11,019**	**8,249**	**2,770**
19TH					
Weld	**1,246**	**2,160**	**3,406**	**2,410**	**996**
20TH					
Boulder[a]	**1,912**	**4,802**	**6,714**	**3,508**	**3,206**
21ST					
Mesa	**1,687**	**2,715**	**4,402**	**2,348**	**2,054**
22ND					
Dolores	1	0	1	0	1
Montezuma	106	180	286	140	146
TOTAL	**107**	**180**	**287**	**140**	**147**
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	**16,580**	**59,629**	**76,209**	**54,198**	**22,011**
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	**31,196**	**86,184**	**117,380**	**75,154**	**42,226**

[a] Includes Longmont court cases.

TABLE 48. SMALL CLAIMS CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST					
Gilpin	3	16	19	18	1
Jefferson	524	1,951	2,475	2,113	362
TOTAL	**527**	**1,967**	**2,494**	**2,131**	**363**
2ND					
Denver	**3,062**	**3,233**	**6,295**	**1,567**	**4,728**
3RD					
Huerfano	33	37	70	43	27
Las Animas	39	90	129	87	42
TOTAL	**72**	**127**	**199**	**130**	**69**
4TH					
El Paso	548	1,620	2,168	1,645	523
Teller	7	64	71	60	11
TOTAL	**555**	**1,684**	**2,239**	**1,705**	**534**
5TH					
Clear Creek	16	51	67	55	12
Eagle (Eagle)	11	216	227	161	66
Eagle (Basalt)	26	29	55	43	12
Lake	13	82	95	83	12
Summit	54	169	223	156	67
TOTAL	**120**	**547**	**667**	**498**	**169**
6TH					
Archuleta	4	3	7	3	4
La Plata	126	287	413	337	76
San Juan	6	21	27	18	9
TOTAL	**136**	**311**	**447**	**358**	**89**
7TH					
Delta	37	257	294	208	86
Gunnison	42	112	154	82	72
Hinsdale	1	1	2	1	1
Montrose (Montrose)	20	158	178	156	22
Montrose (Nucla)	34	8	42	40	2
Ouray	2	21	23	20	3
San Miguel	28	60	88	63	25
TOTAL	**164**	**617**	**781**	**570**	**211**
8TH					
Jackson	12	18	30	16	14
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	273	780	1,053	787	266
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	**285**	**798**	**1,083**	**803**	**280**
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	60	162	222	184	38
Garfield (Rifle)	17	74	91	77	14
Pitkin	201	246	447	316	131
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	10	45	55	34	21
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	7	28	35	30	5
TOTAL	**295**	**555**	**850**	**641**	**209**
10TH					
Pueblo	**182**	**575**	**757**	**650**	**107**
11TH					
Chaffee	13	78	91	81	10
Custer	1	22	23	20	3
Fremont	106	129	235	123	112
Park	3	51	54	42	12
TOTAL	**123**	**280**	**403**	**266**	**137**

TABLE 48. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH					
Alamosa	10	83	93	80	13
Conejos	23	56	79	67	12
Costilla	9	9	18	11	7
Mineral	0	3	3	2	1
Rio Grande	6	47	53	43	10
Saguache	5	50	55	50	5
TOTAL	**53**	**248**	**301**	**253**	**48**
13TH					
Kit Carson	1	21	22	16	6
Logan	30	107	137	114	23
Morgan	54	93	147	90	57
Phillips	10	44	54	42	12
Sedgwick	4	26	30	25	5
Washington	7	28	35	30	5
Yuma	6	44	50	36	14
TOTAL	**112**	**363**	**475**	**353**	**122**
14TH					
Grand	13	71	84	78	6
Moffat (Craig)	34	102	136	128	8
Moffat (Dinosaur)	2	8	10	10	0
Routt	66	172	238	162	76
TOTAL	**115**	**353**	**468**	**378**	**90**
15TH					
Baca	12	39	51	39	12
Cheyenne	4	6	10	10	0
Kiowa	1	5	6	5	1
Prowers	23	72	95	42	53
TOTAL	**40**	**122**	**162**	**96**	**66**
16TH					
Bent	5	41	46	34	12
Crowley	2	15	17	14	3
Otero	43	117	160	124	36
TOTAL	**50**	**173**	**223**	**172**	**51**
17TH					
Adams	**811**	**1,168**	**1,979**	**998**	**981**
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	282	1,085	1,367	1,052	315
Arapahoe (Aurora)	270	598	868	516	352
Douglas	18	138	156	113	43
Elbert	14	56	70	43	27
Lincoln	1	14	15	12	3
TOTAL	**585**	**1,891**	**2,476**	**1,736**	**740**
19TH					
Weld	**127**	**457**	**584**	**463**	**121**
20TH					
Boulder[a]	**656**	**1,327**	**1,983**	**843**	**1,140**
21ST					
Mesa	**407**	**487**	**894**	**492**	**402**
22ND					
Dolores	0	10	10	8	2
Montezuma	35	81	116	65	51
TOTAL	**35**	**91**	**126**	**73**	**53**
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	**5,450**	**14,141**	**19,591**	**13,609**	**5,982**
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	**8,512**	**17,374**	**25,886**	**15,176**	**10,710**

[a] Includes Longmont court cases.

TABLE 49. TRAFFIC CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST					
Gilpin	100	584	684	539	145
Jefferson	5,148	18,736	23,884	17,992	5,892
TOTAL	**5,248**	**19,320**	**24,568**	**18,531**	**6,037**
2ND					
Denver	**0**	**9,877**	**9,877**	**6,172**	**3,705**
3RD					
Huerfano	783	1,240	2,023	1,100	923
Las Animas	614	1,717	2,331	1,455	876
TOTAL	**1,397**	**2,957**	**4,354**	**2,555**	**1,799**
4TH					
El Paso	6,230	18,092	24,322	15,964	8,358
Teller	177	764	941	704	237
TOTAL	**6,407**	**18,856**	**25,263**	**16,668**	**8,595**
5TH					
Clear Creek	674	2,214	2,888	2,263	625
Eagle (Eagle)	1,183	2,452	3,635	2,915	720
Eagle (Basalt)	183	242	425	350	75
Lake	168	561	729	596	133
Summit	827	2,160	2,987	2,174	813
TOTAL	**3,035**	**7,629**	**10,664**	**8,298**	**2,366**
6TH					
Archuleta	177	378	555	365	190
La Plata	907	1,914	2,821	2,403	418
San Juan	39	116	155	82	73
TOTAL	**1,123**	**2,408**	**3,531**	**2,850**	**681**
7TH					
Delta	289	1,592	1,881	1,526	355
Gunnison	486	1,099	1,585	1,001	584
Hinsdale	2	22	24	18	6
Montrose (Montrose)	382	1,524	1,906	1,466	440
Montrose (Nucla)	251	180	431	302	129
Ouray	157	228	385	303	82
San Miguel	383	257	640	568	72
TOTAL	**1,950**	**4,902**	**6,852**	**5,184**	**1,668**
8TH					
Jackson	132	170	302	141	161
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	2,645	7,059	9,704	6,509	3,195
Larimer (Estes Park)	640	807	1,447	836	611
Larimer (Loveland)	1,467	3,375	4,842	3,111	1,731
TOTAL	**4,884**	**11,411**	**16,295**	**10,597**	**5,698**
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	395	1,728	2,123	1,484	639
Garfield (Rifle)	535	2,113	2,648	1,895	753
Pitkin	819	796	1,615	880	735
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	134	700	834	630	204
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	95	515	610	498	112
TOTAL	**1,978**	**5,852**	**7,830**	**5,387**	**2,443**
10TH					
Pueblo	**2,181**	**6,381**	**8,562**	**6,158**	**2,404**
11TH					
Chaffee	655	1,307	2,162	1,702	460
Custer	8	40	48	41	7
Fremont	774	1,671	2,445	1,894	551
Park	362	528	890	648	242
TOTAL	**1,799**	**3,746**	**5,545**	**4,285**	**1,260**

TABLE 49. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH					
Alamosa	271	1,127	1,398	1,061	337
Conejos	175	253	428	342	86
Costilla	73	204	277	241	36
Mineral	55	116	171	103	68
Rio Grande	233	819	1,052	791	261
Saguache	74	362	436	365	71
TOTAL	**881**	**2,881**	**3,762**	**2,903**	**859**
13TH					
Kit Carson	249	831	1,080	821	259
Logan	916	2,898	3,814	2,765	1,049
Morgan	954	1,843	2,797	2,133	664
Phillips	71	265	336	277	59
Sedgwick	183	403	586	389	197
Washington	151	308	459	340	119
Yuma	214	542	756	555	201
TOTAL	**2,738**	**7,090**	**9,828**	**7,280**	**2,548**
14TH					
Grand	606	1,222	1,828	1,530	298
Moffat (Craig)	920	1,454	2,374	1,464	910
Moffat (Dinosaur)	136	97	233	188	45
Routt	641	1,939	2,580	1,759	821
TOTAL	**2,303**	**4,712**	**7,015**	**4,941**	**2,074**
15TH					
Baca	77	235	312	230	82
Cheyenne	84	332	416	282	134
Kiowa	151	316	467	280	187
Prowers	305	884	1,189	1,127	62
TOTAL	**617**	**1,767**	**2,384**	**1,919**	**465**
16TH					
Bent	245	470	715	532	183
Crowley	60	181	241	223	18
Otero	642	1,697	2,339	1,852	487
TOTAL	**947**	**2,348**	**3,295**	**2,607**	**688**
17TH					
Adams	**6,216**	**13,861**	**20,077**	**14,161**	**5,916**
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	2,652	12,697	15,349	10,343	5,006
Arapahoe (Aurora)	3,891	6,976	10,867	6,764	4,103
Douglas	2,179	5,593	7,772	6,153	1,619
Elbert	194	640	834	570	264
Lincoln	233	941	1,174	909	265
TOTAL	**9,149**	**26,847**	**35,996**	**24,739**	**11,257**
19TH					
Weld	**4,306**	**10,316**	**14,622**	**10,397**	**4,225**
20TH					
Boulder	**9,981**	**11,390**	**21,371**	**10,355**	**11,016**
21ST					
Mesa	**2,374**	**5,754**	**8,128**	**5,557**	**2,571**
22ND					
Dolores	21	101	122	84	38
Montezuma	413	1,467	1,880	1,355	525
TOTAL	**434**	**1,568**	**2,002**	**1,439**	**563**
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	**69,948**	**171,996**	**241,944**	**166,811**	**75,133**
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	**69,948**	**181,873**	**251,821**	**172,983**	**78,838**

TABLE 50. MISDEMEANOR CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
1ST					
Gilpin	36	202	238	167	71
Jefferson	1,374	2,874	4,248	2,873	1,375
TOTAL	**1,410**	**3,076**	**4,486**	**3,040**	**1,446**
2ND					
Denver	**2,655**	**3,542**	**6,197**	**4,962**	**1,235**
3RD					
Huerfano	73	83	156	87	69
Las Animas	190	338	528	312	216
TOTAL	**263**	**421**	**684**	**399**	**285**
4TH					
El Paso	2,872	7,284	10,156	6,041	4,115
Teller	44	113	157	106	51
TOTAL	**2,916**	**7,397**	**10,313**	**6,147**	**4,166**
5TH					
Clear Creek	82	219	301	184	117
Eagle (Eagle)	160	271	431	339	92
Eagle (Basalt)	39	46	85	63	22
Lake	49	153	202	178	24
Summit	126	249	375	257	118
TOTAL	**456**	**938**	**1,394**	**1,021**	**373**
6TH					
Archuleta	31	62	93	64	29
La Plata	147	193	340	288	52
San Juan	5	12	17	8	9
TOTAL	**183**	**267**	**450**	**360**	**90**
7TH					
Delta	44	131	175	155	20
Gunnison	163	217	380	193	187
Hinsdale	6	6	12	11	1
Montrose (Montrose)	35	162	197	165	32
Montrose (Nucla)	40	23	63	42	21
Ouray	13	31	44	34	10
San Miguel	64	41	105	70	35
TOTAL	**365**	**611**	**976**	**670**	**306**
8TH					
Jackson	75	77	152	54	98
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	1,295	2,586	3,881	2,517	1,364
Larimer (Estes Park)	78	114	192	105	87
Larimer (Loveland)	393	544	937	523	414
TOTAL	**1,841**	**3,321**	**5,162**	**3,199**	**1,963**
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	89	158	247	161	86
Garfield (Rifle)	56	255	311	218	93
Pitkin	139	173	312	133	179
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	91	117	208	163	45
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	13	75	88	65	23
TOTAL	**388**	**778**	**1,166**	**740**	**426**
10TH					
Pueblo	**1,024**	**1,867**	**2,891**	**1,028**	**1,863**
11TH					
Chaffee	74	241	315	181	134
Custer	4	16	20	14	6
Fremont	210	359	569	376	193
Park	92	192	284	184	100
TOTAL	**380**	**808**	**1,188**	**755**	**433**

TABLE 50. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/81	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/82
12TH					
Alamosa	99	227	326	247	79
Conejos	27	61	88	61	27
Costilla	26	90	116	101	15
Mineral	2	20	22	16	6
Rio Grande	53	77	130	98	32
Saguache	28	121	149	132	17
TOTAL	**235**	**596**	**831**	**655**	**176**
13TH					
Kit Carson	37	54	91	68	23
Logan	196	279	475	253	222
Morgan	192	349	541	375	166
Phillips	11	33	44	32	12
Sedgwick	11	29	40	27	13
Washington	10	33	43	30	13
Yuma	16	57	73	49	24
TOTAL	**473**	**834**	**1,307**	**834**	**473**
14TH					
Grand	168	359	527	419	108
Moffat (Craig)	49	200	249	149	100
Moffat (Dinosaur)	6	11	17	11	6
Routt	208	372	580	263	317
TOTAL	**431**	**942**	**1,373**	**842**	**531**
15TH					
Baca	22	44	66	47	19
Cheyenne	7	28	35	31	4
Kiowa	9	8	17	11	6
Prowers	54	56	110	34	76
TOTAL	**92**	**136**	**228**	**123**	**105**
16TH					
Bent	36	23	59	46	13
Crowley	5	18	23	21	2
Otero	65	387	452	337	115
TOTAL	**106**	**428**	**534**	**404**	**130**
17TH					
Adams	**578**	**1,455**	**2,033**	**1,269**	**764**
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	837	1,872	2,709	1,307	1,402
Arapahoe (Aurora)	872	839	1,711	676	1,035
Douglas	131	418	549	340	209
Elbert	15	41	56	33	23
Lincoln	15	56	71	62	9
TOTAL	**1,870**	**3,226**	**5,096**	**2,418**	**2,678**
19TH					
Weld	**1,326**	**1,893**	**3,219**	**2,073**	**1,146**
20TH					
Boulder	**2,527**	**3,941**	**6,468**	**2,665**	**3,803**
21ST					
Mesa	**988**	**1,667**	**2,655**	**1,394**	**1,261**
22ND					
Dolores	5	7	12	4	8
Montezuma	73	237	310	213	97
TOTAL	**78**	**244**	**322**	**217**	**105**
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	**17,930**	**34,846**	**52,776**	**30,253**	**22,523**
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	**20,585**	**38,388**	**58,973**	**35,215**	**23,758**

TABLE 51. FELONY PRELIMINARIES IN THE COUNTY COURT BY TYPE - FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FELONY COMPLAINTS LODGED	PRELIMINARY HEARINGS
1ST		
Gilpin	41	3
Jefferson	1,828	390
TOTAL	**1,869**	**393**
2ND		
Denver	**2,790**	**1,702**
3RD		
Huerfano	0	0
Las Animas	118	55
TOTAL	**118**	**55**
4TH		
El Paso	0	0
Teller	13	0
TOTAL	**13**	**0**
5TH		
Clear Creek	117	8
Eagle (Eagle)	294	27
Eagle (Basalt)	8	0
Lake	67	14
Summit	194	39
TOTAL	**680**	**88**
6TH		
Archuleta	8	0
La Plata	222	68
San Juan	1	0
TOTAL	**231**	**68**
7TH		
Delta	138	59
Gunnison	76	15
Hinsdale	1	1
Montrose (Montrose)	154	29
Montrose (Nucla)	10	1
Ouray	14	9
San Miguel	17	5
TOTAL	**410**	**119**
8TH		
Jackson	11	3
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	0	0
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0
TOTAL	**11**	**3**
9TH		
Garfield (Glenwood)	0	0
Garfield (Rifle)	1	1
Pitkin	0	0
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	14	0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	10	2
TOTAL	**25**	**3**
10TH		
Pueblo	**704**	**229**
11TH		
Chaffee	5	0
Custer	0	0
Fremont	0	0
Park	32	12
TOTAL	**37**	**12**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 51. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FELONY COMPLAINTS LODGED	PRELIMINARY HEARINGS
12TH		
Alamosa	111	35
Conejos	31	2
Costilla	39	11
Mineral	1	0
Rio Grande	69	24
Saguache	42	6
TOTAL	**293**	**78**
13TH		
Kit Carson	23	0
Logan	1	0
Morgan	0	0
Phillips	12	7
Sedgwick	5	3
Washington	0	0
Yuma	6	3
TOTAL	**47**	**13**
14TH		
Grand	144	13
Moffat (Moffat)	118	51
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	0
Routt	131	24
TOTAL	**393**	**88**
15TH		
Baca	35	14
Cheyenne	9	5
Kiowa	2	1
Prowers	71	31
TOTAL	**117**	**51**
16TH		
Bent	0	0
Crowley	0	0
Otero	0	0
TOTAL	**0**	**0**
17TH		
Adams	**1,625**	**345**
18TH		
Arapahoe (Littleton)	26	0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	33	0
Douglas	1	0
Elbert	24	9
Lincoln	19	7
TOTAL	**103**	**16**
19TH		
Weld	**0**	**0**
20TH		
Boulder	**266**	**140**
21ST		
Mesa	**893**	**94**
22ND		
Dolores	3	1
Montezuma	97	32
TOTAL	**100**	**33**
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	**7,935**	**1,828**
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	**10,725**	**3,530**

* See Page 169 For Footnotes.

TABLE 52. COURT TRIALS AND JURY TRIALS IN THE COUNTY COURT BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY, FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	CIVIL		SMALL	TRAFFIC		MISDEMEANOR		TOTAL	
	COURT	JURY	CLAIMS	COURT	JURY	COURT	JURY	COURT	JURY
1ST									
Gilpin	3	0	4	5	1	1	1	13	2
Jefferson	257	0	844	64	46	28	22	1,193	68
TOTAL	260	0	848	69	47	29	23	1,206	70
2ND									
Denver	479	10	828	30	100	11	15	1,348	125
3RD									
Huerfano	17	0	11	102	5	14	0	144	5
Las Animas	18	0	24	14	2	4	1	60	3
TOTAL	35	0	35	116	7	18	1	204	8
4TH									
El Paso	356	9	584	194	43	186	41	1,320	93
Teller	12	1	18	19	1	3	0	52	2
TOTAL	368	10	602	213	44	189	41	1,372	95
5TH									
Clear Creek	7	0	17	1	2	1	1	26	3
Eagle (Eagle)	15	0	34	80	0	4	0	133	0
Eagle (Basalt)	10	0	2	53	0	5	0	70	0
Lake	15	0	23	58	3	13	1	109	4
Summit	16	0	64	57	10	4	3	141	13
TOTAL	63	0	140	249	15	27	5	479	20
6TH									
Archuleta	19	0	1	27	0	7	0	54	0
La Plata	26	1	63	59	11	5	5	153	17
San Juan	7	0	11	35	0	4	1	57	1
TOTAL	52	1	75	121	11	16	6	264	18
7TH									
Delta	44	0	109	110	9	14	2	277	11
Gunnison	4	1	10	2	1	9	0	25	2
Hinsdale	2	0	1	14	0	11	0	28	0
Montrose (Montrose)	36	0	34	158	9	8	4	236	13
Montrose (Nucla)	2	0	0	7	1	0	0	9	1
Ouray	3	0	3	57	1	4	1	67	2
San Miguel	4	0	6	20	1	2	2	32	3
TOTAL	95	1	163	368	22	48	9	674	32
8TH									
Jackson	0	0	0	22	1	11	0	33	1
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	75	4	220	60	16	7	4	362	24
Larimer (Estes Park)	7	0	0	30	1	3	1	40	2
Larimer (Loveland)	41	0	0	78	4	20	1	139	5
TOTAL	123	4	220	190	22	41	6	574	32
9TH									
Garfield (Glenwood)	17	1	26	28	8	4	0	75	9
Garfield (Rifle)	16	1	22	26	3	0	2	64	6
Pitkin	94	0	127	21	2	1	0	243	2
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	3	1	5	8	2	4	1	20	4
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	18	0	9	353	4	65	0	445	4
TOTAL	148	3	189	436	19	74	3	847	25
10TH									
Pueblo	107	2	122	56	12	25	42	310	56
11TH									
Chaffee	16	0	77	185	4	40	0	318	4
Custer	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	6	0
Fremont	18	1	21	93	5	27	4	159	10
Park	20	0	22	113	6	27	4	182	10
TOTAL	54	1	123	393	15	95	8	665	24

TABLE 52. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	CIVIL COURT	CIVIL JURY	SMALL CLAIMS	TRAFFIC COURT	TRAFFIC JURY	MISDEMEANOR COURT	MISDEMEANOR JURY	TOTAL COURT	TOTAL JURY
12TH									
Alamosa	15	0	18	47	0	5	0	85	0
Conejos	6	0	14	7	1	0	0	27	1
Costilla	4	0	6	22	0	3	0	35	0
Mineral	0	0	1	7	0	3	0	11	0
Rio Grande	3	0	8	17	4	0	1	28	5
Saguache	8	0	17	8	0	11	1	44	1
TOTAL	36	0	64	108	5	22	2	230	7
13TH									
Kit Carson	2	0	1	39	1	5	1	47	2
Logan	35	1	22	100	4	20	1	177	6
Morgan	7	2	16	37	10	15	3	75	15
Phillips	9	0	17	47	0	5	0	78	0
Sedgwick	18	0	9	85	0	22	0	134	0
Washington	6	0	5	60	0	10	0	81	0
Yuma	89	0	32	166	4	29	0	316	4
TOTAL	166	3	102	534	19	106	5	908	27
14TH									
Grand	16	0	41	39	14	9	1	105	15
Moffat (Craig)	93	0	71	127	20	3	0	294	20
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	0	1	17	0	5	0	23	0
Routt	30	1	64	22	12	5	2	121	15
TOTAL	139	1	177	205	46	22	3	543	50
15TH									
Baca	0	0	5	3	3	0	0	8	3
Cheyenne	10	0	7	46	2	11	1	74	3
Kiowa	4	0	3	48	0	1	0	56	0
Prowers	24	0	22	79	7	8	0	133	7
TOTAL	38	0	37	176	12	20	1	271	13
16TH									
Bent	2	0	1	29	0	3	1	35	1
Crowley	0	0	2	27	0	2	0	31	0
Otero	18	1	33	32	2	1	0	84	3
TOTAL	20	1	36	88	2	6	1	150	4
17TH									
Adams	207	6	471	111	81	25	23	814	110
18TH									
Arapahoe (Littleton)	67	1	252	28	48	5	4	352	53
Arapahoe (Aurora)	75	1	92	22	32	3	0	192	33
Douglas	23	0	44	93	29	7	6	167	35
Elbert	9	0	5	15	6	2	1	31	7
Lincoln	6	0	3	19	2	2	0	30	2
TOTAL	180	2	396	177	117	19	11	772	130
19TH									
Weld	82	1	183	193	32	33	12	491	45
20TH									
Boulder[a]	277	8	488	793	96	178	24	1,736	128
21ST									
Mesa	81	0	93	193	20	50	9	417	29
22ND									
Dolores	0	0	7	72	0	4	0	83	0
Montezuma	9	0	12	43	9	10	0	74	9
TOTAL	9	0	19	115	9	14	0	157	9
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	2,540	44	4,583	4,904	653	1,057	235	13,084	932
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	3,019	54	5,411	5,934	753	1,068	250	14,432	1,057

[a] Includes Longmont court cases.

TABLE 53. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY COURT CIVIL CASE FILINGS, BY MONEY AMOUNT, FY 1981-82[a]

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	UNDER $1,000		$1,000 TO $5,000		NON-MONEY CASES		TOTAL	
	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%
1ST								
Gilpin	8	33.3	4	16.7	12	50.0	24	100.0
Jefferson	5,958	82.9	1,229	17.1	0	0.0	7,187	100.0
TOTAL	**5,966**	**82.8**	**1,233**	**17.0**	**12**	**.2**	**7,211**	**100.0**
2ND								
Denver	**20,929**	**78.8**	**4,795**	**18.1**	**831**	**3.1**	**26,555**	**100.0**
3RD								
Huerfano	108	78.8	28	20.4	1	.7	137	100.0
Las Animas	486	81.1	68	11.4	45	7.5	599	100.0
TOTAL	**594**	**80.7**	**96**	**13.0**	**46**	**6.3**	**736**	**100.0**
4TH								
El Paso	6,047	74.4	1,690	20.8	389	4.8	8,126	100.0
Teller	17	25.0	28	41.2	23	33.8	68	100.0
TOTAL	**6,064**	**74.0**	**1,718**	**21.0**	**412**	**5.0**	**8,194**	**100.0**
5TH								
Clear Creek	58	72.5	12	15.0	10	12.5	80	100.0
Eagle (Eagle)	86	22.8	95	25.2	196	52.0	377	100.0
Eagle (Basalt)	13	39.4	5	15.1	15	45.5	33	100.0
Lake	191	82.0	33	14.2	9	3.9	233	100.0
Summit	113	45.6	80	32.2	55	22.2	248	100.0
TOTAL	**461**	**47.5**	**225**	**23.2**	**285**	**29.3**	**971**	**100.0**
6TH								
Archuleta	155	79.1	34	17.3	7	3.6	196	100.0
La Plata	351	68.4	87	17.0	75	14.6	513	100.0
San Juan	13	65.0	5	25.0	2	10.0	20	100.0
TOTAL	**519**	**71.2**	**126**	**17.3**	**84**	**11.5**	**729**	**100.0**
7TH								
Delta	159	49.5	98	30.5	64	19.9	321	100.0
Gunnison	264	71.9	79	21.5	24	6.5	367	100.0
Hinsdale	4	57.1	1	14.3	2	28.6	7	100.0
Montrose (Montrose)	205	48.5	141	33.3	77	18.2	423	100.0
Montrose (Nucla)	18	62.1	6	20.7	5	17.2	29	100.0
Ouray	9	34.6	11	42.3	6	23.1	26	100.0
San Miguel	22	47.8	15	32.6	9	19.6	46	100.0
TOTAL	**681**	**55.9**	**351**	**28.8**	**187**	**15.3**	**1,219**	**100.0**
8TH								
Jackson	3	42.9	4	57.1	0	0.0	7	100.0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	1,450	65.9	586	26.6	165	7.5	2,201	100.0
Larimer (Estes Park)	28	59.6	7	14.9	12	25.5	47	100.0
Larimer (Loveland)	564	71.8	193	24.6	28	3.6	785	100.0
TOTAL	**2,045**	**67.3**	**790**	**26.0**	**205**	**6.7**	**3,040**	**100.0**
9TH								
Garfield (Glenwood)	292	64.5	111	24.5	50	11.0	453	100.0
Garfield (Rifle)	20	25.3	22	27.8	37	46.8	79	100.0
Pitkin	80	32.0	96	38.3	74	29.7	250	100.0
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	14	53.8	7	26.9	5	19.2	26	100.0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	28	77.8	3	8.3	5	13.9	36	100.0
TOTAL	**434**	**51.4**	**239**	**28.3**	**171**	**20.3**	**844**	**100.0**
10TH								
Pueblo	**4,218**	**82.6**	**730**	**14.3**	**158**	**3.1**	**5,106**	**100.0**
11TH								
Chaffee	85	65.4	31	23.8	14	10.8	130	100.0
Custer	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	5	100.0
Fremont	205	65.1	62	19.7	48	15.2	315	100.0
Park	30	40.5	19	25.7	25	33.8	74	100.0
TOTAL	**322**	**61.4**	**114**	**21.8**	**88**	**16.8**	**524**	**100.0**

[a] Small claims cases not included.

TABLE 53. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	UNDER $1,000 NO.	%	$1,000 TO $5,000 NO.	%	NON-MONEY CASES NO.	%	TOTAL NO.	%
12TH								
Alamosa	82	44.3	49	26.5	54	29.2	185	100.0
Conejos	30	65.2	10	21.7	6	13.0	46	100.0
Costilla	0	0.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0
Mineral	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3	3	100.0
Rio Grande	79	59.4	42	31.6	12	9.0	133	100.0
Saguache	30	71.4	5	11.9	7	16.7	42	100.0
TOTAL	**222**	**53.5**	**110**	**26.5**	**83**	**20.0**	**415**	**100.0**
13TH								
Kit Carson	93	71.5	33	25.4	4	3.1	130	100.0
Logan	266	65.8	120	29.7	18	4.5	404	100.0
Morgan	574	72.7	192	24.3	24	3.0	790	100.0
Phillips	51	85.0	8	13.3	1	1.7	60	100.0
Sedgwick	19	65.5	10	34.5	0	0.0	29	100.0
Washington	50	65.8	24	31.6	2	2.6	76	100.0
Yuma	135	81.3	27	16.3	4	2.4	166	100.0
TOTAL	**1,188**	**71.8**	**414**	**25.0**	**53**	**3.2**	**1,655**	**100.0**
14TH								
Grand	32	45.1	21	29.6	18	25.3	71	100.0
Moffat (Craig)	262	65.5	105	26.2	33	8.3	400	100.0
Moffat (Dinosaur)	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	4	100.0
Routt	145	59.2	74	30.2	26	10.6	245	100.0
TOTAL	**441**	**61.2**	**200**	**27.8**	**79**	**11.0**	**720**	**100.0**
15TH								
Baca	37	62.7	22	37.3	0	0.0	59	100.0
Cheyenne	22	81.5	4	14.8	1	3.7	27	100.0
Kiowa	5	50.0	4	40.0	1	10.0	10	100.0
Prowers	276	77.8	64	18.0	15	4.2	355	100.0
TOTAL	**340**	**75.4**	**94**	**20.8**	**17**	**3.8**	**451**	**100.0**
16TH								
Bent	31	58.5	14	26.4	8	15.1	53	100.0
Crowley	26	81.2	6	18.8	0	0.0	32	100.0
Otero	451	76.1	118	19.9	24	4.0	593	100.0
TOTAL	**508**	**74.9**	**138**	**20.4**	**32**	**4.7**	**678**	**100.0**
17TH								
Adams	7,472	90.6	569	6.9	206	2.5	8,247	100.0
18TH								
Arapahoe (Littleton)	570	25.5	629	28.1	1,037	46.4	2,236	100.0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	3,700	57.2	897	13.9	1,874	29.0	6,471	100.0
Douglas	68	39.5	71	41.3	33	19.2	172	100.0
Elbert	32	38.6	27	32.5	24	28.9	83	100.0
Lincoln	53	75.7	13	18.6	4	5.7	70	100.0
TOTAL	**4,423**	**49.0**	**1,637**	**18.1**	**2,972**	**32.9**	**9,032**	**100.0**
19TH								
Weld	449	20.8	1,609	74.5	102	4.7	2,160	100.0
20TH								
Boulder[b]	2,159	45.0	1,419	29.5	1,224	25.5	4,802	100.0
21ST								
Mesa	1,757	64.7	644	23.7	314	11.6	2,715	100.0
22ND								
Dolores	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Montezuma	92	51.1	71	39.4	17	9.4	180	100.0
TOTAL	**92**	**51.1**	**71**	**39.4**	**17**	**9.4**	**180**	**100.0**
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	**40,355**	**67.7**	**12,527**	**21.0**	**6,747**	**11.3**	**59,629**	**100.0**
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	**61,284**	**71.1**	**17,322**	**20.1**	**7,578**	**8.8**	**86,184**	**100.0**

[b] Includes Longmont court cases.

TABLE 54. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY COURT SMALL CLAIMS FILINGS, BY MONEY AMOUNT, FY 1981-82

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	UNDER $500		$500-$1,000		TOTAL	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1ST						
Gilpin	11	68.8	5	31.2	16	100.0
Jefferson	1,205	61.8	746	38.2	1,951	100.0
TOTAL	**1,216**	**61.8**	**751**	**38.2**	**1,967**	**100.0**
2ND						
Denver	**2,122**	**65.6**	**1,111**	**34.4**	**3,233**	**100.0**
3RD						
Huerfano	25	67.6	12	32.4	37	100.0
Las Animas	67	74.4	23	25.6	90	100.0
TOTAL	**92**	**72.4**	**35**	**27.6**	**127**	**100.0**
4TH						
El Paso	1,025	63.3	595	36.7	1,620	100.0
Teller	38	59.4	26	40.6	64	100.0
TOTAL	**1,063**	**63.1**	**621**	**36.9**	**1,684**	**100.0**
5TH						
Clear Creek	42	82.4	9	17.6	51	100.0
Eagle (Eagle)	127	58.8	89	41.2	216	100.0
Eagle (Basalt)	21	72.4	8	27.6	29	100.0
Lake	52	63.4	30	36.6	82	100.0
Summit	94	55.6	75	44.4	169	100.0
TOTAL	**336**	**61.4**	**211**	**38.6**	**547**	**100.0**
6TH						
Archuleta	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
La Plata	217	75.6	70	24.4	287	100.0
San Juan	10	47.6	11	52.4	21	100.0
TOTAL	**230**	**74.0**	**81**	**26.0**	**311**	**100.0**
7TH						
Delta	210	81.7	47	18.3	257	100.0
Gunnison	62	55.4	50	44.6	112	100.0
Hinsdale	1	1.00	0	0.0	1	100.0
Montrose (Montrose)	112	70.9	46	29.1	158	100.0
Montrose (Nucla)	5	62.5	3	37.5	8	100.0
Ouray	17	81.0	4	19.0	21	100.0
San Miguel	50	83.3	10	16.7	60	100.0
TOTAL	**457**	**74.1**	**160**	**25.9**	**617**	**100.0**
8TH						
Jackson	15	83.3	3	16.7	18	100.0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	521	66.8	259	33.2	780	100.0
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	**536**	**67.2**	**262**	**32.8**	**798**	**100.0**
9TH						
Garfield (Glenwood)	113	69.8	49	30.2	162	100.0
Garfield (Rifle)	44	59.5	30	40.5	74	100.0
Pitkin	165	67.1	81	32.9	246	100.0
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	25	62.5	20	44.5	45	100.0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	14	50.0	14	50.0	28	100.0
TOTAL	**361**	**65.1**	**194**	**34.9**	**555**	**100.0**
10TH						
Pueblo	**454**	**79.0**	**121**	**21.0**	**575**	**100.0**
11TH						
Chaffee	51	65.4	27	34.6	78	100.0
Custer	16	72.7	6	27.3	22	100.0
Fremont	95	73.6	34	26.4	129	100.0
Park	32	62.8	19	37.2	51	100.0
TOTAL	**194**	**69.3**	**86**	**30.7**	**280**	**100.0**

TABLE 54. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	UNDER $500		$500-$1,000		TOTAL	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
12TH						
Alamosa	55	66.3	28	33.7	83	100.0
Conejos	37	66.1	19	33.9	56	100.0
Costilla	7	77.8	2	22.2	9	100.0
Mineral	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
Rio Grande	31	66.0	16	34.0	47	100.0
Saguache	45	90.0	5	10.0	50	100.0
TOTAL	**178**	**71.8**	**70**	**28.2**	**248**	**100.0**
13TH						
Kit Carson	16	76.2	5	23.8	21	100.0
Logan	76	71.0	31	29.0	107	100.0
Morgan	70	75.3	23	24.7	93	100.0
Phillips	36	81.8	8	18.2	44	100.0
Sedgwick	23	88.5	3	11.5	26	100.0
Washington	24	85.7	4	14.3	28	100.0
Yuma	31	70.5	13	29.5	44	100.0
TOTAL	**276**	**76.0**	**87**	**24.0**	**363**	**100.0**
14TH						
Grand	54	76.1	17	23.9	71	100.0
Moffat (Craig)	74	72.6	28	27.4	102	100.0
Moffat (Dinosaur)	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0
Routt	101	58.7	71	41.3	172	100.0
TOTAL	**237**	**67.1**	**116**	**32.9**	**353**	**100.0**
15TH						
Baca	29	74.4	10	25.6	39	100.0
Cheyenne	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0
Kiowa	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0
Prowers	56	77.8	16	22.2	72	100.0
TOTAL	**92**	**75.4**	**30**	**24.6**	**122**	**100.0**
16TH						
Bent	29	70.7	12	29.3	41	100.0
Crowley	13	86.7	2	13.3	15	100.0
Otero	95	81.2	22	18.8	117	100.0
TOTAL	**137**	**79.2**	**36**	**20.8**	**173**	**100.0**
17TH						
Adams	**1,017**	**87.1**	**151**	**12.9**	**1,168**	**100.0**
18TH						
Arapahoe (Littleton)	685	63.1	400	36.9	1,085	100.0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	367	61.4	231	38.6	598	100.0
Douglas	94	68.1	44	31.9	138	100.0
Elbert	42	75.0	14	25.0	56	100.0
Lincoln	13	92.9	1	7.1	14	100.0
TOTAL	**1,201**	**63.5**	**690**	**36.5**	**1,891**	**100.0**
19TH						
Weld	**308**	**67.4**	**149**	**32.6**	**457**	**100.0**
20TH						
Boulder[a]	**901**	**67.9**	**426**	**32.1**	**1,327**	**100.0**
21ST						
Mesa	**349**	**71.7**	**138**	**28.3**	**487**	**100.0**
22ND						
Dolores	10	100.0	0	0.0	10	100.0
Montezuma	55	67.9	26	32.1	81	100.0
TOTAL	**65**	**71.4**	**26**	**28.6**	**91**	**100.0**
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	**9,700**	**68.6**	**4,441**	**31.4**	**14,141**	**100.0**
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	**11,822**	**68.0**	**5,552**	**32.0**	**17,374**	**100.0**

[a] Includes Longmont court cases.

TABLE 55. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF FELONY COMPLAINTS DISPOSED OF IN COUNTY COURT[1] - FY 1981-82

	NUMBER OF FELONY COMPLAINTS DISPOSED OF	PERCENT DISTRIBUTION
Dismissals		
At Preliminary Hearing	561	4.9
W/O Preliminary Hearing	2,721	24.0
TOTAL	**3,282**	**28.9**
Reductions to a Misdemeanor		
At Preliminary Hearing	325	2.9
W/O Preliminary Hearing	2,297	20.2
TOTAL	**2,622**	**23.1**
Bindovers to District Court		
At Preliminary Hearing	2,439	21.5
W/O Preliminary Hearing	3,000	26.5
TOTAL	**5,439**	**48.0**
Total Felony Dispositions		
At Preliminary Hearing	3,325	29.3
W/O Preliminary Hearing	8,018	70.7
TOTAL	**11,343**	**100.0**

[1] Includes Denver



PROBATION

TABLE 56. PROBATION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISION AND INVESTIGATION CASELOADS - STATE TOTALS FY 1978-79 TO FY 1981-82

	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81	FY 81-82	PERCENT CHANGE 80-81 to 81-82
ADULT					
On Supervision July 1	12,121[a]	13,424	12,238	12,839	4.9
New Cases	11,288	9,476	9,111	10,446	14.7
Total Caseload	**23,409**	**22,900**	**21,349**	**23,285**	**9.1**
Terminations	9,985	10,662	8,427	9,179	8.9
On Supervision June 30	13,424	12,238	12,922	14,106	9.2
Total Investigations	**15,403**	**9,647**	**10,354**	**11,545**	**11.5**
JUVENILE					
On Supervision July 1	5,100	4,847	3,803	4,189	10.1
New Cases	5,285	4,630	4,556	4,364	-4.2
Total Caseload	**10,385**	**9,477**	**8,359**	**8,553**	**2.3**
Terminations	5,538	5,674	4,170	3,868	-7.2
On Supervision June 30	4,847	3,803	4,189	4,685	11.8
Total Investigations	**19,641**	**14,767**	**12,066**	**11,896**	**-1.4**
TOTAL					
On Supervision July 1	17,221[a]	18,271	16,041	17,028	6.1
New Cases	16,573	14,106	13,667	14,810	8.4
Total Caseload	**33,794**	**32,377**	**29,708**	**31,838**	**7.2**
Terminations	15,523	16,336	12,597	13,047	3.6
On Supervision June 30	18,271	16,041	17,111	18,791	9.8
Total Investigations	**35,044**	**24,414**	**22,420**	**23,441**	**4.5**

[a] Revised pending figure.

TABLE 57. PROBATION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISION CASELOADS BY DISTRICT - FY 1981-82

	1st		2nd		3rd		4th		5th		6th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Supervision 7/1	1,123	313	2,314	878	81	30	1,507	356	205	40	265	96
New Cases	794	316	1,751	659	73	23	1,482	393	253	48	631	39
Total Caseload	**1,917**	**629**	**4,065**	**1,537**	**154**	**53**	**2,989**	**749**	**458**	**88**	**896**	**135**
Terminations	757	300	1,307	544	54	28	1,544	388	152	41	233	26
On Supervision 6/30	1,160	329	2,758	993	100	25	1,445	361	306	47	663	109

	7th		8th		9th		10th		11th		12th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Supervision 7/1	651	86	404	224	208	43	624	295	298	159	272	84
New Cases	311	78	289	272	222	40	692	258	201	168	137	72
Total Caseload	**962**	**164**	**693**	**496**	**430**	**83**	**1,316**	**553**	**499**	**327**	**409**	**156**
Terminations	553	81	195	208	147	47	564	237	231	187	161	71
On Supervision 6/30	409	83	498	288	283	36	752	316	268	140	248	85

	13th		14th		15th		16th		17th		18th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Supervision 7/1	146	52	198	51	91	34	136	48	741	289	1,495	402
New Cases	119	97	214	63	58	29	85	69	591	315	965	336
Total Caseload	**265**	**149**	**412**	**114**	**149**	**63**	**221**	**117**	**1,332**	**604**	**2,460**	**738**
Terminations	105	75	164	58	56	33	79	68	567	257	842	303
On Supervision 6/30	160	74	248	56	93	30	142	49	765	353	1,618	435

	19th		20th		21st		22nd		State	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Supervision 7/1	596	181	984	296	432	214	68	18	12,839	4,189
New Cases	354	217	786	442	393	406	45	24	10,446	4,364
Total Caseload	**950**	**398**	**1,770**	**738**	**825**	**620**	**113**	**42**	**23,285**	**8,553**
Terminations	376	159	801	360	249	383	42	20	9,179	3,868
On Supervision 6/30	574	239	969	378	576	237	71	22	14,106	4,685

TABLE 58. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT, FY 1981-82

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
District Court Pre-Sentence	551	1,210	46	854	106	115	105	335	241	188	127	77
County Court Pre-Sentence	372	181	14	306	48	52	31	4	10	255	64	85
District Court Def. Pros./Sent.	0	926	2	503	93	22	0	0	0	0	11	0
Domestic Relations	0	170	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Other	356	34	6	76	3	106	3	1	1	15	4	95
Total Investigations	**1,279**	**2,521**	**69**	**1,739**	**251**	**295**	**139**	**340**	**252**	**458**	**207**	**257**

	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th	20th	21st	22nd	STATE TOTAL
District Court Pre-Sentence	110	84	13	79	432	546	240	147	154	17	5,777
County Court Pre-Sentence	4	4	0	5	326	148	56	209	94	16	2,284
District Court Def. Pros./Sent.	12	22	3	15	33	77	89	278	209	11	2,306
Domestic Relations	0	0	2	0	17	193	6	0	0	0	391
Other	1	14	0	0	1	7	32	13	2	17	787
Total Investigations	**127**	**124**	**18**	**99**	**809**	**971**	**423**	**647**	**459**	**61**	**11,545**

TABLE 59. JUVENILE PROBATION DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT, FY 1981-82

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
Social Summary	258	750	9	362	53	36	32	92	40	180	30	33
Detention	14	3,539	0	38	8	13	0	22	0	0	31	17
Preliminary	5	345	12	85	5	9	4	1	0	13	14	0
Intake	0	304	5	10	2	49	9	131	0	1,191	196	138
Transfer to Criminal Court	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Custody	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	7	1
Other	3	225	6	41	0	3	0	9	1	0	1	2
Total Investigations	**280**	**5,164**	**33**	**541**	**68**	**110**	**45**	**258**	**41**	**1,384**	**279**	**191**

	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th	20th	21st	22nd	STATE TOTAL
Social Summary	22	25	2	46	339	214	241	277	119	6	3,166
Detention	0	6	1	0	1	9	266	186	8	1	4,160
Preliminary	67	49	0	0	25	1	440	172	5	1	1,253
Intake	26	1	0	23	3	0	243	173	358	45	2,907
Transfer to Criminal Court	0	0	0	0	5	8	0	0	3	0	20
Custody	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	16
Other	2	11	0	0	4	36	2	16	9	3	374
Total Investigations	**117**	**93**	**3**	**69**	**377**	**268**	**1,193**	**824**	**502**	**56**	**11,896**

TABLE 60.

RESTITUTION PAID BY JUVENILE AND ADULT PROBATIONERS FY 1981-82

DISTRICT	Amount Receipted
1	$ 320,306.04
Denver Adult	309,948.45
Denver Juvenile	66,629.62
3	17,497.28
4	253,030.22
5	84,426.81
6	19,004.43
7	96,792.88
8	168,347.97
9	57,807.22
10	56,825.63
11	28,624.88
12	55,729.24
13	38,598.51
14	92,420.72
15	67,875.83
16	28,753.80
17	177,924.85
18	279,568.16
19	162,300.60
20	121,096.13
21	123,318.69
22	10,856.54
STATE TOTAL	**$2,637,684.50**

TABLE 61

RESTITUTION PAID BY JUVENILE AND ADULT PROBATIONERS FY 1975-76—FY 1981-82



COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

TABLE 62. COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS - FY 1981-82

	PROGRAM (DISTRICT)	PENDING 7-1-84	FY 1981-82 INTAKES	FY 1981-82 TERMIN-ATIONS	PENDING 6-30-82	TOTAL CLIENT EARNINGS	TOTAL CLIENT ROOM & BOARD
1	Community Responsibility Center (1)	17	10	25	2	51,780	16,160
2	Center for Creative Living (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Phase I - Denver County Jail (2)	21	200	200	21	58,811	10,442
4	Williams Street Center (2)	38	77	106	9	109,892	37,083
5	Independence House (2)	13	33	21	25	15,929	3,198
6	Peer I - Fort Logan (2)	0	5	2	30	0	0
7	Mountain Parks (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Community Correction-Colorado Springs (4)	17	66	66	17	1,691	22,873
9	Hilltop House (6)	5	20	12	13	6,323	4,058
10	Larimer Community Corrections (8)*	61	96	42	115	25,966	3,144
11	Our House (10)	2	36	12	26	14,957	66,156
12	Yampa House (14)	0	17	6	11	6,537	4,142
13	Lofthouse (17)	10	57	46	21	75,069	21,415
14	Boulder Community Treatment Center (20)	3	25	17	11	6,244	4,565
15	Mesa County Work Release Center (21)	17	109	72	54	126,816	27,883
16	Boulder County Non-Residential (20)	0	19	13	6	7,810	225
17	Peer I (18)	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Weld County Community Corrections (19)	0	7	0	7	0	0
	STATE TOTAL	**204**	**777**	**640**	**341**	**507,825**	**221,344**

* Residential and Non-Residential

FOOTNOTES

Tables 22. and 23. - Domestic Relations Filings and Terminations

a. Separate petitions for custody proceedings, not to be confused with custody hearings within dissolution actions.
b. Petitions under the Uniform Reciprocal Support Act.
c. Includes determination of status and other miscellaneous cases.

Tables 25. and 26. - Civil Filings and Terminations

a. Includes Quiet Titles, Condemnations, Replevins, Foreclosures, Mechanics' Liens, etc.
b. Includes reviews of P.U.C. and other administrative or regulatory agencies, cases involving city and county government, etc.
c. Includes Habeas Corpus, Mandamus and other Remedial Writs, Injunctions, etc.

Tables 28. and 29. - Probate Filings and Terminations

a. Includes both juvenile and adult guardianships.
b. Includes foreign wills, separate trusteeships, etc.

Tables 31. and 32. - Juvenile Filings and Terminations

a. Does not include Informal Adjustment cases handled by the probation department and not by the court.
b. Children in Need of Oversight petitions.
c. Includes Child Abuse cases.
d. Includes Paternity only, Support only, and Paternity and Support cases.
e. Includes Guardianships, Contributing to Delinquency, Requests for Marriage, etc.

Tables 34. and 35. - Mental Health Filings and Terminations

a. Petition for Evaluation.
b. Includes Short and Long-term Treatment Admission.
c. Petition for Involuntary Commitment of Alcoholic.
d. Includes Other, Petition for Sterilization, and Objection to Admission or Retention.

Tables 37. and 38. - Criminal Filings and Terminations

a. Includes murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, etc.
b. Includes arson, burglary, theft, robbery, etc.
c. Includes forgery, fraud by credit card, bad checks, etc.
d. Includes escape and offenses relating to custody, bribery and corrupt influences, perjury, and other Article 8 offenses.
e. Includes offenses involving the Family Relation; Offenses Relating to Morals; Offenses Against Public Peace, Order and Decency; Criminal Non-Support, etc.